

Chain of Custody and Supporting Documentation



SAMPLE RECEIPT & REVIEW FORM

238462H

| | |
|--|---|
| Client: SSFL | SDG/ARCOC/Work Order: 274152 or 1016109 |
| Received By: SL | Date Received: 10/1/09 |
| Suspected Hazard Information | *If Counts > x2 area background on samples not marked "radioactive", contact the Radiation Safety Group of further investigation. |
| COC/Samples marked as radioactive? | Maximum Counts Observed*: 80 cpm |
| Classified Radioactive II or III by RSO? | |
| COC/Samples marked containing PCBs? | |
| Shipped as a DOT Hazardous? | Hazard Class Shipped: UN#: |
| Samples identified as Foreign Soil? | |

| Sample Receipt Criteria | Yes | NA | No | Comments/Qualifiers (Required for Non-Conforming Items) |
|---|-------------------------------------|----|----|--|
| 1 Shipping containers received intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Circle Applicable: seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe) |
| 2 Samples requiring cold preservation within (0 ≤ 6 deg. C)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Preservation Method: 2,40 ice bags blue ice dry ice none other (describe) |
| 3 Chain of custody documents included with shipment? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |
| 4 Sample containers intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Circle Applicable: seals broken <u>damaged container</u> leaking container other (describe) 3 Amber 1 L bottles of EBQW2248 arrived broken. |
| 5 Samples requiring chemical preservation at proper pH? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's, containers affected and observed pH: If Preservation added, Lot#: |
| 6 VOA vials free of headspace (defined as < 6mm bubble)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 7 Are Encore containers present? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | (If yes, immediately deliver to Volatiles laboratory) |
| 8 Samples received within holding time? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Id's and tests affected: |
| 9 Sample ID's on COC match ID's on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 10 Date & time on COC match date & time on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's affected: |
| 11 Number of containers received match number indicated on COC? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's affected: Received only 2 containers of HZBS01785001/2 and HZBS01795001/2 and only 1 container of HZET0718-0725. |
| 12 COC form is properly signed in relinquished/received sections? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |

Comments:
 9457 3163 0810 40
 9457 3163 0832 20

PM (or PMA) review: Initials JL Date 10/1/09

COC #:

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

238462H
238234 - 10/16/09

| Customer Information | | Project Information | | | | Requested Analyses | | Instructions/TAT | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Site: | SSFL | Client Name: | Boeing | Collector: | B. Martasin | Boeing PM: | | | |
| Company: | MWH | Sampling Event: | ISRA Sampling, August 2009 | Contact #: | | | | | |
| Report to: | Sarah Von Raesfeld | Project Number: | 1891614.05462 | | | | | | |
| Address: | 2121 N. California Blvd | Project Manager: | Alex Fischl | | | | | | |
| | Suite 600 | PM Phone #: | (925) 627-4627 | | | | | | |
| | Walnut Creek | Field Contact: | Brian Martasin | | | | | | |
| | CA | Field Contact #: | (323) 304-4969 | | | | | | |
| | 94596 | Lab Name: | GEL Laboratories, LLC | | | | | | |
| Email: | sarah.vonraesfeld@mwhglobal.c | Lab Contact: | Jackie Trudell | | | | | | |
| | sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com | Lab Address: | 2040 Savage Road | | | | | | |
| | | Lab Phone: | Charleston, SC 29407 | | | | | | |
| | | | (843) 769-7388 | | | | | | |
| Sample Name | Matrix | Date | Time | No. of Containers | Requested Analyses | | Instructions/TAT | | |
| EBQW2249 | Water | 10/1/2009 | 15:30 | 9 | Dioxin by 1613B - Water | 10 | 10 | Legend: Numerical values for analyses equate to turn around time in days H - Hold EH - Extract/Extrude & Hold Note: Values in the cells below are Turn Around Times. | |
| HVBF33AS01 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 10:18 | 2 | Dioxin by 1613B - Soil | 5 | 5 | | |
| HVBF33AS02 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 10:40 | 2 | Metals by 6010/6020/7470A - Water | 10 | 10 | | |
| HZBS0080AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 14:35 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | 5 | 5 | | |
| HZBS0080AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 14:45 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7470A - Water | 5 | 5 | | |
| HZBS0082AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 8:30 | 3 | PCB by SW8082 - Water | 10 | 10 | | |
| HZBS0082AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 9:05 | 3 | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | 5 | 5 | | |
| HZBS0084AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 7:50 | 3 | Perchlorate 314 Soil DI-WET | 5 | 5 | | |
| HZBS0084AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 8:15 | 3 | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Water | 10 | 10 | | |
| HZBS0123AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 13:15 | 3 | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Soil | 5 | 5 | | |
| | | | | | TPH by SW8015BM - Water | 10 | 10 | | |
| | | | | | TPH by SW8015BM - Soil | 5 | 5 | | |
| | | | | | D2216 Moisture Soil | 5 | 5 | | |

| 1. Relinquished by: | | 2. Received by: | | 3. Relinquished by: | | 4. Received by: | |
|---------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Date: | 10/1/09 | Date: | 10/2/09 | Date: | | Date: | |
| Time: | 1445 | Time: | 915 | Time: | | Time: | |
| Company: | MWH | Company: | Gel | Company: | | Company: | |

Comments:

Geotracker EDF Level IV

Data Validation Package



COC #:

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

238462A

| Customer Information | | | Project Information | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Site: | Client Name: | Boeing | Collector: | B. Martasin | |
| Company: | Sampling Event: | ISRA Sampling, August 2009 | Contact #: | | |
| Report to: | Project Number: | 1891614.05462 | Requested Analyses | | |
| Address: | Project Manager: | Alex Fisch | Dioxin by 1613B - Water | 2 | 5 |
| 2121 N. California Blvd | PM Phone #: | (925) 627-4627 | Dioxin by 1613B - Soil | 2 | 5 |
| Suite 600 | Field Contact: | Brian Martasin | PCB by SW8082 - Water | 2 | 5 |
| Walnut Creek | Field Contact #: | (323) 304-4969 | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | 2 | 5 |
| CA | Lab Name: | GEL Laboratories, LLC | Perchlorate 314 Soil DI-WET | 5 | 5 |
| 94596 | Lab Contact: | Jackie Trudell | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Water | 5 | 5 |
| sarah.vonraesfeld@mwhglobal.c | Lab Address: | 2040 Savage Road | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Soil | 5 | 5 |
| sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com | Lab Phone: | Charleston, SC 29407 | TPH by SW8015BM - Water | 5 | 5 |
| | | (843) 769-7388 | TPH by SW8015BM - Soil | 5 | 5 |
| | | | D2216 Moisture Soil | 5 | 5 |

| Sample Name | Matrix | Date | Time | No. of Containers | Comments |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-------|-------------------|----------|
| HZBS0123AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 13:30 | 3 | |
| HZBS0124AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 11:00 | 3 | |
| HZBS0124AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 12:30 | 3 | |
| HZBS0175S001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 13:50 | 3 | |
| HZBS0175S002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 14:10 | 3 | |
| HZBS0177S001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 15:00 | 3 | |
| HZBS0177S002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 15:15 | 3 | |
| HZBS0180S001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 9:30 | 3 | |
| HZBS0180S002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 10:00 | 3 | |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|---------|-----------------|-------|---------|
| 1. Relinquished by: | Date: | 10/1/09 | 2. Received by: | Date: | 10/2/09 |
| | Time: | 1445 | | Time: | 915 |
| Company: | | | Company: | | |
| MWH | | | GEL | | |
| Comments: | <input type="checkbox"/> Geotracker EDF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Validation Package <input type="checkbox"/> Level I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level IV | | | | |

238462H

| | | | |
|---|--|---|----|
| Client: <u>SSF1</u> | | SDG/ARCO/Work Order: <u>238234</u> JT 1016109 | |
| Received By: <u>Ams</u> | | Date Received: <u>10/3/09</u> | |
| Suspected Hazard Information | | Yes | No |
| *If Counts > x2 area background on samples not marked "radioactive", contact the Radiation Safety Group of further investigation. | | | |
| COC/Samples marked as radioactive? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Classified Radioactive II or III by RSO? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| COC/Samples marked containing PCBs? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Shipped as a DOT Hazardous? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Samples identified as Foreign Soil? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | | Maximum Counts Observed*: <u>30cpm</u> | |
| | | Hazard Class Shipped: UN#: | |

| Sample Receipt Criteria | Yes | NA | No | Comments/Qualifiers (Required for Non-Conforming Items) |
|---|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1 Shipping containers received intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Circle Applicable: seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe) |
| 2 Samples requiring cold preservation within (0 ≤ 6 deg. C)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Preservation Method: <u>ice bags</u> blue ice dry ice none other (describe) <u>2, 2, 3"</u> |
| 3 Chain of custody documents included with shipment? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |
| 4 Sample containers intact and sealed? | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Circle Applicable: seals broken <u>damaged container</u> leaking container other (describe) <u>received (2) broken Amber IL 10: EBRU2249</u> |
| 5 Samples requiring chemical preservation at proper pH? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's, containers affected and observed pH: If Preservation added, Lot#: |
| 6 VOA vials free of headspace (defined as < 6mm bubble)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 7 Are Encore containers present? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | (If yes, immediately deliver to Volatiles laboratory) |
| 8 Samples received within holding time? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Id's and tests affected: |
| 9 Sample ID's on COC match ID's on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 10 Date & time on COC match date & time on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's affected: |
| 11 Number of containers received match number indicated on COC? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's affected: |
| 12 COC form is properly signed in relinquished/received sections? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |

Comments:

Fx: 9457 5163 0800
 " " 0795
 " 3159 3987

PM (or PMA) review: Initials Ams Date 10/2/09

Subject: dioxin add-ons

From: Sarah Von Raesfeld <Sarah.E.VonRaesfeld@us.mwhglobal.com>

Date: Tue, 6 Oct 2009 13:52:57 -0600

To: Jackie Trudell <jacqueline.trudell@gel.com>

CC: Sean Leffler <Sean.S.Leffler@us.mwhglobal.com>

Hi Jackie,

Please analyze dioxins on a 5 day TAT for the following samples:

HZBS0080AS002 (238234005)

HZBS0081AS002 (238180003) *

HZBS0084AS002 (238234009)

HZBS0123AS002 (238234011)

HZBS0124AS002 (238234013)

Thanks,
Sarah



MWH

BUILDING A BETTER WORLD

Sarah Von Raesfeld
Environmental Chemist

MWH Americas, Inc.
2121 N. California Blvd.
Suite 600
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Telephone: 925 627 4500
Direct Line: 925 627 4654
Facsimile: 925 627 4501

Date: 10/8/09

Requesting Firm: MWH
Address: 9444 Farnham Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92123
Phone: 858-751-1217
Fax: 858-751-1201
E-mail: Sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com

To: Jackie Trudell
Laboratory GEL Laboratories, LLC

Phone: 843-769-7388
E-mail: jacqueline.trudell@gel.com

From: Sean Leffler
Requestor signature: 

Subject: Chain-of-Custody Form Analytical Request Change No. of Pages: 3

Per Request:

Please make the changes listed below to the chain-of-custody analytical request form. Include this form with the final deliverables for these samples.

| COC No. | Client Sample ID(s) | Date Collected | Originally Requested Analyses | Change (s) and Method (s) Now Requested |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| MWHAG2 0090930_0 0 | HZBS0081AS 002 | 9/30/09 | | Add dioxins (5 day TAT) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

The reason for these changes:

Incorrectly marked on COC form

Lack of sample volume

Change in analytical request

Other:

| |
|-------|
| _____ |
| _____ |
| X |
| _____ |
| _____ |

Thank you



CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

COC #: 138190

MWHAG20090830_00

Page: 1 of 2

| Customer Information | | | | Project Information | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| Site: | SSFL | Client Name: | Boeing | Collector: | A. Goldenberg | Boeing PMI: | |
| Company: | MWH | Sampling Event: | ISRA Sampling, August 2009 | Contact #: | | | |
| Report to: | Sarah Von Raesfeld | Project Number: | 1891614.05462 | Requested Analyses | | | |
| Address: | 2121 N. California Blvd | Project Manager: | Alex Fischl | Dioxin by 1613B - Water | 10 | Dioxin by 1613B - Soil | |
| | Suite 600 | PM Phone #: | (925) 627-4627 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | 2 | Metals by 6010/6020/7470A - Water | 10 |
| | Walnut Creek | Field Contact: | Benjamin Stewart | Metals 6020 Soil Copper | | Perchlorate 314 Soil DI-WET | |
| | CA | Field Contact #: | (818) 266-1378 | PCB by SW8082 - Water | 10 | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | 10 |
| | 94596 | Lab Name: | GEL Laboratories, LLC | PCB by SW8082 - Water | 10 | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | 10 |
| Email: | sarah.vonraesfeld@mwhglobal.c | Lab Contact: | Jackie Trudell | TPH by SW8015BM - Water | 10 | TPH by SW8015BM - Soil | 10 |
| | sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com | Lab Address: | 2040 Savage Road | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Water | 10 | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Soil | 10 |
| | | Lab Phone: | Charleston, SC 29407 | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Water | 10 | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Soil | 10 |
| | | | (843) 769-7388 | D2216 Moisture Soil | 5 | D2216 Moisture Soil | 5 |

| Sample Name | Matrix | Date | Time | No. of Containers | Requested Analyses | Comments |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|
| EBCW2248 | Water | 9/30/2009 | 14:45 | 8 | | |
| HZBS0081AS001 | Soil | 9/30/2009 | 9:35 | 3 | | |
| HZBS0081AS002 | Soil | 9/30/2009 | 10:12 | 3 | | |
| HZBS0178S001 | Soil | 9/30/2009 | 11:15 | 3 | | |
| HZBS0178S002 | Soil | 9/30/2009 | 11:30 | 3 | | |
| HZBS0179S001 | Soil | 9/30/2009 | 12:40 | 3 | | |
| HZBS0179S002 | Soil | 9/30/2009 | 12:50 | 3 | | |
| HZET0718S001 | Soil | 9/30/2009 | 13:15 | 2 | | |
| HZET0719S001 | Soil | 9/30/2009 | 13:35 | 2 | | |
| HZE10720S001 | Soil | 9/30/2009 | 13:55 | 2 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|-----------------|-------|---------|---------------------|-------|--|-----------------|-------|--|
| 1. Relinquished by: | Date: | 7-30-09 | 2. Received by: | Date: | 10/1/09 | 3. Relinquished by: | Date: | | 4. Received by: | Date: | |
| Company: | Time: | 10:51 | Company: | Time: | 0835 | Company: | Time: | | Company: | Time: | |
| MWH | | | GEL | | | | | | | | |

Comments: Sample volume for dioxin analysis shipped directly to CFA, sample volume for metals analysis shipped to GEL

Geotracker EDF Data Validation Package Level IV

0552 10/8/09

Date: 10/8/09

Requesting Firm: MWH
Address: 9444 Farnham Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92123
Phone: 858-751-1217
Fax: 858-751-1201
E-mail: Sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com

To: Jackie Trudell
Laboratory GEL Laboratories, LLC

Phone: 843-769-7388
E-mail:
jacqueline.trudell@gel.com

From: Sean Leffler
Requestor signature: 

Subject: Chain-of-Custody Form Analytical Request Change No. of Pages: 3

Per Request:

Please make the changes listed below to the chain-of-custody analytical request form. Include this form with the final deliverables for these samples.

| COC No. | Client Sample ID(s) | Date Collected | Originally Requested Analyses | Change (s) and Method (s) Now Requested |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| MWHBM2 0091001_0 0 | HZBS0080AS 002 | 10/1/09 | | Add dioxins (5 day TAT) |
| MWHBM2 0091001_0 0 | HZBS0084AS 002 | 10/1/09 | | Add dioxins (5 day TAT) |
| MWHBM2 0091001_0 0 | HZBS0123AS 002 | 10/1/09 | | Add dioxins (5 day TAT) |
| MWHBM2 0091001_0 0 | HZBS0124AS 002 | 10/1/09 | | Add dioxins (5 day TAT) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

The reason for these changes:

Incorrectly marked on COC form

Lack of sample volume

Change in analytical request

Other:

| |
|-------|
| _____ |
| _____ |
| X |
| _____ |
| _____ |

Thank you



CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

COC #: _____

MWHBM20091001_00

Page: 1 of 2

| Customer Information | | | Project Information | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Site: | SSFL | Boeing | Collector: | B. Mattasin | Boeing PM: |
| Company: | MWH | ISRA Sampling, August 2009 | Contact #: | | |
| Report to: | Sarah Von Raesfeld | Project Number: | 1891614.05462 | Requested Analyses | |
| Address: | 2121 N. California Blvd | Project Manager: | Alex Fischl | Dioxin by 1613B - Water | 10 |
| | Suite 600 | PM Phone #: | (925) 627-4627 | Dioxin by 1613B - Soil | 5 |
| | Walnut Creek | Field Contact: | Brian Mattasin | Metals by 6010/6020/7470A - Water | 10 |
| | CA | Field Contact #: | (323) 304-4969 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | 10 |
| | 94596 | Lab Name: | GEL Laboratories, LLC | PCB by SW8082 - Water | 10 |
| Email: | sarah.vonraesfeld@mwhglobal.c | Lab Contact: | Jackie Trudell | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | 5 |
| | sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com | Lab Address: | 2040 Savage Road | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Water | 10 |
| | | Lab Phone: | Charleston, SC 29407 | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Soil | 5 |
| | | | (843) 769-7386 | Perchlorate 314 Soil DI-WET | 5 |
| Sample Name | Matrix | Date | Time | No. of Containers | Comments |
| EBQW2249 | Water | 10/1/2009 | 15:30 | 9 | TPH by SW8015BM - Water |
| HVBF33AS01 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 10:18 | 2 | TPH by SW8015BM - Soil |
| HVBF33AS02 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 10:40 | 2 | |
| HZBS0080AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 14:35 | 3 | |
| HZBS0080AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 14:45 | 3 | |
| HZBS0082AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 8:30 | 3 | |
| HZBS0082AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 9:05 | 3 | |
| HZBS0084AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 7:50 | 3 | |
| HZBS0084AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 8:15 | 3 | |
| HZBS0123AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 13:15 | 3 | |

| 1. Relinquished by: | | 2. Received by: | | 3. Relinquished by: | | 4. Received by: | |
|---------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Date: | 10/1/09 | Date: | 10/2/09 | Date: | | Date: | |
| Time: | 1445 | Time: | 915 | Time: | | Time: | |
| Company: | MWH | Company: | Gel | Company: | | Company: | |

Comments: _____

GeoTracker EDF Data Validation Package Level IV

① SSL 10/8/09



CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

COC #:

MWVHBM20091001_00

Page: 2 of 2

| Customer Information | | | Project Information | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| Site: | Client Name: | Boeing | Collector: | B. Martasin | Boeing PM: |
| Company: MWH | Sampling Event: | ISRA Sampling, August 2009 | Contact #: | | |
| Report to: Sarah Von Raesfeld | Project Number: | 1891614.05462 | | | |
| Address: 2121 N. California Blvd | Project Manager: | Alex Fischl | | | |
| Suite 600 | PM Phone #: | (925) 627-4627 | | | |
| Walnut Creek | Field Contact: | Brian Martasin | | | |
| CA | Field Contact #: | (323) 304-4989 | | | |
| 94596 | Lab Name: | GEL Laboratories, LLC | | | |
| Email: sarah.vonraesfeld@mwhglobal.c | Lab Contact: | Jackie Trudell | | | |
| sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com | Lab Address: | 2040 Savage Road | | | |
| | | Charleston, SC 29407 | | | |
| | Lab Phone: | (843) 789-7388 | | | |

| Sample Name | Matrix | Date | Time | No. of Containers | Requested Analyses | Instructions/TAT | Comments |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-------|-------------------|---|------------------------------|----------|
| HZBS0123AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 13:30 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Water Dioxin by 1613B - Water Dioxin by 1613B - Soil D2216 Moisture Soil | TPH by SW8015BM - Water | |
| HZBS0124AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 11:00 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | TPH by SW8015BM - Soil | |
| HZBS0124AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 12:30 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Water | |
| HZBS0175S001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 13:50 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Soil | |
| HZBS0175S002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 14:10 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | Perchlorate 314 Soil DI-WET | |
| HZBS0177S001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 15:00 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | PCB by SW8082 - Water | |
| HZBS0177S002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 15:15 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | |
| HZBS0180S001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 9:30 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | | |
| HZBS0180S002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 10:00 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | | |

Legend:
Numerical values for analyses equate to turn around time in days
H - Hold
EH - Extract/Extrude & Hold
Note: Values in the calls below are Turn Around Times.

| 1. Relinquished by: | 2. Received by: | 3. Relinquished by: | 4. Received by: |
|---|--|---------------------|-----------------|
| Date: 10/1/09 Time: 1445 Company: MWH | Date: 10/2/09 Time: 915 Company: GEL | Date: | Date: |
| Comments: <i>132</i> | | | |

Geotracker EDF
Data Validation Package Level IV

0550 10/8/09

LABORATORY TASK ORDER (LTO) FORM

INSTRUCTIONS: To be completed by Environmental Contractor & Emailed to Laboratory Project Manager, CH2M HILL (boeingdms@ch2m.com) & the Data Validator at Least 48 hrs prior to need for sample containers. Project Analytical Laboratory will confirm receipt via E-Mail.

Event Name: ISRA Sampling, August 2009 _____

Start: 8/24/2009 _____

End: 9/30/2009 _____

LTO DATE:

LTO NUMBER:

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Consultant Name: MWH Address: 2121 N. California Blvd. Ste. 600 Walnut Creek, CA 94596</p> <p>Contact Name: Sarah Von Raesfeld Phone Number: 925-627-4654 Fax Number: 925-627-4501 E-mail Address: Sarah.VonRaesfeld@mwhglobal.com</p> | <p>Contract Laboratory: GEL Address: 2040 Savage Rd. Charleston, SC 29407</p> <p>Lab Contact Name: Jackie Trudell Phone Number: 843-769-7388 Fax Number: 843-766-1178 E-mail Address: jacqueline.trudell@gel.com</p> |
|---|---|

SAMPLE CONTAINER ORDER FORM

Date Required: _____

Requested Analyses: (Specify # of Samples)

Date Sample Pickup: _____

Ship Containers To:
 Project Site _____ (enter "X")
 Consultant Office _____ (enter "X")
 Other Location (specify in comments) _____ (enter "X")

Container Information:
 Trip Blank (VOA only) No (Yes/No)
 Temp Blank (VOA Only) No (Yes/No)
 DI Water Required? No (Yes/No)
 MS/MSD Extra Bottles? No (Yes/No)

Sample Matrix:
 Soil X (select all applicable)
 Water X (select all applicable)
 Vapor _____ (select all applicable)

| | Water | Soil | Contingent |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Dioxins (1613B) | 15 | 124 | 0 |
| EPA 8015M (DRO) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8015M (JET FUEL) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8015M (CC) | -- | -- | -- |
| TCE (8260B) | 5 | 12 | 0 |
| EPA 8270C SIM (SVOC) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8310 (PAH) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8082 (PCB) | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| Nickel (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Chromium (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Silver (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Cadmium (6020) | 10 | 35 | 0 |
| Arsenic (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| % Moisture (D2216) | 0 | 170 | 0 |
| Lead (6020) | 10 | 65 | 0 |
| Copper (6020) | 10 | 75 | 0 |
| Zinc (6020) | 5 | 20 | 0 |
| Mercury by 7471A/7470A | 5 | 25 | 0 |

Est. Total # of Samples: 175 Est. Total # of EDDs 40

LABORATORY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Project TAT:
 Normal: X (10 Business days)
 RUSH: 5 (Specify- 24 / 48 / 72HRS)
 Other : _____ (Specify # of Days)
 Report Due Date: _____

Laboratory Results/Reports Deliverables:
 Draft Results Fax?: _____ (Yes/No)
 Draft Results E-mail?: Yes (Yes/No)
 Specify Fax/E-mail Contact
 Name, #, E-mail Address: Sarah.VonRaesfeld@mwhglobal.com
 Send Original Reports To:

Special Reporting Requirements:
 Contingent Analysis? No (Yes/No)
 TIC (VOC) Required? No (Yes/No)
 TIC (SVOC) Required? No (Yes/No)
 Data Validation Pckge.: Tier III (Boeing Tier I, II or III)

Project Site _____ (enter "X")
 Consultant Office _____ (enter "X")
 Other Location (specify in comments) X (enter "X")
 # of Copies Reports Req.: 1

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS/LTO NOTES

CONFIRMATION OF TRANSMITTAL & RECEIPT

LTO Sent By:
 Name: Sarah Von Raesfeld
 Date: 09/02/09

LTO Received By-
 Name: _____
 Date: _____

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Case Narrative..... | 1 |
| Chain of Custody and Supporting Documentation | 3 |
| Data Qualifier Definitions..... | 18 |
| Laboratory Certifications | 20 |
| Subcontract Data Dioxins | 22 |

Case Narrative

**Case Narrative
for
Boeing - SSFL (MWH)
Work Order: 238462
SDG: 238462H**

October 12, 2009

Laboratory Identification:

GEL Laboratories LLC
2040 Savage Road
Charleston, South Carolina 29407
(843) 556-8171

Summary:

Sample Receipt

The samples arrived at GEL Laboratories LLC, Charleston, South Carolina on October 01, 2009 and October 02, 2009 for analysis. The samples were delivered with proper chain of custody documentation and signatures. All sample containers arrived without any visible signs of tampering or breakage. There are no additional comments concerning sample receipt.

The laboratory received the following samples:

| <u>Laboratory Identification</u> | <u>Sample Description</u> |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 238462001 | HZBS0081AS002 |
| 238462002 | HZBS0080AS002 |
| 238462003 | HZBS0084AS002 |
| 238462004 | HZBS0123AS002 |
| 238462005 | HZBS0124AS002 |

Items of Note

Santa Susanna Field Laboratory Technical Representative was contacted seeking resolution to any analytical and/or receipt issues. Please see the enclosed e-mails.

Case Narrative

Sample analyses were conducted using methodology as outlined in GEL Laboratories, LLC (GEL) Standard Operating Procedures. Any technical or administrative problems during analysis, data review, and reduction are contained in the analytical case narratives in the enclosed data package.

Data Package:

The enclosed data package contains the following sections: Case Narrative, Chain of Custody, Cooler Receipt Checklist, Data Package Qualifier Definitions and data from the following fractions: Dioxins (Cape Fear Analytical).

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the subcontract and task order, both technically and for the completeness, for other than the conditions detailed in the attached case narratives.



Jacqueline Trudell
Project Manager

Data Qualifiers Definitions

Data Review Qualifier Definitions

| Qualifier | Explanation |
|-----------|---|
| * | A quality control analyte recovery is outside of specified acceptance criteria |
| ** | Analyte is a surrogate compound |
| < | Result is less than value reported |
| > | Result is greater than value reported |
| ^ | RPD of sample and duplicate evaluated using +/-RL. Concentrations are <5X the RL |
| A | The TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product |
| B | Target analyte was detected in the associated blank |
| B | Metals-Either presence of analyte detected in the associated blank, or MDL/IDL < sample value < PQL |
| BD | Results are either below the MDC or tracer recovery is low |
| C | Analyte has been confirmed by GC/MS analysis |
| D | Results are reported from a diluted aliquot of the sample |
| d | 5-day BOD-The 2:1 depletion requirement was not met for this sample |
| E | Organics-Concentration of the target analyte exceeds the instrument calibration range |
| E | Metals-%difference of sample and SD is >10%. Sample concentration must meet flagging criteria |
| H | Analytical holding time was exceeded |
| h | Preparation or preservation holding time was exceeded |
| J | Value is estimated |
| N | Metals-The Matrix spike sample recovery is not within specified control limits |
| N | Organics-Presumptive evidence based on mass spectral library search to make a tentative identification of the analyte (TIC). Quantitation is based on nearest internal standard response factor |
| N/A | Spike recovery limits do not apply. Sample concentration exceeds spike concentration by 4X or more |
| ND | Analyte concentration is not detected above the reporting limit |
| UI | Gamma Spectroscopy-Uncertain identification |
| X | Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier |
| Y | QC Samples were not spiked with this compound |
| Z | Paint Filter Test-Particulates passed through the filter, however no free liquids were observed. |

Laboratory Certifications

List of current GEL Certifications as of 12 October 2009

| State | Certification |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Arizona | AZ0668 |
| Arkansas | 88-0651 |
| CLIA | 42D0904046 |
| California – NELAP | 01151CA |
| Colorado | GEL |
| Connecticut | PH-0169 |
| Dept. of Navy | NFESC 413 |
| EPA Region 5 | WG-15J |
| Florida – NELAP | E87156 |
| Georgia | E87156 (FL/NELAP) |
| Georgia DW | 967 |
| Hawaii | N/A |
| ISO 17025 | 2567.01 |
| Idaho | SC00012 |
| Illinois – NELAP | 200029 |
| Indiana | C-SC-01 |
| Kansas – NELAP | E-10332 |
| Kentucky | 90129 |
| Louisiana – NELAP | 03046 |
| Maryland | 270 |
| Massachusetts | M-SC012 |
| Nevada | SC00012 |
| New Jersey – NELAP | SC002 |
| New Mexico | FL NELAP E87156 |
| New York – NELAP | 11501 |
| North Carolina | 233 |
| North Carolina DW | 45709 |
| Oklahoma | 9904 |
| Pennsylvania – NELAP | 68-00485 |
| South Carolina | 10120001/10120002 |
| Tennessee | TN 02934 |
| Texas – NELAP | T104704235-07B-TX |
| U.S. Dept. of Agriculture | S-52597 |
| Utah – NELAP | GEL |
| Vermont | VT87156 |
| Virginia | 00151 |
| Washington | C1641 |



DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Boeing SSFL RFI ISRA

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: 238462H

Prepared by

MEC^x, LP
12269 East Vassar Drive
Aurora, CO 80014

I. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: Boeing SSFL RFI ISRA
 Contract Task Order: 1261.500D.00
 Sample Delivery Group: 238462H
 Project Manager: Dixie Hambrick
 Matrix: soil
 QC Level: V
 No. of Samples: 5
 No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0
 Laboratory: GEL

Table 1. Sample Identification

| <i>Sample Name</i> | <i>Lab Sample Name</i> | <i>Sub-Lab Sample Name</i> | <i>Matrix</i> | <i>Collection</i> | <i>Method</i> |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| HZBS0080AS002 | 1091001 | N/A | SOIL | 10/1/2009 2:45:00 PM | 1613B |
| HZBS0081AS002 | 1091005 | N/A | SOIL | 9/30/2009 10:12:00 AM | 1613B |
| HZBS0084AS002 | 1091002 | N/A | SOIL | 10/1/2009 8:15:00 AM | 1613B |
| HZBS0123AS002 | 1091003 | N/A | SOIL | 10/1/2009 1:30:00 PM | 1613B |
| HZBS0124AS002 | 1091004 | N/A | SOIL | 10/1/2009 12:30:00 PM | 1613B |

II. Sample Management

No anomalies were observed regarding sample management. The samples in this SDG were received at the laboratories within the temperature limits of 4°C ±2°C. According to the case narrative for this SDG, the samples were received intact, on ice, and properly preserved, if applicable. The COCs were appropriately signed and dated by field and/or laboratory personnel. Custody seals were intact. If necessary, the client ID was added to the sample result summary by the reviewer.

Data Qualifier Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics | Inorganics |
|-----------|---|---|
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. The associated value is the quantitation limit or the estimated detection limit for dioxins or PCB congeners. | The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is either the sample quantitation limit or the sample detection limit. The associated value is the sample detection limit or the quantitation limit for perchlorate only. |
| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. | The associated value is an estimated quantity. |
| N | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification." | Not applicable. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. | Not applicable. |
| UJ | The analyte was not deemed above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. | The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated value is an estimate and may be inaccurate or imprecise. |
| T-I | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents a compound with a CAS number and fit greater than 80%. | Not applicable |

| | | |
|-------|--|--|
| T-II | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents a class of compound but not of sufficient identification quality to represent a specific compound. | Not applicable |
| T-III | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents an unknown compound. | Not applicable |
| R | The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified. | The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified. |

Qualification Code Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics | Inorganics |
|-----------|--|---|
| H | Holding times were exceeded. | Holding times were exceeded. |
| S | Surrogate recovery was outside QC limits. | The sequence or number of standards used for the calibration was incorrect |
| C | Calibration %RSD or %D was noncompliant. | Correlation coefficient is <0.995. |
| R | Calibration RRF was <0.05. | %R for calibration is not within control limits. |
| B | Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) blank results. | Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) or calibration blank results. |
| L | Laboratory Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate %R was not within control limits. | Laboratory Control Sample %R was not within control limits. |
| Q | MS/MSD recovery was poor or RPD high. | MS recovery was poor. |
| E | Not applicable. | Duplicates showed poor agreement. |
| I | Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory. | ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory. |
| A | Not applicable. | ICP Serial Dilution %D were not within control limits. |
| M | Tuning (BFB or DFTPP) was noncompliant. | Not applicable. |
| T | Presumed contamination as indicated by the trip blank results. | Not applicable. |
| + | False positive – reported compound was not present. | Not applicable. |
| - | False negative – compound was present but not reported. | Not applicable. |
| F | Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results. | Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results. |
| \$ | Reported result or other information was incorrect. | Reported result or other information was incorrect. |
| ? | TIC identity or reported retention time has been changed. | Not applicable. |

Qualification Code Reference Table Cont.

| | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| D | The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available. | The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available. |
| P | Instrument performance for pesticides was poor. | Post Digestion Spike recovery was not within control limits. |
| *II, *III | Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found. | Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found. |

III. Method Analyses

A. EPA METHOD 1613—Dioxin/Furans

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: December 4, 2009

The samples listed in Table 1 for this analysis were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC^x Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 0)*, *USEPA Method 1613*, and the *National Functional Guidelines Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (08/02)*.

- Holding Times: Extraction and analytical holding times were met. The samples were extracted and analyzed within one year of collection.
- Instrument Performance: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Calibration: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Blanks: The soil method blank had detects or estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPCs) for all target compounds except 2,3,7,8-TCDD and total TCDD. Detects in the soil samples less than the reporting limit or less than 5× the method blank detect were qualified as nondetected, “U,” at the EDL if detected below the EDL or at the level of contamination if detected above the EDL. When total concentrations were the same as the individual isomer concentration, the total was also qualified as nondetected, “U,” at the reporting limit if detected below the reporting limit or at the level of contamination if detected above. All remaining totals, except total TCDD, were qualified as estimated, “J.”
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: Recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. The RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: MS/MSD analyses were performed on HZBS0080AS002. Recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
 - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: FBQW2239 (235913) was the field blank associated with the samples in this SDG; however, the sample was not analyzed for dioxins. The samples in this SDG had no associated equipment rinsate.
 - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

- **Internal Standards Performance:** Internal standard recoveries are not routinely evaluated at a Level V validation; however, the recoveries were reported on the sample result summaries. The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613.
- **Compound Identification:** Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The laboratory performed confirmation analyses for 2,3,7,8-TCDF. When the original result was reported as an EMPC, the original result was rejected, "R," in favor the confirmation result. In these cases, the total TCDD result was changed by the reviewer to match the confirmation 2,3,7,8-TCDD result. When the original result was not reported as an EMPC, or if both the original analysis and the confirmation analysis were both reported as EMPCs, the confirmation result was rejected, "R," in favor of the initial result.
- **Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits:** Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. EMPCs were identified in the sample of this SDG, as denoted by the laboratory "K," code. For individual isomers identified as EMPCs, the results were qualified as estimated nondetects, "UJ." When total concentrations were the same as the sum of the individual isomer concentration, the total was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ," at the reporting limit if detected below the reporting limit or at the level of contamination if detected above. All remaining totals, except total TCDD, were qualified as estimated, "J," as only a portion of the total was identified as an EMPC. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detect below the laboratory lower calibration level was qualified as estimated, "J." Nondetects are valid to the estimated detection limit (EDL).

Validated Sample Result Forms: 238462H

Analysis Method 1613B

| Sample Name | HZBS0080AS002 | Matrix Type: | Soil | Result Type: | Primary Result | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|---|
| Lab Sample Name: | 1091001 | Sample Date: | 10/1/2009 2:45:00 PM | Validation Level: | V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 35822469 | 0.306 | 4.5 | 0.306 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 67562394 | 0.151 | 4.5 | 0.151 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 55673897 | 0.298 | 4.5 | 0.298 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 39227286 | 0.156 | 4.5 | 0.156 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 70648269 | 0.117 | 4.5 | 0.117 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 57653857 | 0.176 | 4.5 | 0.176 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 57117449 | 0.123 | 4.5 | 0.123 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 19408743 | 0.174 | 4.5 | 0.174 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 72918219 | 0.185 | 4.5 | 0.185 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 40321764 | 0.119 | 4.5 | 0.119 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 57117416 | 0.093 | 4.5 | 0.093 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 60851345 | 0.127 | 4.5 | 0.127 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 57117314 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.12 and EDL from 0.0955 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1746016 | 0.145 | 0.899 | 0.145 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.899 | 0.899 | 0.899 | pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.273 and EDL from 0.0529 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.266 | 0.899 | 0.166 | pg/g | JK | R | D |
| OCDD | 3268879 | 8.99 | 8.99 | 8.99 | pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 1.11 and EDL from 0.734 |
| OCDF | 39001020 | 0.752 | 8.99 | 0.752 | pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HpCDD | 37871004 | 0.306 | 4.5 | 0.306 | pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HpCDF | 38998753 | 0.151 | 4.5 | 0.151 | pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HxCDD | 34465468 | 0.156 | 4.5 | 0.156 | pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HxCDF | 55684941 | 0.117 | 4.5 | 0.117 | pg/g | U | U | |
| Total PeCDD | 36088229 | 0.119 | 4.5 | 0.119 | pg/g | U | U | |
| Total PeCDF | 30402154 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | pg/g | J | UJ | B, *III, result changed from 0.12 and EDL from 0.0861 |
| Total TCDD | 41903575 | 0.145 | 0.899 | 0.145 | pg/g | U | U | |
| Total TCDFs | 55722275 | 0.273 | 0.899 | 0.166 | pg/g | J | J | B, \$, result changed from 0.503 |

Analysis Method 1613B

| Sample Name | HZBS0081AS002 | Matrix Type: | Soil | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|
| Lab Sample Name: | 1091005 | Sample Date: | 9/30/2009 10:12:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 35822469 | 0.339 | 4.58 | 0.339 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 67562394 | 0.166 | 4.58 | 0.166 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 55673897 | 0.336 | 4.58 | 0.336 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 39227286 | 0.174 | 4.58 | 0.174 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 70648269 | 0.12 | 4.58 | 0.12 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 57653857 | 0.193 | 4.58 | 0.193 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 57117449 | 0.123 | 4.58 | 0.123 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 19408743 | 0.193 | 4.58 | 0.193 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 72918219 | 0.187 | 4.58 | 0.187 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 40321764 | 0.138 | 4.58 | 0.138 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 57117416 | 4.58 | 4.58 | 4.58 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.125 and EDL from 0.099 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 60851345 | 0.129 | 4.58 | 0.129 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 57117314 | 0.104 | 4.58 | 0.104 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1746016 | 0.146 | 0.917 | 0.146 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.917 | 0.917 | 0.917 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.27 and EDL from 0.178 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.282 | 0.917 | 0.0644 pg/g | J | R | D |
| OCDD | 3268879 | 0.836 | 9.17 | 0.836 pg/g | U | U | |
| OCDF | 39001020 | 0.778 | 9.17 | 0.778 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HpCDD | 37871004 | 0.339 | 4.58 | 0.339 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HpCDF | 38998753 | 0.166 | 4.58 | 0.166 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HxCDD | 34465468 | 0.174 | 4.58 | 0.174 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HxCDF | 55684941 | 0.12 | 4.58 | 0.12 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total PeCDD | 36088229 | 0.138 | 4.58 | 0.138 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total PeCDF | 30402154 | 4.58 | 4.58 | 4.58 pg/g | J | UJ | B, *III, result changed from 0.125 and EDL from 0.099 |
| Total TCDD | 41903575 | 0.146 | 0.917 | 0.146 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total TCDFs | 55722275 | 0.917 | 0.917 | 0.917 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.27 and EDL from 0.178 |

Analysis Method 1613B

| Sample Name | HZBS0084AS002 | Matrix Type: | Soil | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|
| Lab Sample Name: | 1091002 | Sample Date: | 10/1/2009 8:15:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 35822469 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.569 and EDL from 0.371 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 67562394 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.369 and EDL from 0.18 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 55673897 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.358 and EDL from 0.353 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 39227286 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.325 and EDL from 0.201 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 70648269 | 0.321 | 4.61 | 0.132 pg/g | J | J | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 57653857 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 1.56 and EDL from 0.231 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 57117449 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.661 and EDL from 0.141 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 19408743 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 2.05 and EDL from 0.225 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 72918219 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.417 and EDL from 0.221 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 40321764 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.38 and EDL from 0.221 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 57117416 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.306 and EDL from 0.108 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 60851345 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.36 and EDL from 0.142 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 57117314 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.353 and EDL from 0.119 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1746016 | 0.177 | 0.923 | 0.177 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.338 | 0.923 | 0.0729 pg/g | JK | R | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.923 | 0.923 | 0.923 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.377 and EDL from 0.203 |
| OCDD | 3268879 | 9.23 | 9.23 | 9.23 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 1.59 and EDL from 0.886 |
| OCDF | 39001020 | 9.23 | 9.23 | 9.23 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 1.02 and EDL from 1.02 |
| Total HpCDD | 37871004 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | J | UJ | B, *III, result changed from 0.569 and EDL from 0.371 |
| Total HpCDF | 38998753 | 0.727 | 4.61 | 0.18 pg/g | J | J | B, *III |
| Total HxCDD | 34465468 | 3.93 | 4.61 | 0.201 pg/g | J | J | B, *III |
| Total HxCDF | 55684941 | 1.76 | 4.61 | 0.132 pg/g | J | J | B, *III |
| Total PeCDD | 36088229 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.38 and EDL from 0.221 |
| Total PeCDF | 30402154 | 0.659 | 4.61 | 0.0941 pg/g | J | J | B, *III |
| Total TCDD | 41903575 | 0.177 | 0.923 | 0.177 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total TCDFs | 55722275 | 0.674 | 0.923 | 0.203 pg/g | J | J | B |

Analysis Method 1613B

| Sample Name | HZBS0123AS002 | Matrix Type: | Soil | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|
| Lab Sample Name: | 1091003 | Sample Date: | 10/1/2009 1:30:00 PM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 35822469 | 0.628 | 4.61 | 0.531 pg/g | J | J | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 67562394 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.214 and EDL from 0.188 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 55673897 | 0.367 | 4.61 | 0.367 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 39227286 | 0.193 | 4.61 | 0.193 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 70648269 | 0.141 | 4.61 | 0.141 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 57653857 | 0.201 | 4.61 | 0.201 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 57117449 | 0.145 | 4.61 | 0.145 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 19408743 | 0.208 | 4.61 | 0.208 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 72918219 | 0.223 | 4.61 | 0.223 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 40321764 | 0.172 | 4.61 | 0.172 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 57117416 | 0.143 | 4.61 | 0.143 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 60851345 | 0.144 | 4.61 | 0.144 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 57117314 | 0.149 | 4.61 | 0.149 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1746016 | 0.164 | 0.921 | 0.164 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.311 | 0.921 | 0.0801 pg/g | JK | R | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.921 | 0.921 | 0.921 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.356 and EDL from 0.201 |
| OCDD | 3268879 | 9.21 | 9.21 | 9.21 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 3.87 and EDL from 0.93 |
| OCDF | 39001020 | 0.928 | 9.21 | 0.928 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HpCDD | 37871004 | 1.67 | 4.61 | 0.531 pg/g | J | J | B |
| Total HpCDF | 38998753 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.61 pg/g | J | UJ | B, *III, result changed from 0.214 and EDL from 0.188 |
| Total HxCDD | 34465468 | 0.193 | 4.61 | 0.193 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HxCDF | 55684941 | 0.26 | 4.61 | 0.141 pg/g | J | J | B |
| Total PeCDD | 36088229 | 0.172 | 4.61 | 0.172 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total PeCDF | 30402154 | 0.507 | 4.61 | 0.0912 pg/g | J | J | B |
| Total TCDD | 41903575 | 0.164 | 0.921 | 0.164 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total TCDFs | 55722275 | 0.632 | 0.921 | 0.201 pg/g | J | J | B |

Analysis Method 1613B

| Sample Name | HZBS0124AS002 | Matrix Type: | Soil | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|
| Lab Sample Name: | 1091004 | Sample Date: | 10/1/2009 12:30:00 PM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 35822469 | 0.419 | 4.68 | 0.419 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 67562394 | 0.195 | 4.68 | 0.195 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 55673897 | 0.382 | 4.68 | 0.382 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 39227286 | 0.186 | 4.68 | 0.186 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 70648269 | 0.139 | 4.68 | 0.127 pg/g | J | J | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 57653857 | 0.21 | 4.68 | 0.21 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 57117449 | 0.136 | 4.68 | 0.136 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 19408743 | 0.208 | 4.68 | 0.208 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 72918219 | 0.217 | 4.68 | 0.217 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 40321764 | 0.15 | 4.68 | 0.15 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 57117416 | 4.68 | 4.68 | 4.68 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.139 and EDL from 0.119 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 60851345 | 0.141 | 4.68 | 0.141 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 57117314 | 0.152 | 4.68 | 0.122 pg/g | J | J | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1746016 | 0.169 | 0.936 | 0.169 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.397 | 0.936 | 0.21 pg/g | JK | R | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.936 | 0.936 | 0.936 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.32 and EDL from 0.0713 |
| OCDD | 3268879 | 0.99 | 9.36 | 0.99 pg/g | U | U | |
| OCDF | 39001020 | 0.957 | 9.36 | 0.957 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HpCDD | 37871004 | 0.419 | 4.68 | 0.419 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HpCDF | 38998753 | 0.195 | 4.68 | 0.195 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HxCDD | 34465468 | 0.186 | 4.68 | 0.186 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total HxCDF | 55684941 | 4.68 | 4.68 | 4.68 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.139 and MDL from 0.127 |
| Total PeCDD | 36088229 | 0.15 | 4.68 | 0.15 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total PeCDF | 30402154 | 0.29 | 4.68 | 0.119 pg/g | J | J | B, *III |
| Total TCDD | 41903575 | 0.169 | 0.936 | 0.169 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total TCDFs | 55722275 | 0.32 | 0.936 | 0.21 pg/g | J | J | B, \$, result changed from 0.667 |

Chain of Custody and Supporting Documentation



CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

MWHAG20091006_00

COC #:

238643

Page: 1 of 1

| Customer Information | | Project Information | | | | Project Information | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Site: | SSFL | Client Name: | Boeing | Collector: | A. Goldenberg | Boeing PM: | |
| Company: | MWH | Sampling Event: | ISRA Sampling, August 2009 | Contact #: | | | |
| Report to: | Sarah Von Raesfeld | Project Number: | 1891614.05462 | Requested Analyses | | | |
| Address: | 2121 N. California Blvd | Project Manager: | Alex Fischl | | | | |
| | Suite 600 | PM Phone #: | (925) 627-4627 | | | | |
| | Walnut Creek | Field Contact: | Benjamin Stewart | | | | |
| | CA | Field Contact #: | (818) 266-1378 | | | | |
| | 94596 | Lab Name: | GEL Laboratories, LLC | | | | |
| | sarah.vonraesfeld@mwhglobal.c | Lab Contact: | Jackie Trudell | | | | |
| Email: | sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com | Lab Address: | 2040 Savage Road | | | | |
| | | Lab Phone: | Charleston, SC 29407 | | | | |
| | | | (843) 769-7388 | | | | |
| Sample Name | Matrix | Date | Time | No. of Containers | | | |
| HZET0242S001 | Soil | 10/6/2009 | 10:10 | 1 | Asbestos 600/R-93/116 - Soil | 3 | 5 |
| HZET1000S001 | Soil | 10/6/2009 | 10:30 | 1 | Metals 6020 Soil Lead | 5 | 5 |
| HZET1001S001 | Soil | 10/6/2009 | 10:35 | 1 | D2216 Moisture Soil | 3 | 5 |
| HZET1001S002 | Soil | 10/6/2009 | 10:38 | 1 | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | 5 | 5 |

Instructions/TAT

Legend:
Numerical values for analyses equate to turn around time in days

H - Hold
EH - Extract/Extrude & Hold

Note: Values in the cells below are Turn Around Times.

Comments

| 1. Relinquished by: | | 2. Received by: | | 3. Relinquished by: | | 4. Received by: | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| Signature: <i>Alex M. J. Raesfeld</i> | Date: 10-6-09 | Signature: <i>Alex Fischl</i> | Date: 10/7/09 | | Date: | | Date: |
| Company: MWH | Time: 16:25 | Company: GEL | Time: 0845 | | Time: | | Time: |

Comments: Geotracker EDF Data Validation Package Level IV



SAMPLE RECEIPT & REVIEW FORM

Client: GSFL SDG/ARCOC/Work Order: 234543

Received By: Ricky Albee Date Received: 10/7/09

| | | | |
|--|-----|-------------------------------------|---|
| Suspected Hazard Information | Yes | No | *If Counts > x2 area background on samples not marked "radioactive", contact the Radiation Safety Group of further investigation. |
| COC/Samples marked as radioactive? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Maximum Counts Observed*: <u>90 cpm</u> |
| Classified Radioactive II or III by RSO? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| COC/Samples marked containing PCBs? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Shipped as a DOT Hazardous? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Hazard Class Shipped: _____ UN#: _____ |
| Samples identified as Foreign Soil? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

| Sample Receipt Criteria | | Yes | NA | No | Comments/Qualifiers (Required for Non-Conforming Items) |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Shipping containers received intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Circle Applicable: seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe) |
| 2 | Samples requiring cold preservation within 0 ≤ 6 deg. C? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Preservation Method: <u>ice bags</u> blue ice dry ice none other (describe) <i>Yes</i> |
| 3 | Chain of custody documents included with shipment? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |
| 4 | Sample containers intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Circle Applicable: seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe) |
| 5 | Samples requiring chemical preservation at proper pH? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | Sample ID's, containers affected and observed pH: If Preservation added, Lot#: |
| 6 | VOA vials free of headspace (defined as < 6mm bubble)? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 7 | Are Encore containers present? | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (If yes, immediately deliver to Volatiles laboratory) |
| 8 | Samples received within holding time? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Id's and tests affected: |
| 9 | Sample ID's on COC match ID's on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 10 | Date & time on COC match date & time on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's affected: |
| 11 | Number of containers received match number indicated on COC? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's affected: |
| 12 | COC form is properly signed in relinquished/received sections? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |

Comments: FedEx 9457 3163 0773

PM (or PMA) review: Initials ST Date 10/7/09

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

238543
238543 J 10/17/09

| Customer Information | | Project Information | | Project Information | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Site: | SSFL | Client Name: | Boeing | Collector: | A. Goldenberg | |
| Company: | MWH | Sampling Event: | ISRA Sampling, August 2009 | Contact #: | | |
| Report to: | Sarah Von Raesfeld | Project Number: | 1891614.05462 | Requested Analyses | | |
| Address: | 2121 N. California Blvd | Project Manager: | Alex Fischl | | | |
| | Suite 600 | PM Phone #: | (925) 627-4627 | | | |
| | Walnut Creek | Field Contact: | Brian Martasin | | | |
| | CA | Field Contact #: | (323) 304-4969 | | | |
| | 94596 | Lab Name: | GEL Laboratories, LLC | | | |
| Email: | sarah.vonraesfeld@mwhglobal.c | Lab Contact: | Jackie Trudell | | | |
| | sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com | Lab Address: | 2040 Savage Road | | | |
| | | | Charleston, SC 29407 | | | |
| | | Lab Phone: | (843) 769-7388 | | | |
| Sample Name | Matrix | Date | Time | No. of Containers | Requested Analyses | Instructions/TAT |
| HZET0237S001 | Soil | 10/5/2009 | 13:55 | 1 | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | Legend: Numerical values for analyses equate to turn around time in days H - Hold EH - Extract/Extrude & Hold Note: Values in the cells below are Turn Around Times. |
| HZET0238S001 | Soil | 10/5/2009 | 14:00 | 1 | Metals 6020 Soil Lead | |
| HZET0239S001 | Soil | 10/5/2009 | 14:18 | 1 | | |
| HZET0240S001 | Soil | 10/5/2009 | 14:10 | 1 | | |
| HZET0241S001 | Soil | 10/5/2009 | 14:05 | 1 | | |
| Comments | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|---------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 1. Relinquished by: | Date: | 2. Received by: | Date: | 3. Relinquished by: | Date: | 4. Received by: | Date: |
| <i>B. C. O.</i> | 10/5/09 | <i>Richard Arden</i> | 10/6/09 | | | | |
| Company: | Time: | Company: | Time: | Company: | Time: | Company: | Time: |
| MWH | 1525 | GEC | 0930 | | | | |

Comments:

Geotracker EDF

Data Validation Package Level IV

SAMPLE RECEIPT & REVIEW FORM

238543

| | | | |
|--|-----|---|---|
| Client: <u>SSFL</u> | | SDG/ARCOC/Work Order: <u>238543</u> <u>5</u> <u>10/7/09</u> | |
| Received By: <u>Rick Albee</u> | | Date Received: <u>10/6/09</u> | |
| Suspected Hazard Information | Yes | No | *If Counts > x2 area background on samples not marked "radioactive", contact the Radiation Safety Group of further investigation. |
| COC/Samples marked as radioactive? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Maximum Counts Observed*: <u>40 cpm</u> |
| Classified Radioactive II or III by RSO? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| COC/Samples marked containing PCBs? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Shipped as a DOT Hazardous? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Hazard Class Shipped: _____ UN#: _____ |
| Samples identified as Foreign Soil? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

| Sample Receipt Criteria | Yes | NA | No | Comments/Qualifiers (Required for Non-Conforming Items) |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1 Shipping containers received intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Circle Applicable: seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe) |
| 2 Samples requiring cold preservation within 0 ≤ 6 deg. C? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Preservation Method: <u>ice bags</u> blue ice dry ice none other (describe) <u>Yes</u> |
| 3 Chain of custody documents included with shipment? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |
| 4 Sample containers intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Circle Applicable: seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe) |
| 5 Samples requiring chemical preservation at proper pH? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | Sample ID's, containers affected and observed pH: If Preservation added, Lot#: |
| 6 VOA vials free of headspace (defined as < 6mm bubble)? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 7 Are Encore containers present? | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (If yes, immediately deliver to Volatiles laboratory) |
| 8 Samples received within holding time? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Id's and tests affected: |
| 9 Sample ID's on COC match ID's on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 10 Date & time on COC match date & time on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's affected: |
| 11 Number of containers received match number indicated on COC? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's affected: |
| 12 COC form is properly signed in relinquished/received sections? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |

Comments: Fedex 9457 3163 0784

Subject: Asbestos add-on

From: Sarah Von Raesfeld <Sarah.E.VonRaesfeld@us.mwhglobal.com>

Date: Tue, 6 Oct 2009 14:25:48 -0600

To: Jackie Trudell <jacqueline.trudell@gel.com>

CC: Sean Leffler <Sean.S.Leffler@us.mwhglobal.com>

Hi Jackie,

Please run asbestos on a 3 day TAT for the following samples (collected 10/5):

HZET0237S001

HZET0238S001

HZET0239S001

HZET0240S001

HZET0241S001

Please log these samples with the ISRA samples you will be receiving tomorrow.

Thanks,

Sarah



MWH

BUILDING A BETTER WORLD

Sarah Von Raesfeld

Environmental Chemist

MWH Americas, Inc.

2121 N. California Blvd.

Suite 600

Walnut Creek, California 94596

Telephone: 925 627 4500

Direct Line: 925 627 4654

Facsimile: 925 627 4501

Date: 10/8/09

Requesting Firm: MWH
Address: 9444 Farnham Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92123
Phone: 858-751-1217
Fax: 858-751-1201
E-mail: Sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com

To: Jackie Trudell
Laboratory GEL Laboratories, LLC

Phone: 843-769-7388
E-mail: jacqueline.trudell@gel.com

From: Sean Leffler
Requestor signature: 

Subject: Chain-of-Custody Form Analytical Request Change No. of Pages: 2

Per Request:

Please make the changes listed below to the chain-of-custody analytical request form. Include this form with the final deliverables for these samples.

| COC No. | Client Sample ID(s) | Date Collected | Originally Requested Analyses | Change (s) and Method (s) Now Requested |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| MWHAG2 0091005_0 0 | HZET0237S00 1 | 10/5/09 | | Add asbestos 600/R-93/116 |
| MWHAG2 0091005_0 0 | HZET0238S00 1 | 10/5/09 | | Add asbestos 600/R-93/116 |
| MWHAG2 0091005_0 0 | HZET0239S00 1 | 10/5/09 | | Add asbestos 600/R-93/116 |
| MWHAG2 0091005_0 0 | HZET0240S00 1 | 10/5/09 | | Add asbestos 600/R-93/116 |
| MWHAG2 0091005_0 0 | HZET0241S00 1 | 10/5/09 | | Add asbestos 600/R-93/116 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

The reason for these changes:

Incorrectly marked on COC form

Lack of sample volume

Change in analytical request

Other:

X

Thank you

238383



CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

MWHAG20091005_00
COC #:

Page: 1 of 1

| Customer Information | | Project Information | | |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| Site: | SSFL | Client Name: | Boeing | |
| Company: | MWH | Sampling Event: | ISRA Sampling, August 2009 | |
| Report to: | Sarah Von Raesfeld | Project Number: | 1891614.05462 | |
| Address: | 2121 N. California Blvd Suite 600 Walnut Creek CA 94596 | Project Manager: | Alex Fischl (925) 627-4627 Brian Mantas (323) 304-4968 GEL Laboratories, LLC | |
| Email: | sarah.vonraesfeld@mwhglobal.com sean.leffer@mwhglobal.com | Lab Contact: | Jackie Trudell 2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 (843) 769-7388 | |
| Collector: | A. Goldenberg | Contact #: | | |
| Boeing PMI: | | | | |
| | | Requested Analyses | | |
| | | Asbestos 600/R-93/116-Soil | | |
| | | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | | |
| | | Metals 6020 Soil Lead | | |
| | | D2216 Moisture Soil | | |
| Sample Name | Matrix | Date | Time | No. of Containers |
| HZET0237S001 | Soil | 10/5/2009 | 13:55 | 1 |
| HZET0238S001 | Soil | 10/5/2009 | 14:00 | 1 |
| HZET0239S001 | Soil | 10/5/2009 | 14:18 | 1 |
| HZET0240S001 | Soil | 10/5/2009 | 14:10 | 1 |
| HZET0241S001 | Soil | 10/5/2009 | 14:05 | 1 |
| | | | | Instructions/TAT |
| | | | | Legend: Numerical values for analyses equate to turn around time in days H - Hold EH - Extract/Extrude & Hold Note: Values in the cells below are Turn Around Times. |
| | | | | Comments |

| 1. Relinquished by: | | 2. Received by: | | 3. Relinquished by: | | 4. Received by: | |
|---------------------|---------|---|---------|---|--|-----------------|--|
| Date: | 10/5/09 | Date: | 10/6/09 | Date: | | Date: | |
| Time: | 1525 | Time: | 0930 | Time: | | Time: | |
| Company: | MWH | Company: | GEL | Company: | | Company: | |
| Comments: | | GeoTracker EDF <input type="checkbox"/> | | Data Validation Package <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | Level IV | |

DSSL 10/8/09

LABORATORY TASK ORDER (LTO) FORM

INSTRUCTIONS: To be completed by Environmental Contractor & Emailed to Laboratory Project Manager, CH2M HILL (boeingdms@ch2m.com) & the Data Validator at Least 48 hrs prior to need for sample containers. Project Analytical Laboratory will confirm receipt via E-Mail.

Event Name: ISRA Sampling, August 2009

Start: 8/24/2009

End: 9/30/2009

LTO DATE:

LTO NUMBER:

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Consultant Name: MWH Address: 2121 N. California Blvd. Ste. 600 Walnut Creek, CA 94596</p> <p>Contact Name: Sarah Von Raesfeld Phone Number: 925-627-4654 Fax Number: 925-627-4501 E-mail Address: Sarah.VonRaesfeld@mwhglobal.com</p> | <p>Contract Laboratory: GEL Address: 2040 Savage Rd. Charleston, SC 29407</p> <p>Lab Contact Name: Jackie Trudell Phone Number: 843-769-7388 Fax Number: 843-766-1178 E-mail Address: jacqueline.trudell@gel.com</p> |
|---|---|

SAMPLE CONTAINER ORDER FORM

Date Required: _____

Requested Analyses: (Specify # of Samples)

Date Sample Pickup: _____

Ship Containers To:
 Project Site _____ (enter "X")
 Consultant Office _____ (enter "X")
 Other Location (specify in comments) _____ (enter "X")

Container Information:
 Trip Blank (VOA only) No (Yes/No)
 Temp Blank (VOA Only) No (Yes/No)
 DI Water Required? No (Yes/No)
 MS/MSD Extra Bottles? No (Yes/No)

Sample Matrix:
 Soil X (select all applicable)
 Water X (select all applicable)
 Vapor _____ (select all applicable)

| | Water | Soil | Contingent |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Dioxins (1613B) | 15 | 124 | 0 |
| EPA 8015M (DRO) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8015M (JET FUEL) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8015M (CC) | -- | -- | -- |
| TCE (8260B) | 5 | 12 | 0 |
| EPA 8270C SIM (SVOC) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8310 (PAH) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8082 (PCB) | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| Nickel (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Chromium (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Silver (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Cadmium (6020) | 10 | 35 | 0 |
| Arsenic (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| % Moisture (D2216) | 0 | 170 | 0 |
| Lead (6020) | 10 | 65 | 0 |
| Copper (6020) | 10 | 75 | 0 |
| Zinc (6020) | 5 | 20 | 0 |
| Mercury by 7471A/7470A | 5 | 25 | 0 |

Est. Total # of Samples: 175 Est. Total # of EDDs 40

LABORATORY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Project TAT:
 Normal: X (10 Business days)
 RUSH: 5 (Specify- 24 / 48 / 72HRS)
 Other : _____ (Specify # of Days)
 Report Due Date: _____

Laboratory Results/Reports Deliverables:
 Draft Results Fax?: _____ (Yes/No)
 Draft Results E-mail?: Yes (Yes/No)
 Specify Fax/E-mail Contact Name, #, E-mail Address: Sarah.VonRaesfeld@mwhglobal.com
 Send Original Reports To:

Special Reporting Requirements:
 Contingent Analysis? No (Yes/No)
 TIC (VOC) Required? No (Yes/No)
 TIC (SVOC) Required? No (Yes/No)
 Data Validation Pckge.: Tier III (Boeing Tier I, II or III)

Project Site _____ (enter "X")
 Consultant Office _____ (enter "X")
 Other Location (specify in comments) X (enter "X")
 # of Copies Reports Req.: 1

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS/LTO NOTES

CONFIRMATION OF TRANSMITTAL & RECEIPT

LTO Sent By:
 Name: Sarah Von Raesfeld
 Date: 09/02/09

LTO Received By-
 Name: _____
 Date: _____

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| Case Narrative | 1 |
| Chain of Custody and Supporting Documentation | 4 |
| Data Qualifiers Definitions | 13 |
| Laboratory Certifications | 15 |
| Subcontract Data Asbestos | 17 |
| Percent Moisture | 21 |
| GC Semivolatile PCB Analysis | 25 |
| Sample Data Summary | 33 |
| Quality Control Summary..... | 38 |
| Sample Data | 46 |
| Standards Data..... | 73 |
| Quality Control Data | 284 |
| Miscellaneous Data | 323 |
| Metals Analysis | 340 |
| Case Narrative..... | 341 |
| Sample Data Summary | 346 |
| Quality Control Summary..... | 348 |
| Standards | 362 |
| Raw Data..... | 365 |
| Miscellaneous | 439 |

Case Narrative

**Case Narrative
for
Boeing - SSFL (MWH)
Work Order: 238543
SDG: 238543**

October 12, 2009

Laboratory Identification:

GEL Laboratories LLC
2040 Savage Road
Charleston, South Carolina 29407
(843) 556-8171

Summary:

Sample Receipt

The samples arrived at GEL Laboratories LLC, Charleston, South Carolina on October 06, 2009 and October 07, 2009 for analysis. The samples were delivered with proper chain of custody documentation and signatures. All sample containers arrived without any visible signs of tampering or breakage. There are no additional comments concerning sample receipt.

The laboratory received the following samples:

| <u>Laboratory Identification</u> | <u>Sample Description</u> |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 238543001 | HZET0242S001 |
| 238543002 | HZET1000S001 |
| 238543003 | HZET1001S001 |
| 238543004 | HZET1001S002 |
| 238543005 | HZET0237S001 |
| 238543006 | HZET0238S001 |
| 238543007 | HZET0239S001 |
| 238543008 | HZET0240S001 |
| 238543009 | HZET0241S001 |

Items of Note

Santa Susanna Field Laboratory Technical Representative was contacted seeking resolution to any analytical and/or receipt issues. Please see the enclosed e-mails.

Case Narrative

Sample analyses were conducted using methodology as outlined in GEL Laboratories, LLC (GEL) Standard Operating Procedures. Any technical or administrative problems during analysis, data review, and reduction are contained in the analytical case narratives in the enclosed data package.

Data Package:

The enclosed data package contains the following sections: Case Narrative, Chain of Custody, Cooler Receipt Checklist, Data Package Qualifier Definitions and data from the following fractions: GC Semivolatile PCB,

Metals, Percent Moisture and Asbestos (EMLab P&K).

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the subcontract and task order, both technically and for the completeness, for other than the conditions detailed in the attached case narratives.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jacqueline A. Trudell". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Jacqueline Trudell

Project Manager

Data Qualifiers Definitions

Data Review Qualifier Definitions

| Qualifier | Explanation |
|-----------|---|
| * | A quality control analyte recovery is outside of specified acceptance criteria |
| ** | Analyte is a surrogate compound |
| < | Result is less than value reported |
| > | Result is greater than value reported |
| ^ | RPD of sample and duplicate evaluated using +/-RL. Concentrations are <5X the RL |
| A | The TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product |
| B | Target analyte was detected in the associated blank |
| B | Metals-Either presence of analyte detected in the associated blank, or MDL/IDL < sample value < PQL |
| BD | Results are either below the MDC or tracer recovery is low |
| C | Analyte has been confirmed by GC/MS analysis |
| D | Results are reported from a diluted aliquot of the sample |
| d | 5-day BOD-The 2:1 depletion requirement was not met for this sample |
| E | Organics-Concentration of the target analyte exceeds the instrument calibration range |
| E | Metals-%difference of sample and SD is >10%. Sample concentration must meet flagging criteria |
| H | Analytical holding time was exceeded |
| h | Preparation or preservation holding time was exceeded |
| J | Value is estimated |
| N | Metals-The Matrix spike sample recovery is not within specified control limits |
| N | Organics-Presumptive evidence based on mass spectral library search to make a tentative identification of the analyte (TIC). Quantitation is based on nearest internal standard response factor |
| N/A | Spike recovery limits do not apply. Sample concentration exceeds spike concentration by 4X or more |
| ND | Analyte concentration is not detected above the reporting limit |
| UI | Gamma Spectroscopy-Uncertain identification |
| X | Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier |
| Y | QC Samples were not spiked with this compound |
| Z | Paint Filter Test-Particulates passed through the filter, however no free liquids were observed. |

Laboratory Certifications

List of current GEL Certifications as of 12 October 2009

| State | Certification |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Arizona | AZ0668 |
| Arkansas | 88-0651 |
| CLIA | 42D0904046 |
| California – NELAP | 01151CA |
| Colorado | GEL |
| Connecticut | PH-0169 |
| Dept. of Navy | NFESC 413 |
| EPA Region 5 | WG-15J |
| Florida – NELAP | E87156 |
| Georgia | E87156 (FL/NELAP) |
| Georgia DW | 967 |
| Hawaii | N/A |
| ISO 17025 | 2567.01 |
| Idaho | SC00012 |
| Illinois – NELAP | 200029 |
| Indiana | C-SC-01 |
| Kansas – NELAP | E-10332 |
| Kentucky | 90129 |
| Louisiana – NELAP | 03046 |
| Maryland | 270 |
| Massachusetts | M-SC012 |
| Nevada | SC00012 |
| New Jersey – NELAP | SC002 |
| New Mexico | FL NELAP E87156 |
| New York – NELAP | 11501 |
| North Carolina | 233 |
| North Carolina DW | 45709 |
| Oklahoma | 9904 |
| Pennsylvania – NELAP | 68-00485 |
| South Carolina | 10120001/10120002 |
| Tennessee | TN 02934 |
| Texas – NELAP | T104704235-07B-TX |
| U.S. Dept. of Agriculture | S-52597 |
| Utah – NELAP | GEL |
| Vermont | VT87156 |
| Virginia | 00151 |
| Washington | C1641 |



DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Boeing SSFL RFI ISRA

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: 238543

Prepared by

MEC^x, LP
12269 East Vassar Drive
Aurora, CO 80014

I. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: Boeing SSFL RFI ISRA
 Contract Task Order: 1261.500D.00
 Sample Delivery Group: 238543
 Project Manager: Dixie Hambrick
 Matrix: soil
 QC Level: V
 No. of Samples: 9
 No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0
 Laboratory: GEL

Table 1. Sample Identification

| <i>Sample Name</i> | <i>Lab Sample Name</i> | <i>Sub-Lab Sample Name</i> | <i>Matrix</i> | <i>Collection</i> | <i>Method</i> |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| HZET0237S001 | 238543005 | 2612716-1 | Soil | 10/5/2009 1:55:00 PM | EPA 600/R-93/116 |
| HZET0238S001 | 238543006 | 2612717-1 | Soil | 10/5/2009 2:00:00 PM | EPA 600/R-93/116 |
| HZET0239S001 | 238543007 | 2612718-1 | Soil | 10/5/2009 2:18:00 PM | EPA 600/R-93/116 |
| HZET0240S001 | 238543008 | 2612719-1 | Soil | 10/5/2009 2:10:00 PM | EPA 600/R-93/116 |
| HZET0241S001 | 238543009 | 2612720-1 | Soil | 10/5/2009 2:05:00 PM | EPA 600/R-93/116 |
| HZET0242S001 | 238543001 | 2612712-1 | Soil | 10/6/2009 10:10:00 AM | 6020, 8082, EPA 600/R-93/116 |
| HZET1000S001 | 238543002 | 2612713-1 | Soil | 10/6/2009 10:30:00 AM | 8082, EPA 600/R- 93/116 |
| HZET1001S001 | 238543003 | 2612714-1 | Soil | 10/6/2009 10:35:00 AM | 8082, EPA 600/R- 93/116 |
| HZET1001S002 | 238543004 | 2612715-1 | Soil | 10/6/2009 10:38:00 AM | 8082, EPA 600/R- 93/116 |

II. Sample Management

No anomalies were observed regarding sample management. The samples in this SDG were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of 4°C ±2°C. According to the case narrative for this SDG, the samples were received intact, on ice, and properly preserved, if applicable. The COCs were appropriately signed and dated by field and/or laboratory personnel. Custody seals were intact. If necessary, the client ID was added to the sample result summary by the reviewer.

Data Qualifier Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics | Inorganics |
|-----------|---|---|
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. The associated value is the quantitation limit or the estimated detection limit for dioxins or PCB congeners. | The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is either the sample quantitation limit or the sample detection limit. The associated value is the sample detection limit or the quantitation limit for perchlorate only. |
| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. | The associated value is an estimated quantity. |
| N | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification." | Not applicable. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. | Not applicable. |
| UJ | The analyte was not deemed above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. | The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated value is an estimate and may be inaccurate or imprecise. |
| T-I | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents a compound with a CAS number and fit greater than 80%. | Not applicable |

| | | |
|-------|--|--|
| T-II | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents a class of compound but not of sufficient identification quality to represent a specific compound. | Not applicable |
| T-III | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents an unknown compound. | Not applicable |
| R | The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified. | The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified. |

Qualification Code Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics | Inorganics |
|-----------|--|---|
| H | Holding times were exceeded. | Holding times were exceeded. |
| S | Surrogate recovery was outside QC limits. | The sequence or number of standards used for the calibration was incorrect |
| C | Calibration %RSD or %D was noncompliant. | Correlation coefficient is <0.995. |
| R | Calibration RRF was <0.05. | %R for calibration is not within control limits. |
| B | Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) blank results. | Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) or calibration blank results. |
| L | Laboratory Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate %R was not within control limits. | Laboratory Control Sample %R was not within control limits. |
| Q | MS/MSD recovery was poor or RPD high. | MS recovery was poor. |
| E | Not applicable. | Duplicates showed poor agreement. |
| I | Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory. | ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory. |
| A | Not applicable. | ICP Serial Dilution %D were not within control limits. |
| M | Tuning (BFB or DFTPP) was noncompliant. | Not applicable. |
| T | Presumed contamination as indicated by the trip blank results. | Not applicable. |
| + | False positive – reported compound was not present. | Not applicable. |
| - | False negative – compound was present but not reported. | Not applicable. |
| F | Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results. | Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results. |
| \$ | Reported result or other information was incorrect. | Reported result or other information was incorrect. |
| ? | TIC identity or reported retention time has been changed. | Not applicable. |

Qualification Code Reference Table Cont.

| | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| D | The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available. | The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available. |
| P | Instrument performance for pesticides was poor. | Post Digestion Spike recovery was not within control limits. |
| *II, *III | Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found. | Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found. |

III. Method Analyses

A. EPA METHOD 600/R-93/116—Asbestos

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: October 21, 2009

The samples listed in Table 1 for this analysis were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC^x Data Validation Procedure for General Minerals (DVP-6, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Method 600/R-93/116*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (07/02)*.

- Holding Times: There is no established holding time for asbestos analysis; however, the samples were analyzed within 30 days of collection.
- Calibration: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Blanks: Method blanks are not applicable to this analysis. The laboratory did not provide documentation indicating that all supplies used in the analysis of the sample were checked and found to be free from asbestos contamination.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: Not applicable to this analysis.
- Laboratory Duplicates: No laboratory duplicate analyses were performed.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: Not applicable to this analysis.
- Sample Result Verification: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. The laboratory did not provide any documents other than the sample results.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
 - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: FBQW2239 (235913) was identified as the field blank associated with the samples in this SDG. The field blank was not analyzed for asbestos. The samples in this SDG had no associated equipment rinsate.
 - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified in this SDG.

B. EPA METHOD 6020—Lead

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: October 21, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC^X Data Validation Procedure for Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0 and DVP-21, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Methods 6010B, 6020, 7470A/7471A*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (7/02)*.

- Holding Times: The analytical holding time, six months for ICP-MS, was met.
- Tuning: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Calibration: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Blanks: Method blanks and CCBs had no detects.
- Interference Check Samples: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: The recovery was within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Laboratory Duplicates: A laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on the sample in this SDG. The RPD was within the method-established control limit.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG. Recoveries and the RPD were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Serial Dilution: A serial dilution analysis was performed on the sample in this SDG. The %D was within the method-established control limit
- Internal Standards Performance: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Sample Result Verification: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. As the samples in this SDG were validated at Level V, the QC information necessary to make an absolute determination of bias in the samples was not reviewed; therefore, when qualifications were applied, no bias was assigned. The sample in this SDG was analyzed at the laboratory's standard 2x dilution for soils. Any result reported between the MDL and the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." Reported nondetects are valid to the MDL.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

- Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: FBQW2239 (235913) was identified as the field blank associated with the sample in this SDG. Lead was not detected in this sample. The sample in this SDG had no identified equipment rinsate.
- Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

C. EPA METHOD 8082—PCBs

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: October 21, 2009

The samples listed in Table 1 for this analysis were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC^x Data Validation Procedure for Organochlorine Pesticides/PCBs by GC (DVP-4, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Method 8082*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (10/99)*.

- Holding Times: Extraction and analytical holding times were met. The soil samples were extracted within 14 days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.
- Calibration: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Blanks: The method blanks had no target compound detects above the MDL.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: Recoveries were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Surrogate Recovery: Recoveries were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: MS/MSD analyses were performed on HZET0242S001. The recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limit.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
 - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: FBQW2239 (235913) was identified as the field blank associated with the sample in this SDG. There were no target compounds detected above the MDL in this sample. The sample in this SDG had no identified equipment rinsate.
 - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.
- Compound Identification: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. The laboratory analyzed for Aroclors by Method 8082.

- Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. Any result reported between the MDL and the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." Reported nondetects are valid to the reporting limit.

Validated Sample Result Forms: 238543

Analysis Method 6020

Sample Name HZET0242S001 **Matrix Type:** SOIL **Result Type:** Primary Result

Lab Sample Name: 238543001 **Sample Date:** 10/6/2009 10:10:00 AM **Validation Level:** V

| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Lead | 7439921 | 4.76 | 0.426 | | 0.106 mg/kg | | | |

Analysis Method 8082

| Sample Name | HZET0242S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | | |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Lab Sample Name: | 238543001 | Sample Date: | 10/6/2009 10:10:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Aroclor-1016 | 12674112 | 3.59 | 3.59 | 1.2 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1221 | 11104282 | 3.59 | 3.59 | 1.2 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1232 | 11141165 | 3.59 | 3.59 | 1.2 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1242 | 53469219 | 3.59 | 3.59 | 1.2 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1248 | 12672296 | 3.59 | 3.59 | 1.2 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1254 | 11097691 | 3.59 | 3.59 | 1.2 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1260 | 11096825 | 3.59 | 3.59 | 1.2 ug/kg | | U | U | |

| Sample Name | HZET1000S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | | |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Lab Sample Name: | 238543002 | Sample Date: | 10/6/2009 10:30:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Aroclor-1016 | 12674112 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 1.14 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1221 | 11104282 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 1.14 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1232 | 11141165 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 1.14 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1242 | 53469219 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 1.14 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1248 | 12672296 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 1.14 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1254 | 11097691 | 108 | 3.43 | 1.14 ug/kg | | | | |
| Aroclor-1260 | 11096825 | 39.4 | 3.43 | 1.14 ug/kg | | | | |

| Sample Name | HZET1001S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | | |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Lab Sample Name: | 238543003 | Sample Date: | 10/6/2009 10:35:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Aroclor-1016 | 12674112 | 3.39 | 3.39 | 1.13 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1221 | 11104282 | 3.39 | 3.39 | 1.13 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1232 | 11141165 | 3.39 | 3.39 | 1.13 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1242 | 53469219 | 36.1 | 3.39 | 1.13 ug/kg | | | | |
| Aroclor-1248 | 12672296 | 3.39 | 3.39 | 1.13 ug/kg | | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1254 | 11097691 | 46 | 3.39 | 1.13 ug/kg | | | | |
| Aroclor-1260 | 11096825 | 12.4 | 3.39 | 1.13 ug/kg | | | | |

Analysis Method 8082

Sample Name HZET1001S002 **Matrix Type:** SOIL **Result Type:** Primary Result
Lab Sample Name: 238543004 **Sample Date:** 10/6/2009 10:38:00 AM **Validation Level:** V

| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Aroclor-1016 | 12674112 | 3.41 | 3.41 | 1.14 | ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1221 | 11104282 | 3.41 | 3.41 | 1.14 | ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1232 | 11141165 | 3.41 | 3.41 | 1.14 | ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1242 | 53469219 | 3.41 | 3.41 | 1.14 | ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1248 | 12672296 | 3.41 | 3.41 | 1.14 | ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1254 | 11097691 | 22.4 | 3.41 | 1.14 | ug/kg | | | |
| Aroclor-1260 | 11096825 | 8.5 | 3.41 | 1.14 | ug/kg | | | |

Analysis Method EPA 600/R-93/116

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|---|-----------|------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sample Name | HZET0237S001 | Matrix Type: SOIL | | | Result Type: Primary Result | | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 2612716-1 | Sample Date: 10/5/2009 1:55:00 PM | | | Validation Level: V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Asbestos | 1332214 | 0.1 | | 0.1 % | | U | U | |
| Sample Name | HZET0238S001 | Matrix Type: SOIL | | | Result Type: Primary Result | | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 2612717-1 | Sample Date: 10/5/2009 2:00:00 PM | | | Validation Level: V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Asbestos | 1332214 | 0.1 | | 0.1 % | | U | U | |
| Sample Name | HZET0239S001 | Matrix Type: SOIL | | | Result Type: Primary Result | | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 2612718-1 | Sample Date: 10/5/2009 2:18:00 PM | | | Validation Level: V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Asbestos | 1332214 | 0.1 | | 0.1 % | | U | U | |
| Sample Name | HZET0240S001 | Matrix Type: SOIL | | | Result Type: Primary Result | | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 2612719-1 | Sample Date: 10/5/2009 2:10:00 PM | | | Validation Level: V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Asbestos | 1332214 | 0.1 | | 0.1 % | | U | U | |
| Sample Name | HZET0241S001 | Matrix Type: SOIL | | | Result Type: Primary Result | | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 2612720-1 | Sample Date: 10/5/2009 2:05:00 PM | | | Validation Level: V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Asbestos | 1332214 | 0.1 | | 0.1 % | | U | U | |
| Sample Name | HZET0242S001 | Matrix Type: SOIL | | | Result Type: Primary Result | | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 2612712-1 | Sample Date: 10/6/2009 10:10:00 AM | | | Validation Level: V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Asbestos | 1332214 | 0.1 | | 0.1 % | | U | U | |
| Sample Name | HZET1000S001 | Matrix Type: SOIL | | | Result Type: Primary Result | | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 2612713-1 | Sample Date: 10/6/2009 10:30:00 AM | | | Validation Level: V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Asbestos | 1332214 | 0.1 | | 0.1 % | | U | U | |

Analysis Method *EPA 600/R-93/116*

Sample Name HZET1001S001 **Matrix Type:** SOIL **Result Type:** Primary Result
Lab Sample Name: 2612714-1 **Sample Date:** 10/6/2009 10:35:00 AM **Validation Level:** V

| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Asbestos | 1332214 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | % | U | U | |

Sample Name HZET1001S002 **Matrix Type:** SOIL **Result Type:** Primary Result
Lab Sample Name: 2612715-1 **Sample Date:** 10/6/2009 10:38:00 AM **Validation Level:** V

| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Asbestos | 1332214 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | % | U | U | |

Chain of Custody and Supporting Documentation

| | | | |
|--|-----|-------------------------------------|---|
| Client: <u>SSF1</u> | | SDG/ARCO/Work Order: <u>239273</u> | |
| Received By: <u>RMS</u> | | Date Received: <u>10/21/09</u> | |
| Suspected Hazard Information | Yes | No | *If Counts > x2 area background on samples not marked "radioactive", contact the Radiation Safety Group of further investigation. |
| COC/Samples marked as radioactive? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Maximum Counts Observed*: |
| Classified Radioactive II or III by RSO? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <u>300PM</u> |
| COC/Samples marked containing PCBs? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Shipped as a DOT Hazardous? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Hazard Class Shipped: UN#: |
| Samples identified as Foreign Soil? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

| Sample Receipt Criteria | | Yes | NA | No | Comments/Qualifiers (Required for Non-Conforming Items) |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Shipping containers received intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Circle Applicable: seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe) |
| 2 | Samples requiring cold preservation within (0 ≤ 6 deg. C)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Preservation Method: <u>ice bags</u> blue ice dry ice none other (describe) <u>#C</u> |
| 3 | Chain of custody documents included with shipment? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |
| 4 | Sample containers intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Circle Applicable: seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe) |
| 5 | Samples requiring chemical preservation at proper pH? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | Sample ID's, containers affected and observed pH: If Preservation added, Lot#: |
| 6 | VOA vials free of headspace (defined as < 6mm bubble)? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 7 | Are Encore containers present? | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (If yes, immediately deliver to Volatiles laboratory) |
| 8 | Samples received within holding time? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Id's and tests affected: |
| 9 | Sample ID's on COC match ID's on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 10 | Date & time on COC match date & time on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's affected: |
| 11 | Number of containers received match number indicated on COC? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | Sample ID's affected: |
| 12 | COC form is properly signed in relinquished/received sections? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |

Comments:
Ex: 7970 3302 7950

PM (or PMA) review: Initials JT Date 10/21/09

LABORATORY TASK ORDER (LTO) FORM

INSTRUCTIONS: To be completed by Environmental Contractor & Emailed to Laboratory Project Manager, CH2M HILL (boeingdms@ch2m.com) & the Data Validator at Least 48 hrs prior to need for sample containers. Project Analytical Laboratory will confirm receipt via E-Mail.

Event Name: ISRA Sampling, August 2009

Start: 8/24/2009

End: 9/30/2009

LTO DATE:

LTO NUMBER:

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Consultant Name: MWH Address: 2121 N. California Blvd. Ste. 600 Walnut Creek, CA 94596</p> <p>Contact Name: Sarah Von Raesfeld Phone Number: 925-627-4654 Fax Number: 925-627-4501 E-mail Address: Sarah.VonRaesfeld@mwhglobal.com</p> | <p>Contract Laboratory: GEL Address: 2040 Savage Rd. Charleston, SC 29407</p> <p>Lab Contact Name: Jackie Trudell Phone Number: 843-769-7388 Fax Number: 843-766-1178 E-mail Address: jacqueline.trudell@gel.com</p> |
|---|---|

SAMPLE CONTAINER ORDER FORM

Date Required: _____

Requested Analyses: (Specify # of Samples)

Date Sample Pickup: _____

Ship Containers To:
 Project Site _____ (enter "X")
 Consultant Office _____ (enter "X")
 Other Location (specify in comments) _____ (enter "X")

Container Information:
 Trip Blank (VOA only) No (Yes/No)
 Temp Blank (VOA Only) No (Yes/No)
 DI Water Required? No (Yes/No)
 MS/MSD Extra Bottles? No (Yes/No)

Sample Matrix:
 Soil X (select all applicable)
 Water X (select all applicable)
 Vapor _____ (select all applicable)

| | Water | Soil | Contingent |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Dioxins (1613B) | 15 | 124 | 0 |
| EPA 8015M (DRO) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8015M (JET FUEL) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8015M (CC) | -- | -- | -- |
| TCE (8260B) | 5 | 12 | 0 |
| EPA 8270C SIM (SVOC) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8310 (PAH) | -- | -- | -- |
| EPA 8082 (PCB) | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| Nickel (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Chromium (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Silver (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Cadmium (6020) | 10 | 35 | 0 |
| Arsenic (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| % Moisture (D2216) | 0 | 170 | 0 |
| Lead (6020) | 10 | 65 | 0 |
| Copper (6020) | 10 | 75 | 0 |
| Zinc (6020) | 5 | 20 | 0 |
| Mercury by 7471A/7470A | 5 | 25 | 0 |

Est. Total # of Samples: 175 Est. Total # of EDDs 40

LABORATORY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Project TAT:
 Normal: X (10 Business days)
 RUSH: 5 (Specify- 24 / 48 / 72HRS)
 Other : _____ (Specify # of Days)
 Report Due Date: _____

Laboratory Results/Reports Deliverables:
 Draft Results Fax?: _____ (Yes/No)
 Draft Results E-mail?: Yes (Yes/No)
 Specify Fax/E-mail Contact Name, #, E-mail Address: Sarah.VonRaesfeld@mwhglobal.com
 Send Original Reports To:

Special Reporting Requirements:
 Contingent Analysis? No (Yes/No)
 TIC (VOC) Required? No (Yes/No)
 TIC (SVOC) Required? No (Yes/No)
 Data Validation Pckge.: Tier III (Boeing Tier I, II or III)

Project Site _____ (enter "X")
 Consultant Office _____ (enter "X")
 Other Location (specify in comments) X (enter "X")
 # of Copies Reports Req.: 1

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS/LTO NOTES

CONFIRMATION OF TRANSMITTAL & RECEIPT

LTO Sent By:
 Name: Sarah Von Raesfeld
 Date: 09/02/09

LTO Received By-
 Name: _____
 Date: _____

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| Case Narrative | 1 |
| Chain of Custody and Supporting Documentation | 3 |
| Data Qualifiers Definitions | 7 |
| Laboratory Certifications | 9 |
| Subcontract Data Asbestos | 11 |
| High Resolution Dioxin and Furan Analysis | 14 |
| Percent Moisture | 453 |
| GC Semivolatile PCB Analysis | 457 |
| Sample Data Summary | 464 |
| Quality Control Summary..... | 466 |
| Sample Data | 472 |
| Standards Data..... | 478 |
| Quality Control Data | 575 |
| Miscellaneous Data | 604 |
| Metals Analysis | 611 |
| Case Narrative | 612 |
| Sample Data Summary | 617 |
| Quality Control Summary..... | 621 |
| Standards | 635 |
| Raw Data | 638 |
| Miscellaneous | 731 |

Case Narrative

**Case Narrative
for
Boeing - SSFL (MWH)
Work Order: 239273
SDG: 239273**

November 04, 2009

Laboratory Identification:

GEL Laboratories LLC
2040 Savage Road
Charleston, South Carolina 29407
(843) 556-8171

Summary:

Sample Receipt

The samples arrived at GEL Laboratories LLC, Charleston, South Carolina on October 21, 2009 for analysis. The samples were delivered with proper chain of custody documentation and signatures. All sample containers arrived without any visible signs of tampering or breakage. There are no additional comments concerning sample receipt.

The laboratory received the following samples:

| <u>Laboratory Identification</u> | <u>Sample Description</u> |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 239273001 | HZET0726S001 |
| 239273002 | HZET0727S001 |
| 239273003 | HZET1002S001 |
| 239273004 | HZET1100S001 |

Items of Note

Santa Susanna Field Laboratory Technical Representative was contacted seeking resolution to any analytical and/or receipt issues. Please see the enclosed e-mails.

Case Narrative

Sample analyses were conducted using methodology as outlined in GEL Laboratories, LLC (GEL) Standard Operating Procedures. Any technical or administrative problems during analysis, data review, and reduction are contained in the analytical case narratives in the enclosed data package.

Data Package:

The enclosed data package contains the following sections: Case Narrative, Chain of Custody, Cooler Receipt Checklist, Data Package Qualifier Definitions and data from the following fractions: GC Semivolatile PCB, Metals, Percent Moisture, Asbestos (EMLab P&K) and Dioxins (Cape Fear Analytical).

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the subcontract and task order, both technically and for the completeness, for other than the conditions detailed in the attached case narratives.



Jacqueline Trudell
Project Manager

Data Qualifiers Definitions

Data Review Qualifier Definitions

| Qualifier | Explanation |
|-----------|---|
| * | A quality control analyte recovery is outside of specified acceptance criteria |
| ** | Analyte is a surrogate compound |
| < | Result is less than value reported |
| > | Result is greater than value reported |
| ^ | RPD of sample and duplicate evaluated using +/-RL. Concentrations are <5X the RL |
| A | The TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product |
| B | Target analyte was detected in the associated blank |
| B | Metals-Either presence of analyte detected in the associated blank, or MDL/IDL < sample value < PQL |
| BD | Results are either below the MDC or tracer recovery is low |
| C | Analyte has been confirmed by GC/MS analysis |
| D | Results are reported from a diluted aliquot of the sample |
| d | 5-day BOD-The 2:1 depletion requirement was not met for this sample |
| E | Organics-Concentration of the target analyte exceeds the instrument calibration range |
| E | Metals-%difference of sample and SD is >10%. Sample concentration must meet flagging criteria |
| H | Analytical holding time was exceeded |
| h | Preparation or preservation holding time was exceeded |
| J | Value is estimated |
| N | Metals-The Matrix spike sample recovery is not within specified control limits |
| N | Organics-Presumptive evidence based on mass spectral library search to make a tentative identification of the analyte (TIC). Quantitation is based on nearest internal standard response factor |
| N/A | Spike recovery limits do not apply. Sample concentration exceeds spike concentration by 4X or more |
| ND | Analyte concentration is not detected above the reporting limit |
| UI | Gamma Spectroscopy-Uncertain identification |
| X | Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier |
| Y | QC Samples were not spiked with this compound |
| Z | Paint Filter Test-Particulates passed through the filter, however no free liquids were observed. |

Laboratory Certifications

List of current GEL Certifications as of 23 October 2009

| State | Certification |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Arizona | AZ0668 |
| Arkansas | 88-0651 |
| CLIA | 42D0904046 |
| California – NELAP | 01151CA |
| Colorado | GEL |
| Connecticut | PH-0169 |
| Dept. of Navy | NFESC 413 |
| EPA Region 5 | WG-15J |
| Florida – NELAP | E87156 |
| Georgia | E87156 (FL/NELAP) |
| Georgia DW | 967 |
| Hawaii | N/A |
| ISO 17025 | 2567.01 |
| Idaho | SC00012 |
| Illinois – NELAP | 200029 |
| Indiana | C-SC-01 |
| Kansas – NELAP | E-10332 |
| Kentucky | 90129 |
| Louisiana – NELAP | 03046 |
| Maryland | 270 |
| Massachusetts | M-SC012 |
| Nevada | SC00012 |
| New Jersey – NELAP | SC002 |
| New Mexico | FL NELAP E87156 |
| New York – NELAP | 11501 |
| North Carolina | 233 |
| North Carolina DW | 45709 |
| Oklahoma | 9904 |
| Pennsylvania – NELAP | 68-00485 |
| South Carolina | 10120001/10120002 |
| Tennessee | TN 02934 |
| Texas – NELAP | T104704235-07B-TX |
| U.S. Dept. of Agriculture | S-52597 |
| Utah – NELAP | GEL |
| Vermont | VT87156 |
| Virginia | 00151 |
| Washington | C1641 |



DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Boeing SSFL RFI ISRA

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: 239273

Prepared by

MECX, LP
12269 East Vassar Drive
Aurora, CO 80014

I. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: Boeing SSFL RFI ISRA
Contract Task Order: 1261.500D.00
Sample Delivery Group: 239273
Project Manager: Dixie Hambrick
Matrix: soil
QC Level: V
No. of Samples: 4
No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0
Laboratory: GEL

Table 1. Sample Identification

| <i>Sample Name</i> | <i>Lab Sample Name</i> | <i>Sub-Lab Sample Name</i> | <i>Matrix</i> | <i>Collection</i> | <i>Method</i> |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| HZET0726S001 | 239273001 | 1102001 | SOIL | 10/19/2009 8:55:00 AM | 1613B, 6020 |
| HZET0727S001 | 239273002 | 1102002 | SOIL | 10/19/2009 9:05:00 AM | 1613B, 6020 |
| HZET1002S001 | 239273003 | 2628573-1 | SOIL | 10/19/2009 10:00:00 AM | EPA 600/R-93/116, 8082 |
| HZET1100S001 | 239273004 | 1102003 | SOIL | 10/19/2009 12:05:00 PM | 1613B, 6020 |

II. Sample Management

No anomalies were observed regarding sample management. The samples in this SDG were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of 4°C ±2°C. According to the case narrative for this SDG, the samples were received intact, on ice, and properly preserved, if applicable. The COCs were appropriately signed and dated by field and/or laboratory personnel. Custody seals were intact. If necessary, the client ID was added to the sample result summary by the reviewer.

Data Qualifier Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics | Inorganics |
|-----------|---|---|
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. The associated value is the quantitation limit or the estimated detection limit for dioxins or PCB congeners. | The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is either the sample quantitation limit or the sample detection limit. The associated value is the sample detection limit or the quantitation limit for perchlorate only. |
| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. | The associated value is an estimated quantity. |
| N | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification." | Not applicable. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. | Not applicable. |
| UJ | The analyte was not deemed above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. | The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated value is an estimate and may be inaccurate or imprecise. |
| T-I | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents a compound with a CAS number and fit greater than 80%. | Not applicable |

| | | |
|-------|--|--|
| T-II | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents a class of compound but not of sufficient identification quality to represent a specific compound. | Not applicable |
| T-III | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents an unknown compound. | Not applicable |
| R | The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified. | The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified. |

Qualification Code Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics | Inorganics |
|-----------|--|---|
| H | Holding times were exceeded. | Holding times were exceeded. |
| S | Surrogate recovery was outside QC limits. | The sequence or number of standards used for the calibration was incorrect |
| C | Calibration %RSD or %D was noncompliant. | Correlation coefficient is <0.995. |
| R | Calibration RRF was <0.05. | %R for calibration is not within control limits. |
| B | Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) blank results. | Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) or calibration blank results. |
| L | Laboratory Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate %R was not within control limits. | Laboratory Control Sample %R was not within control limits. |
| Q | MS/MSD recovery was poor or RPD high. | MS recovery was poor. |
| E | Not applicable. | Duplicates showed poor agreement. |
| I | Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory. | ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory. |
| A | Not applicable. | ICP Serial Dilution %D were not within control limits. |
| M | Tuning (BFB or DFTPP) was noncompliant. | Not applicable. |
| T | Presumed contamination as indicated by the trip blank results. | Not applicable. |
| + | False positive – reported compound was not present. | Not applicable. |
| - | False negative – compound was present but not reported. | Not applicable. |
| F | Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results. | Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results. |
| \$ | Reported result or other information was incorrect. | Reported result or other information was incorrect. |
| ? | TIC identity or reported retention time has been changed. | Not applicable. |

Qualification Code Reference Table Cont.

| | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| D | The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available. | The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available. |
| P | Instrument performance for pesticides was poor. | Post Digestion Spike recovery was not within control limits. |
| *II, *III | Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found. | Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found. |

III. Method Analyses

A. EPA METHOD 600/R-93/116—Asbestos

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: November 9, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC^x Data Validation Procedure for General Minerals (DVP-6, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Method 600/R-93/116*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (07/02)*.

- Holding Times: There is no established holding time for asbestos analysis; however, the sample was analyzed within 30 days of collection.
- Calibration: No polarized light microscope calibration information was provided by the laboratory, however, review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Blanks: Method blanks are not applicable to this analysis. The laboratory did not provide documentation indicating that supplies used in the analysis of the sample were checked and found to be free from asbestos contamination.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: Not applicable to this analysis.
- Laboratory Duplicates: No laboratory duplicate analyses were performed.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: Not applicable to this analysis.
- Sample Result Verification: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
 - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: The field blank and equipment rinsate associated with the samples in this SDG were not analyzed for asbestos.
 - Field Duplicates: There were no filed duplicate samples identified in this SDG.

B. EPA METHOD 1613—Dioxin/Furans

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: November 9, 2009

The samples listed in Table 1 for this analysis were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC^X Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 0)*, *USEPA Method 1613*, and the *National Functional Guidelines Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (08/02)*.

- Holding Times: Extraction and analytical holding times were met. The samples were extracted and analyzed within one year of collection.
- Instrument Performance: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Calibration: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Blanks: The soil method blank reported detects below the PQL or estimated maximum possible concentrations (EMPCs) for all target compounds except TCDD. Detects less than the reporting limit or less than 5x the method blank detects were qualified as nondetected, "U," at the EDL. Detected results for all totals were qualified as estimated, "J," due to detects in the soil method blank.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: Recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Samples: Recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
 - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: FBQW2239 (235913) was the field blank associated with the samples in this SDG; however, the sample was not analyzed for dioxins. The samples in this SDG had no identified equipment rinsate.
 - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.
- Internal Standards Performance: Internal standard recoveries are not routinely evaluated at a Level V validation; however, the recoveries were reported on the sample result summaries. The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613.

- **Compound Identification:** Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The laboratory performed confirmation analyses for 2,3,7,8-TCDF. When the original result was reported as an EMPC, the original result was rejected, "R," in favor the confirmation result. When the original result was not reported as an EMPC, or if both the original analysis and the confirmation analysis were both reported as EMPCs, the confirmation result was rejected, "R," in favor of the initial result.
- **Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits:** Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. EMPCs were identified in the sample of this SDG, as denoted by the laboratory "K," code. For individual isomers identified as EMPCs, the results were qualified as estimated nondetects, "UJ." Totals reported as EMPCs were qualified as estimated, "J," as only a portion of the total was identified as an EMPC. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detect below the laboratory lower calibration level was qualified as estimated, "J." Nondetects are valid to the estimated detection limit (EDL).

C. EPA METHOD 6020—Metals

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: November 9, 2009

The samples listed in Table 1 for this analysis were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC^X Data Validation Procedure for Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0 and DVP-21, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Method 6020*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (7/02)*.

- **Holding Times:** The analytical holding time, six months for ICP-MS, was met.
- **Tuning:** Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- **Calibration:** Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- **Blanks:** Method blanks and CCBs had no detects.
- **Interference Check Samples:** Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- **Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples:** Recoveries were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- **Laboratory Duplicates:** A laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on HZET0726S001. The RPDs were within the method-established control limit.
- **Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate:** MS/MSD analyses were performed on HZET0726S001. Both lead recoveries and the copper MSD recovery were below the

control limit. The copper MS recovery was below 30%. Detects for copper and lead were qualified as estimated, "J." Both RPDs were within method-established QC limits.

- Serial Dilution: A serial dilution analysis was performed on HZET0726S001. The %Ds were within the method-established control limit..
- Internal Standards Performance: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Sample Result Verification: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. As the samples in this SDG were validated at Level V, the QC information necessary to make an absolute determination of bias in the samples was not reviewed; therefore, when qualifications were applied, no bias was assigned. Any result reported between the MDL and the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." Reported nondetects are valid to the MDL.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
 - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: FBQW2239 (235913) was the field blank associated with the samples in this SDG. There were no applicable detects in this sample. The samples in this SDG had no identified equipment rinsate.
 - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

D. EPA METHOD 8082—PCBs

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: November 9, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC^x Data Validation Procedure for Organochlorine Pesticides/PCBs by GC (DVP-4, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Method 8082*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (10/99)*.

- Holding Times: Extraction and analytical holding times were met. The soil sample was extracted within 14 days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.
- Calibration: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Blanks: The method blank had no target compound detects above the MDL.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: Recoveries were within laboratory-established QC limits.

- Surrogate Recovery: Recoveries were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG. Recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
 - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: FBQW2239 (235913) was the field blank associated with the sample in this SDG. There were no detects above the MDL in this sample. The sample in this SDG had no associated equipment rinsate.
 - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.
- Compound Identification: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. The laboratory analyzed for Aroclors by Method 8082.
- Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. Any result reported between the MDL and the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." Reported nondetects are valid to the reporting limit.

Validated Sample Result Forms: 239273

Analysis Method 1613B

| Sample Name | HZET0726S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|--|
| Lab Sample Name: | 1102001 | Sample Date: | 10/19/2009 8:55:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 35822469 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.12 | pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.184 and EDL from 0.164 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 67562394 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.12 | pg/g | JK | UJ | *III,result changed from 0.144 and EDL from 0.0858 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 55673897 | 0.145 | 2.12 | 0.145 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 39227286 | 0.0914 | 2.12 | 0.0914 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 70648269 | 0.0943 | 2.12 | 0.0943 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 57653857 | 0.104 | 2.12 | 0.104 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 57117449 | 0.0978 | 2.12 | 0.0978 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 19408743 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.12 | pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.124 and EDL from 0.102 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 72918219 | 0.146 | 2.12 | 0.146 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 40321764 | 0.0711 | 2.12 | 0.0711 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 57117416 | 0.0655 | 2.12 | 0.0655 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 60851345 | 0.102 | 2.12 | 0.102 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 57117314 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.12 | pg/g | JK | UJ | *III,result changed from 0.0745and EDL from 0.0631 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1746016 | 0.0893 | 0.423 | 0.0893 | pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.267 | 0.423 | 0.0885 | pg/g | J | R | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.186 | 0.423 | 0.124 | pg/g | J | J | |
| OCDD | 3268879 | 4.23 | 4.23 | 4.23 | pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.8 and EDL from 0.266 |
| OCDF | 39001020 | 0.227 | 4.23 | 0.227 | pg/g | U | U | |
| TEQ WHO2005 ND=0 with EMPCs | | 0.0568 | | | pg/g | | | |
| TEQ WHO2005 ND=0.5 with EMPCs | | 0.171 | | | pg/g | | | |
| Total HpCDD | 37871004 | 0.184 | 2.12 | 0.164 | pg/g | J | J | *III, B |
| Total HpCDF | 38998753 | 0.144 | 2.12 | 0.0858 | pg/g | J | J | *III, B |
| Total HxCDD | 34465468 | 0.124 | 2.12 | 0.0914 | pg/g | J | J | *III, B |
| Total HxCDF | 55684941 | 0.0943 | 2.12 | 0.0943 | pg/g | U | U | |
| Total PeCDD | 36088229 | 0.0711 | 2.12 | 0.0711 | pg/g | U | U | |
| Total PeCDF | 30402154 | 0.0745 | 2.12 | 0.0435 | pg/g | J | J | *III, B |
| Total TCDD | 41903575 | 0.0893 | 0.423 | 0.0893 | pg/g | U | U | |
| Total TCDFs | 30402143 | 0.335 | 0.423 | 0.124 | pg/g | J | J | B |

Analysis Method 1613B

| Sample Name | HZET0727S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|
| Lab Sample Name: | 1102002 | Sample Date: | 10/19/2009 9:05:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 35822469 | 0.453 | 2.33 | 0.19 pg/g | J | J | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 67562394 | 0.332 | 2.33 | 0.091 pg/g | J | J | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 55673897 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.285 and EDL from 0.159 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 39227286 | 0.239 | 2.33 | 0.106 pg/g | J | J | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 70648269 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.207 and EDL from 0.0724 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 57653857 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.293 and EDL from 0.116 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 57117449 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III,result changed from 0.233 and EDL from 0.0733 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 19408743 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.334 and EDL from 0.116 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 72918219 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.351 and EDL from 0.108 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 40321764 | 0.168 | 2.33 | 0.0742 pg/g | J | J | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 57117416 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III,result changed from 0.209 and EDL from 0.0683 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 60851345 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.352 and EDL from 0.0746 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 57117314 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.239 and EDL from 0.0694 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1746016 | 0.0921 | 0.466 | 0.0921 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.371 | 0.466 | 0.099 pg/g | J | J | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.457 | 0.466 | 0.0994 pg/g | JK | R | D |
| OCDD | 3268879 | 4.66 | 4.66 | 4.66 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III,result changed from 1.42 and EDL from 0.345 |
| OCDF | 39001020 | 4.66 | 4.66 | 4.66 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.841 and EDL from 0.283 |
| TEQ WHO2005 ND=0 with EMPCs | | 0.495 | | pg/g | | | |
| TEQ WHO2005 ND=0.5 with EMPCs | | 0.541 | | pg/g | | | |
| Total HpCDD | 37871004 | 0.453 | 2.33 | 0.19 pg/g | J | J | B |
| Total HpCDF | 38998753 | 0.617 | 2.33 | 0.091 pg/g | J | J | B |
| Total HxCDD | 34465468 | 0.865 | 2.33 | 0.106 pg/g | J | J | *III, B |
| Total HxCDF | 55684941 | 1.14 | 2.33 | 0.0724 pg/g | J | J | *III, B |
| Total PeCDD | 36088229 | 0.168 | 2.33 | 0.0742 pg/g | J | J | B |
| Total PeCDF | 30402154 | 0.448 | 2.33 | 0.0683 pg/g | J | J | *III, B |
| Total TCDD | 41903575 | 0.0921 | 0.466 | 0.0921 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total TCDFs | 30402143 | 0.931 | 0.466 | 0.099 pg/g | | J | *III, B |

Analysis Method 1613B

| Sample Name | HZET1100S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|
| Lab Sample Name: | 1102003 | Sample Date: | 10/19/2009 12:05:00 PM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 35822469 | 0.151 | 2.11 | 0.136 pg/g | J | J | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 67562394 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 2.11 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III,result changed from 0.108 and EDL from 0.0682 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 55673897 | 0.115 | 2.11 | 0.115 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 39227286 | 0.0876 | 2.11 | 0.0876 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 70648269 | 0.0655 | 2.11 | 0.0655 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 57653857 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 2.11 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.644 and EDL from 0.0957 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 57117449 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 2.11 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.563 and EDL from 0.0671 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 19408743 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 2.11 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III,result changed from 0.893 and EDL from 0.0962 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 72918219 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 2.11 pg/g | JK | UJ | *III, result changed from 0.14 and EDL from 0.103 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 40321764 | 0.0719 | 2.11 | 0.0719 pg/g | U | U | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 57117416 | 0.0761 | 2.11 | 0.0761 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 60851345 | 0.0727 | 2.11 | 0.0727 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 57117314 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 2.11 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.0964 and EDL from 0.0715 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1746016 | 0.07 | 0.423 | 0.07 pg/g | U | U | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.24 | 0.423 | 0.114 pg/g | J | J | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 51207319 | 0.294 | 0.423 | 0.0797 pg/g | J | R | D |
| OCDD | 3268879 | 4.23 | 4.23 | 4.23 pg/g | J | U | B, result changed from 0.445 and EDL from 0.233 |
| OCDF | 39001020 | 0.201 | 4.23 | 0.201 pg/g | U | U | |
| TEQ WHO2005 ND=0 with EMPCs | | 0.28 | | pg/g | | | |
| TEQ WHO2005 ND=0.5 with EMPCs | | 0.364 | | pg/g | | | |
| Total HpCDD | 37871004 | 0.151 | 2.11 | 0.136 pg/g | J | J | B |
| Total HpCDF | 38998753 | 0.108 | 2.11 | 0.0682 pg/g | J | J | *III, B |
| Total HxCDD | 34465468 | 1.54 | 2.11 | 0.0876 pg/g | J | J | *III, B |
| Total HxCDF | 55684941 | 0.786 | 2.11 | 0.0655 pg/g | J | J | *III, B |
| Total PeCDD | 36088229 | 0.0719 | 2.11 | 0.0719 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total PeCDF | 30402154 | 0.179 | 2.11 | 0.0715 pg/g | J | J | B |
| Total TCDD | 41903575 | 0.07 | 0.423 | 0.07 pg/g | U | U | |
| Total TCDFs | 30402143 | 0.578 | 0.423 | 0.114 pg/g | | J | B |

Analysis Method 6020

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sample Name | HZET0726S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 239273001 | Sample Date: | 10/19/2009 8:55:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Copper | 7440508 | 9.76 | 1.02 | 0.337 mg/kg | N | J | Q |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sample Name | HZET0727S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 239273002 | Sample Date: | 10/19/2009 9:05:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Copper | 7440508 | 10.4 | 1.08 | 0.356 mg/kg | N | J | Q |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sample Name | HZET1100S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 239273004 | Sample Date: | 10/19/2009 12:05:00 PM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Lead | 7439921 | 4.63 | 0.406 | 0.102 mg/kg | N | J | Q |

Analysis Method 8082

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sample Name | HZET1002S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 239273003 | Sample Date: | 10/19/2009 10:00:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Aroclor-1016 | 12674112 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 1.17 ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1221 | 11104282 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 1.17 ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1232 | 11141165 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 1.17 ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1242 | 53469219 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 1.17 ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1248 | 12672296 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 1.17 ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1254 | 11097691 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 1.17 ug/kg | U | U | |
| Aroclor-1260 | 11096825 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 1.17 ug/kg | U | U | |

Analysis Method EPA 600/R-93/116

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sample Name | HZET1002S001 | Matrix Type: | SOIL | Result Type: | Primary Result | | |
| Lab Sample Name: | 2628573-1 | Sample Date: | 10/19/2009 10:00:00 AM | Validation Level: | V | | |
| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
| Asbestos | 1332214 | 0.1 | | 0.1 % | U | U | |

Chain of Custody and Supporting Documentation

239316H

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| Client: <u>SSFI</u> | | SDG/ARCOC/Work Order: <u>238234</u> ST 10/20/09 | |
| Received By: <u>Ams</u> | | Date Received: <u>10/3/09</u> | |
| Suspected Hazard Information | | Yes | No |
| COC/Samples marked as radioactive? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Classified Radioactive II or III by RSO? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| COC/Samples marked containing PCBs? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Shipped as a DOT Hazardous? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Samples identified as Foreign Soil? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

*If Counts > x2 area background on samples not marked "radioactive", contact the Radiation Safety Group of further investigation.

Maximum Counts Observed*: 30cpm

Hazard Class Shipped: UN#:

| Sample Receipt Criteria | Yes | NA | No | Comments/Qualifiers (Required for Non-Conforming Items). |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 1 Shipping containers received intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Circle Applicable: seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe) |
| 2 Samples requiring cold preservation within (0 ≤ 6 deg. C)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Preservation Method: <u>ice bags</u> blue ice dry ice none other (describe) <u>27.3°</u> |
| 3 Chain of custody documents included with shipment? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 4 Sample containers intact and sealed? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Circle Applicable: seals broken <u>damaged container</u> leaking container other (describe) <u>received (2) broken Amber IL 10: EBRU2249</u> |
| 5 Samples requiring chemical preservation at proper pH? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Sample ID's, containers affected and observed pH: If Preservation added, Lot#: |
| 6 VOA vials free of headspace (defined as < 6mm bubble)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 7 Are Encore containers present? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | (If yes, immediately deliver to Volatiles laboratory) |
| 8 Samples received within holding time? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Id's and tests affected: |
| 9 Sample ID's on COC match ID's on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Sample ID's and containers affected: |
| 10 Date & time on COC match date & time on bottles? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Sample ID's affected: |
| 11 Number of containers received match number indicated on COC? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Sample ID's affected: |
| 12 COC form is properly signed in relinquished/received sections? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

Comments:

Fx: 9457 5163 0800
" " 0795
" 3159 3957

PM (or PMA) review: Initials Ams Date 10/2/09

Date: 10/20/09

Requesting Firm: MWH
Address: 9444 Farnham Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92123
Phone: 858-751-1217
Fax: 858-751-1201
E-mail: Sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com

To: Jackie Trudell
Laboratory GEL Laboratories, LLC

Phone: 843-769-7388
E-mail:
jacqueline.trudell@gel.com

From: Sean Leffler
Requestor signature: 

Subject: Chain-of-Custody Form Analytical Request Change No. of Pages: 3

Per Request:

Please make the changes listed below to the chain-of-custody analytical request form. Include this form with the final deliverables for these samples.

| COC No. | Client Sample ID(s) | Date Collected | Originally Requested Analyses | Change (s) and Method (s) Now Requested |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| MWHBM2 0091001_0 0 | HVBF33AS01, HVBF33AS02 | 10/1/09 | | Add aluminum and boron by 6010 on 48 hour TAT |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

The reason for these changes:

Incorrectly marked on COC form

Lack of sample volume

Change in analytical request

Other:

X

Thank you



288234

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

COC #:

MWH-BM20091001_00
Page: 1 of 2

| Customer Information | | | Project Information | | | Project Information | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|--|---|
| Site: | SSFL | Client Name: | Boeing | Collector: | B. Martash | Boeing PM: | | |
| Company: | MWH | Sampling Event: | ISRA Sampling, August 2009 | Contact #: | | | | |
| Report to: | Sarah Von Raesfeld | Project Number: | 1881614.05482 | Requested Analytes | | | | |
| Address: | 2121 N. California Blvd | Project Manager: | Alex Fichtl | Dioxin by 1613B - Water | 10 | 10 | | Legend: Numerical values for analytes equate to turn around time in days H - Hold EH - Extract/Extrude & Hold Note: Values in the cells below are Turn Around Times. Instructions/TAT |
| | Suite 600 | PM Phone #: | (926) 627-4627 | Dioxin by 1613B - Soil | 5 | 5 | | |
| | Walnut Creek | Field Contact: | Brian Martash | PCB by SW8082 - Water | 10 | 10 | | |
| | CA | Field Contact #: | (323) 304-4889 | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | 5 | 5 | | |
| | 94696 | Lab Name: | GEL Laboratories, LLC | Metals by 6010/9020/7470A - Water | 10 | 10 | | |
| | sarah.vonraesfeld@mwhglobal.c | Lab Contact: | Jackie Trudell | Metals by 6010/9020/7471A - Soil | | | | |
| | sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com | Lab Address: | 2040 Savage Road | Perchlorate 314 Soil DI-WET | | | | |
| | | Lab Phone: | Charleston, SC 29407 | SVOcs by SW8270C SIM - Water | 10 | 10 | | |
| | | | (843) 789-7388 | SVOcs by SW8270C SIM - Soil | 5 | 5 | | |
| | | | | TPH by SW80158M - Water | 10 | 10 | | |
| Sample Name | Matrix | Date | Time | No. of Containers | | | | |
| EBOW2249 | Water | 10/1/2009 | 16:30 | 9 | | | | |
| HVBF33AS01 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 10:18 | 2 | | | | Metals 6010B Soil Boron Metals 6010B Soil Aluminum |
| HVBF33AS02 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 10:40 | 2 | | | | 2 2 |
| HZBS0080AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 14:35 | 3 | | | | 2 2 |
| HZBS0080AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 14:45 | 3 | | | | 2 2 |
| HZBS0082AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 8:30 | 3 | | | | 5 |
| HZBS0082AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 9:05 | 3 | | | | 5 |
| HZBS0084AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 7:50 | 3 | | | | 5 |
| HZBS0084AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 8:15 | 3 | | | | 5 |
| HZBS0123AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 13:15 | 3 | | | | 5 |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|-----------------|-------|---------|
| 1. Relinquished by: | Date: | 10/1/09 | 2. Received by: | Date: | 10/2/09 |
| | Time: | 1445 | | Time: | 915 |
| Company: | | | Company: | | |
| MWH | | | GEL | | |
| 3. Relinquished by: | Date: | | 4. Received by: | Date: | |
| | | | | | |
| Company: | | | Company: | | |
| | | | | | |

Geotractor EDF
 Data Validation Package Level IV

① SSL 10/8/09
 ② SSL 10/20/09



CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

COC #:

| Customer Information | | Project Information | | Project Information | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Site: | SSFL | Client Name: | Boeing | Collector: | B. Marlasin | | |
| Company: | MWH | Sampling Event: | ISRA Sampling, August 2009 | Contact #: | | | |
| Report to: | Sarah Von Raesfeld | Project Number: | 1891614.06462 | Requested Analyses | | | |
| Address: | 2121 N. California Blvd | Project Manager: | Alex Fischl | | | | |
| | Suite 600 | PM Phone #: | (925) 627-4627 | | | | |
| | Walnut Creek | Field Contact: | Brian Marlasin | | | | |
| | CA | Field Contact #: | (323) 304-4989 | | | | |
| | 94598 | Lab Name: | GEL Laboratories, LLC | | | | |
| Email: | sarah.vonraesfeld@mwhglobal.c | Lab Contact: | Jackie Trudell | | | | |
| | sean.leffler@mwhglobal.com | Lab Address: | 2040 Savage Road | | | | |
| | | Lab Phone: | Charleston, SC 29407 | | | | |
| | | Lab Phone: | (843) 769-7388 | | | | |
| Sample Name | Matrx | Date | Time | No. of Containers | Requested Analyses | | Instructions/TAI |
| HZBS0123AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 13:30 | 3 | Dioxin by 1613B - Soil | 5 | Legend: Numerical values for analyses equate to turn around time in days H - Hold EH - Extract/Extracte & Hold Note: Values in the calls below are Turn-Around Times. |
| HZBS0124AS001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 11:00 | 3 | Dioxin by 1613B - Water | 5 | |
| HZBS0124AS002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 12:30 | 3 | Dioxin by 1613B - Soil | 5 | |
| HZBS0175S001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 13:50 | 3 | PCB by SW8082 - Water | 5 | |
| HZBS0175S002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 14:10 | 3 | PCB by SW8082 - Soil | 5 | |
| HZBS0177S001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 15:00 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7471A - Soil | 5 | |
| HZBS0177S002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 15:15 | 3 | Metals by 6010/6020/7470A - Water | 5 | |
| HZBS0180S001 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 9:30 | 3 | Perchlorate 314 Soil DI-WET | 5 | |
| HZBS0180S002 | Soil | 10/1/2009 | 10:00 | 3 | PCB by SW8082 - Water | 5 | |
| | | | | | TPH by SW8015BM - Water | 5 | |
| | | | | | TPH by SW8015BM - Soil | 5 | |
| | | | | | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Water | 5 | |
| | | | | | SVOCs by SW8270C SIM - Soil | 5 | |
| | | | | | D2216 Moisture Sol | 5 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------------|---------|---------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 1. Relinquished by: | | 2. Received by: | | 3. Relinquished by: | | 4. Received by: | |
| Date: | 10/1/09 | Date: | 10/2/09 | Date: | | Date: | |
| Time: | 1445 | Time: | 9:15 | Time: | | Time: | |
| Company: | MWH | Company: | GEL | Company: | | Company: | |
| Comments: <input type="checkbox"/> Geotracker EDF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Validation Package <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level IV | | | | | | | |

OSSC 10/8/09

LABORATORY TASK ORDER (LTO) FORM

INSTRUCTIONS: To be completed by Environmental Contractor & Emailed to Laboratory Project Manager, CH2M HILL (boeingdms@ch2m.com) & the Data Validator at Least 48 hrs prior to need for sample containers. Project Analytical Laboratory will confirm receipt via E-Mail.

Event Name: ISRA Sampling, August 2009 _____

Start: 8/24/2009 _____

End: 9/30/2009 _____

LTO DATE:

LTO NUMBER:

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Consultant Name: <u>MWH</u> Address: <u>2121 N. California Blvd. Ste. 600</u> <u>Walnut Creek, CA 94596</u></p> <p>Contact Name: <u>Sarah Von Raesfeld</u> Phone Number: <u>925-627-4654</u> Fax Number: <u>925-627-4501</u> E-mail Address: <u>Sarah.VonRaesfeld@mwhglobal.com</u></p> | <p>Contract Laboratory: <u>GEL</u> Address: <u>2040 Savage Rd.</u> <u>Charleston, SC 29407</u></p> <p>Lab Contact Name: <u>Jackie Trudell</u> Phone Number: <u>843-769-7388</u> Fax Number: <u>843-766-1178</u> E-mail Address: <u>jacqueline.trudell@gel.com</u></p> |
|--|--|

SAMPLE CONTAINER ORDER FORM

| <p>Date Required: _____</p> <p>Date Sample Pickup: _____</p> <p>Ship Containers To: Project Site _____ (enter "X") Consultant Office _____ (enter "X") Other Location (specify in comments) _____ (enter "X")</p> <p>Container Information: Trip Blank (VOA only) <u>No</u> (Yes/No) Temp Blank (VOA Only) <u>No</u> (Yes/No) DI Water Required? <u>No</u> (Yes/No) MS/MSD Extra Bottles? <u>No</u> (Yes/No)</p> <p>Sample Matrix: Soil <u>X</u> (select all applicable) Water <u>X</u> (select all applicable) Vapor _____ (select all applicable)</p> <p>Est. Total # of Samples: <u>175</u> Est. Total # of EDDs <u>40</u></p> | <p>Requested Analyses: (Specify # of Samples)</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">Water</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Soil</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Contingent</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Dioxins (1613B)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">15</td> <td style="text-align: center;">124</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EPA 8015M (DRO)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EPA 8015M (JET FUEL)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EPA 8015M (CC)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TCE (8260B)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">12</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EPA 8270C SIM (SVOC)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EPA 8310 (PAH)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EPA 8082 (PCB)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nickel (6020)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chromium (6020)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Silver (6020)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cadmium (6020)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">35</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Arsenic (6020)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% Moisture (D2216)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">170</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lead (6020)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">65</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Copper (6020)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">75</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Zinc (6020)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mercury by 7471A/7470A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">25</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Water | Soil | Contingent | Dioxins (1613B) | 15 | 124 | 0 | EPA 8015M (DRO) | -- | -- | -- | EPA 8015M (JET FUEL) | -- | -- | -- | EPA 8015M (CC) | -- | -- | -- | TCE (8260B) | 5 | 12 | 0 | EPA 8270C SIM (SVOC) | -- | -- | -- | EPA 8310 (PAH) | -- | -- | -- | EPA 8082 (PCB) | 3 | 5 | 0 | Nickel (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 | Chromium (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 | Silver (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 | Cadmium (6020) | 10 | 35 | 0 | Arsenic (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 | % Moisture (D2216) | 0 | 170 | 0 | Lead (6020) | 10 | 65 | 0 | Copper (6020) | 10 | 75 | 0 | Zinc (6020) | 5 | 20 | 0 | Mercury by 7471A/7470A | 5 | 25 | 0 |
|---|---|------|------------|------|------------|------------------------|----|-----|---|-----------------|----|----|----|----------------------|----|----|----|----------------|----|----|----|--------------------|---|----|---|----------------------|----|----|----|----------------|----|----|----|-----------------------|---|---|---|----------------------|---|----|---|------------------------|---|----|---|----------------------|---|----|---|-----------------------|----|----|---|-----------------------|---|----|---|---------------------------|---|-----|---|--------------------|----|----|---|----------------------|----|----|---|--------------------|---|----|---|-------------------------------|---|----|---|
| | Water | Soil | Contingent | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dioxins (1613B) | 15 | 124 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EPA 8015M (DRO) | -- | -- | -- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EPA 8015M (JET FUEL) | -- | -- | -- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EPA 8015M (CC) | -- | -- | -- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TCE (8260B) | 5 | 12 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EPA 8270C SIM (SVOC) | -- | -- | -- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EPA 8310 (PAH) | -- | -- | -- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EPA 8082 (PCB) | 3 | 5 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nickel (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chromium (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Silver (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cadmium (6020) | 10 | 35 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic (6020) | 5 | 10 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % Moisture (D2216) | 0 | 170 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead (6020) | 10 | 65 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Copper (6020) | 10 | 75 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zinc (6020) | 5 | 20 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury by 7471A/7470A | 5 | 25 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

LABORATORY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Project TAT: Normal: <u>X</u> (10 Business days) RUSH: <u>5</u> (Specify- 24 / 48 / 72HRS) Other : _____ (Specify # of Days) Report Due Date: _____</p> <p>Special Reporting Requirements: Contingent Analysis? <u>No</u> (Yes/No) TIC (VOC) Required? <u>No</u> (Yes/No) TIC (SVOC) Required? <u>No</u> (Yes/No) Data Validation Pckge.: <u>Tier III</u> (Boeing Tier I, II or III)</p> | <p>Laboratory Results/Reports Deliverables: Draft Results Fax?: _____ (Yes/No) Draft Results E-mail?: <u>Yes</u> (Yes/No) Specify Fax/E-mail Contact Name, #, E-mail Address: <u>Sarah.VonRaesfeld@mwhglobal.com</u> Send Original Reports To: Project Site _____ (enter "X") Consultant Office _____ (enter "X") Other Location (specify in comments) <u>X</u> (enter "X") # of Copies Reports Req.: <u>1</u></p> |
|--|--|

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS/LTO NOTES

CONFIRMATION OF TRANSMITTAL & RECEIPT

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>LTO Sent By: Name: <u>Sarah Von Raesfeld</u> Date: <u>09/02/09</u></p> | <p>LTO Received By-: Name: _____ Date: _____</p> |
|--|---|

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Case Narrative | 1 |
| Chain of Custody and Supporting Documentation | 3 |
| Data Qualifiers Definitions | 11 |
| Laboratory Certifications | 13 |
| Percent Moisture | 15 |
| Metals Analysis | 19 |
| Case Narrative | 20 |
| Sample Data Summary | 25 |
| Quality Control Summary..... | 28 |
| Standards | 42 |
| Raw Data | 51 |
| Miscellaneous | 142 |

Case Narrative

**Case Narrative
for
Boeing - SSFL (MWH)
Work Order: 239316
SDG: 239316H**

October 26, 2009

Laboratory Identification:

GEL Laboratories LLC
2040 Savage Road
Charleston, South Carolina 29407
(843) 556-8171

Summary:

Sample Receipt

The samples arrived at GEL Laboratories LLC, Charleston, South Carolina on October 02, 2009 for analysis. The samples were delivered with proper chain of custody documentation and signatures. All sample containers arrived without any visible signs of tampering or breakage. There are no additional comments concerning sample receipt.

The laboratory received the following samples:

| <u>Laboratory Identification</u> | <u>Sample Description</u> |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 239316001 | HVBF33AS01 |
| 239316002 | HVBF33AS02 |

Items of Note

Santa Susanna Field Laboratory Technical Representative was contacted seeking resolution to any analytical and/or receipt issues. Please see the enclosed e-mails.

Case Narrative

Sample analyses were conducted using methodology as outlined in GEL Laboratories, LLC (GEL) Standard Operating Procedures. Any technical or administrative problems during analysis, data review, and reduction are contained in the analytical case narratives in the enclosed data package.

Data Package:

The enclosed data package contains the following sections: Case Narrative, Chain of Custody, Cooler Receipt Checklist, Data Package Qualifier Definitions and data from the following fractions: Metals and Percent Moisture.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the subcontract and task order, both technically and for the completeness, for other than the conditions detailed in the attached case narratives.



Jacqueline Trudell
Project Manager

Data Qualifiers Definitions

Data Review Qualifier Definitions

| Qualifier | Explanation |
|-----------|---|
| * | A quality control analyte recovery is outside of specified acceptance criteria |
| ** | Analyte is a surrogate compound |
| < | Result is less than value reported |
| > | Result is greater than value reported |
| ^ | RPD of sample and duplicate evaluated using +/-RL. Concentrations are <5X the RL |
| A | The TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product |
| B | Target analyte was detected in the associated blank |
| B | Metals-Either presence of analyte detected in the associated blank, or MDL/IDL < sample value < PQL |
| BD | Results are either below the MDC or tracer recovery is low |
| C | Analyte has been confirmed by GC/MS analysis |
| D | Results are reported from a diluted aliquot of the sample |
| d | 5-day BOD-The 2:1 depletion requirement was not met for this sample |
| E | Organics-Concentration of the target analyte exceeds the instrument calibration range |
| E | Metals-%difference of sample and SD is >10%. Sample concentration must meet flagging criteria |
| H | Analytical holding time was exceeded |
| h | Preparation or preservation holding time was exceeded |
| J | Value is estimated |
| N | Metals-The Matrix spike sample recovery is not within specified control limits |
| N | Organics-Presumptive evidence based on mass spectral library search to make a tentative identification of the analyte (TIC). Quantitation is based on nearest internal standard response factor |
| N/A | Spike recovery limits do not apply. Sample concentration exceeds spike concentration by 4X or more |
| ND | Analyte concentration is not detected above the reporting limit |
| UI | Gamma Spectroscopy-Uncertain identification |
| X | Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier |
| Y | QC Samples were not spiked with this compound |
| Z | Paint Filter Test-Particulates passed through the filter, however no free liquids were observed. |

Laboratory Certifications

List of current GEL Certifications as of 22 October 2009

| State | Certification |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Arizona | AZ0668 |
| Arkansas | 88-0651 |
| CLIA | 42D0904046 |
| California – NELAP | 01151CA |
| Colorado | GEL |
| Connecticut | PH-0169 |
| Dept. of Navy | NFESC 413 |
| EPA Region 5 | WG-15J |
| Florida – NELAP | E87156 |
| Georgia | E87156 (FL/NELAP) |
| Georgia DW | 967 |
| Hawaii | N/A |
| ISO 17025 | 2567.01 |
| Idaho | SC00012 |
| Illinois – NELAP | 200029 |
| Indiana | C-SC-01 |
| Kansas – NELAP | E-10332 |
| Kentucky | 90129 |
| Louisiana – NELAP | 03046 |
| Maryland | 270 |
| Massachusetts | M-SC012 |
| Nevada | SC00012 |
| New Jersey – NELAP | SC002 |
| New Mexico | FL NELAP E87156 |
| New York – NELAP | 11501 |
| North Carolina | 233 |
| North Carolina DW | 45709 |
| Oklahoma | 9904 |
| Pennsylvania – NELAP | 68-00485 |
| South Carolina | 10120001/10120002 |
| Tennessee | TN 02934 |
| Texas – NELAP | T104704235-07B-TX |
| U.S. Dept. of Agriculture | S-52597 |
| Utah – NELAP | GEL |
| Vermont | VT87156 |
| Virginia | 00151 |
| Washington | C1641 |



DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Boeing SSFL RFI ISRA

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: 239316H

Prepared by

MECX, LP
12269 East Vassar Drive
Aurora, CO 80014

I. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: Boeing SSFL RFI ISRA
Contract Task Order: 1261.500D.00
Sample Delivery Group: 239316H
Project Manager: Dixie Hambrick
Matrix: soil
QC Level: V
No. of Samples: 2
No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0
Laboratory: GEL

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Sample Name | Lab Sample Name | Sub-Lab Sample Name | Matrix | Collection | Method |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| HVBF33AS01 | 239316001 | N/A | SOIL | 10/1/2009 10:18:00 AM | 6010B |
| HVBF33AS02 | 239316002 | N/A | SOIL | 10/1/2009 10:40:00 AM | 6010B |

II. Sample Management

No anomalies were observed regarding sample management. The samples in this SDG were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of 4°C ±2°C. According to the case narrative for this SDG, the samples were received intact, on ice, and properly preserved, if applicable. The COCs were appropriately signed and dated by field and/or laboratory personnel. Custody seals were intact. If necessary, the client ID was added to the sample result summary by the reviewer.

Data Qualifier Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics | Inorganics |
|-----------|---|---|
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. The associated value is the quantitation limit or the estimated detection limit for dioxins or PCB congeners. | The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is either the sample quantitation limit or the sample detection limit. The associated value is the sample detection limit or the quantitation limit for perchlorate only. |
| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. | The associated value is an estimated quantity. |
| N | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification." | Not applicable. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. | Not applicable. |
| UJ | The analyte was not deemed above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. | The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated value is an estimate and may be inaccurate or imprecise. |
| T-I | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents a compound with a CAS number and fit greater than 80%. | Not applicable |

| | | |
|-------|--|--|
| T-II | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents a class of compound but not of sufficient identification quality to represent a specific compound. | Not applicable |
| T-III | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. The tentative identification represents an unknown compound. | Not applicable |
| R | The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified. | The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified. |

Qualification Code Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics | Inorganics |
|-----------|--|---|
| H | Holding times were exceeded. | Holding times were exceeded. |
| S | Surrogate recovery was outside QC limits. | The sequence or number of standards used for the calibration was incorrect |
| C | Calibration %RSD or %D was noncompliant. | Correlation coefficient is <0.995. |
| R | Calibration RRF was <0.05. | %R for calibration is not within control limits. |
| B | Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) blank results. | Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) or calibration blank results. |
| L | Laboratory Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate %R was not within control limits. | Laboratory Control Sample %R was not within control limits. |
| Q | MS/MSD recovery was poor or RPD high. | MS recovery was poor. |
| E | Not applicable. | Duplicates showed poor agreement. |
| I | Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory. | ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory. |
| A | Not applicable. | ICP Serial Dilution %D were not within control limits. |
| M | Tuning (BFB or DFTPP) was noncompliant. | Not applicable. |
| T | Presumed contamination as indicated by the trip blank results. | Not applicable. |
| + | False positive – reported compound was not present. | Not applicable. |
| - | False negative – compound was present but not reported. | Not applicable. |
| F | Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results. | Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results. |
| \$ | Reported result or other information was incorrect. | Reported result or other information was incorrect. |
| ? | TIC identity or reported retention time has been changed. | Not applicable. |

Qualification Code Reference Table Cont.

| | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| D | The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available. | The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available. |
| P | Instrument performance for pesticides was poor. | Post Digestion Spike recovery was not within control limits. |
| *II, *III | Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found. | Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found. |

III. Method Analyses

A. EPA METHOD 6010B—Metals

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: November 5, 2009

The samples listed in Table 1 for this analysis were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC^x Data Validation Procedure for Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0 and DVP-21, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Method 6010B*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (7/02)*.

- Holding Times: The analytical holding time, six months for ICP metals, was met.
- Tuning: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Calibration: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Blanks: Method blanks and CCBs had no detects.
- Interference Check Samples: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: Recoveries were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Laboratory Duplicates: A laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on HVBF33AS01. The aluminum RPD exceeded the control limit; therefore, aluminum detected in the samples was qualified as estimated, "J." The boron RPD was within the method-established control limit.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: MS/MSD analyses were performed on HVBF33AS01. Recoveries and RPDs were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Serial Dilution: A serial dilution analysis was performed on HVBF33AS01. The %Ds were within the method-established control limit.
- Internal Standards Performance: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation.
- Sample Result Verification: Review is not applicable at a Level V validation. As the samples in this SDG were validated at Level V, the QC information necessary to make an absolute determination of bias in the samples was not reviewed; therefore, when qualifications were applied, no bias was assigned. Any result reported between the MDL and the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." Reported nondetects are valid to the MDL.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC

data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

- Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: FBQW2239 (236913) was the field blank and EBQW2249 (239234) was the equipment rinsate associated with the samples in this SDG. There were no applicable detects in these samples.
- Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

Validated Sample Result Forms: 239316H

Analysis Method 6010B

Sample Name HVBF33AS01 **Matrix Type:** Soil **Result Type:** Primary Result

Lab Sample Name: 239316001 **Sample Date:** 10/1/2009 10:18:00 AM **Validation Level:** V

| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Aluminum | 7429905 | 13600 | 20.4 | 6.94 | mg/kg | * | J | E |
| Boron | 7440428 | 2.01 | 5.1 | 1.02 | mg/kg | J | J | |

Sample Name HVBF33AS02 **Matrix Type:** Soil **Result Type:** Primary Result

Lab Sample Name: 239316002 **Sample Date:** 10/1/2009 10:40:00 AM **Validation Level:** V

| Analyte | CAS No | Result Value | RL | MDL | Result Units | Lab Qualifier | Validation Qualifier | Validation Notes |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Aluminum | 7429905 | 12000 | 20.2 | 6.86 | mg/kg | * | J | E |
| Boron | 7440428 | 1.01 | 5.05 | 1.01 | mg/kg | U | U | |