

# **APPENDIX G**

## **Section 32**

Outfall 010, February 6, 2009

MEC<sup>X</sup> Data Validation Report



# DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Boeing SSFL NPDES

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: ISB0733

Prepared by

MEC<sup>x</sup>, LP  
12269 East Vassar Drive  
Aurora, CO 80014

## I. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: Boeing SSFL NPDES  
 Contract Task Order: 1261.100D.00  
 Sample Delivery Group: ISB0733  
 Project Manager: B. Kelly  
 Matrix: Water  
 QC Level: IV  
 No. of Samples: 1  
 No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0  
 Laboratory: TestAmerica-Irvine

**Table 1. Sample Identification**

Client ID	Laboratory ID	Sub-Laboratory ID	Matrix	Collected	Method
Outfall 010	ISB0733-01	D9B100260-001, 31403-001, F9B100164-001	Water	02/06/09 1300	200.7, 200.8, 245.1, 245.1 (Diss), 525.2, 900.0, 901.1, 903.0, 904.0, 905.0, 906.0, 908.0, 1613B, SM2540D

## II. Sample Management

No anomalies were observed regarding sample management. The samples were received at TestAmerica-Irvine and TestAmerica-St. Louis within the temperature limit of  $4 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The samples were received at Vista and TestAmerica-Denver below the control limit; however, the samples were not noted to be damaged or frozen. According to the case narrative for this SDG, the samples were received intact at all laboratories. The COCs were appropriately signed and dated by field and/or laboratory personnel. As the sample was couriered to TestAmerica-Irvine, custody seals were not required. No custody seals were present on the coolers upon arrival at. Custody seal were present and intact upon arrival at TestAmerica-Denver, TestAmerica-St. Louis, and Vista. If necessary, the client ID was added to the sample result summary by the reviewer.

### Data Qualifier Reference Table

Qualifier	Organics	Inorganics
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. The associated value is the quantitation limit or the estimated detection limit for dioxins.	The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is either the sample quantitation limit or the sample detection limit. The associated value is the sample detection limit or the quantitation limit for perchlorate only.
J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.	The associated value is an estimated quantity.
N	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification."	Not applicable.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.	Not applicable.
UJ	The analyte was not deemed above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.	The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated value is an estimate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

### Qualification Code Reference Table

Qualifier	Organics	Inorganics
H	Holding times were exceeded.	Holding times were exceeded.
S	Surrogate recovery was outside QC limits.	The sequence or number of standards used for the calibration was incorrect
C	Calibration %RSD or %D was noncompliant.	Correlation coefficient is <0.995.
R	Calibration RRF was <0.05.	%R for calibration is not within control limits.
B	Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) blank results.	Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) or calibration blank results.
L	Laboratory Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate %R was not within control limits.	Laboratory Control Sample %R was not within control limits.
Q	MS/MSD recovery was poor or RPD high.	MS recovery was poor.
E	Not applicable.	Duplicates showed poor agreement.
I	Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.	ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
A	Not applicable.	ICP Serial Dilution %D were not within control limits.
M	Tuning (BFB or DFTPP) was noncompliant.	Not applicable.
T	Presumed contamination as indicated by the trip blank results.	Not applicable.
+	False positive – reported compound was not present.	Not applicable.
-	False negative – compound was present but not reported.	Not applicable.
F	Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results.	Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results.
\$	Reported result or other information was incorrect.	Reported result or other information was incorrect.
?	TIC identity or reported retention time has been changed.	Not applicable.

**Qualification Code Reference Table Cont.**

---

D	The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.	The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
P	Instrument performance for pesticides was poor.	Post Digestion Spike recovery was not within control limits.
DNQ	The reported result is above the method detection limit but is less than the reporting limit.	The reported result is above the method detection limit but is less than the reporting limit.
*II, *III	Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found.	Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found.

---

### III. Method Analyses

#### A. EPA METHOD 1613—Dioxin/Furans

Reviewed By: K. Shadowlight

Date Reviewed: March 22, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC<sup>x</sup> Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 0)*, *USEPA Method 1613*, and the *National Functional Guidelines Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (9/05)*.

- Holding Times: Extraction and analytical holding times were met. The water sample was extracted and analyzed within one year of collection.
- Instrument Performance: Instrument performance criteria were met. Following are findings associated with instrument performance.
  - GC Column Performance: A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards. The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than 25%.
  - Mass Spectrometer Performance: The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000.
- Calibration: Calibration criteria were met.
  - Initial Calibration: Initial calibration criteria were met. The initial calibration was acceptable with %RSDs  $\leq 20\%$  for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and  $\leq 35\%$  for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the Method 1613 QC limits for all standards.
  - Continuing Calibration: Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits.
- Blanks: The method blank had no target compound detects above the EDL.

- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: OPR recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
  - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
  - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.
- Internal Standards Performance: The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613.
- Compound Identification: Compound identification was verified. The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613.
- Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits: Compound quantitation was verified by recalculating any sample detects and a representative number of blank spike concentrations. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detect between the EDL and the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Nondetects are valid to the estimated detection limit (EDL).

## **B. EPA METHODS 200.7, 200.8, and 245.1—Metals and Mercury**

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: March 20, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for these analyses was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC<sup>x</sup> Data Validation Procedure for Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0 and DVP-21, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Methods 2007, 200.8, and 245.1*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (10/04)*.

- Holding Times: The analytical holding times, 180 days for ICP and ICP-MS metals and 28 days for mercury, were met.
- Tuning: The mass calibration and resolution checks criteria were met. All tuning solution %RSDs were  $\leq 5\%$ , and all masses of interest were calibrated to  $\leq 0.1$  amu and  $\leq 0.9$  amu at 10% peak height.
- Calibration: Calibration criteria were met. Mercury initial calibration  $r^2$  values were  $\geq 0.995$ . Initial and continuing calibration recoveries were within 90-110% for the ICP and ICP-MS

metals and 85-115% for mercury. The CRI and CRA and check standards were recovered within the control limits of 70-130%.

- Blanks: Mercury was detected in the method blank at 0.036 µg/L; therefore total and dissolved mercury detected in the sample were qualified as nondetected, “U,” at the reporting limit. Antimony was detected in CCBs bracketing the sample analyses at 0.299 and 0.419 µg/L; therefore both total and dissolved antimony detected in the sample were qualified as nondetected, “U,” at the reporting limit. There were no other applicable detects in the method blanks or CCBs.
- Interference Check Samples: ICSA/B analyses were performed in association with the ICP and dissolved ICP-MS metals analyses only. Recoveries were within the method-established control limits. Cadmium and copper were detected at 2.0 µg/L each in the ICP-MS ICSA; however, the reviewer was unable to ascertain if the detects were due to matrix interference.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: The recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC limits.
- Laboratory Duplicates: No laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on the sample in this SDG.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG for all analytes except mercury. Both aluminum recoveries were above the control limit; therefore, total aluminum detected in the sample was qualified as estimated, “J.” All remaining recoveries and all RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits.
- Serial Dilution: No serial dilution analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG.
- Internal Standards Performance: All associated sample internal standard intensities were within 60-125% of the internal standard intensities measured in the initial calibration.
- Sample Result Verification: Calculations were verified and the sample results reported on the sample result summaries were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Detects reported below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, “J,” and coded with “DNQ,” in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Reported nondetects are valid to the MDL.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
  - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.

- Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

### C. EPA METHOD 608—PCBs

Reviewed By: K. Shadowlight

Date Reviewed: March 22, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC<sup>x</sup> Data Validation Procedure for Organochlorine Pesticides/PCBs by GC (DVP-4, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Methods 608*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (2/99)*.

- Holding Times: Extraction and analytical holding times were met. The water sample was extracted within seven days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.
- Calibration: The initial calibration had average %RSDs of  $\leq 10\%$  or  $r^2 \geq 0.995$ . As there were no confirmed detects, the confirmation column %Ds were not evaluated. The ICV and CCVs bracketing the sample analysis had %Ds within the QC limit of  $\leq 15\%$ .
- Blanks: The method blank had no target compound detects above the MDL.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: Recoveries and RPDs for the blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Surrogate Recovery: Recoveries were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: MS/MSD analyses were not performed for the sample in this SDG. Method accuracy and precision was evaluated based on the blank spike/blank spike duplicate results.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
  - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
  - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.
- Compound Identification: Compound identification was verified. The laboratory analyzed for PCBs by EPA Method 608. Review of the sample chromatograms and retention times indicated no problems with target compound identification.
- Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits: Compound quantification was verified from the raw data. The reporting limits were supported by the lower level of the

initial calibration. Any result reported between the MDL and the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Reported nondetects are valid to the reporting limit.

#### D. VARIOUS EPA METHODS — Radionuclides

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: March 18, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *EPA Methods 900.0, 901.1, 903.1, 904.0, 905.0, and 906.0, ASTM Method D-5174, and the National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (10/04)*.

- **Holding Times:** The tritium sample was analyzed within 180 days of collection. The aliquots for gross alpha and gross beta were prepared beyond the five-day holding time for unpreserved samples; therefore, the results for these analytes were qualified as estimated, "J," for detects and, "UJ," for nondetects. All remaining aliquots were prepared within the five-day holding time for unpreserved samples.
- **Calibration:** The laboratory calibration information included the standard certificates and applicable preparation/dilutions logs for NIST-traceability.

The gross alpha detector efficiency was less than 20%; therefore, nondetected gross alpha in the sample was qualified as estimated, "UJ." The gross beta detector efficiency was greater than 20%.

The tritium aliquot was spiked for efficiency determination; therefore, no calibration was necessary. The tritium detector efficiency for the sample was at least 20% and was considered acceptable. The strontium, radium-226, and radium-228 chemical yields were considered acceptable. The gamma spectroscopy analytes were determined at the maximum photopeak energy. The kinetic phosphorescence analyzer (KPA) was calibrated immediately prior to the sample analysis. All KPA calibration check standard recoveries were within 90-110% and were deemed acceptable.

- **Blanks:** There were no analytes detected in the method blanks.
- **Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples:** The recoveries and the strontium-90, radium-226, and radium-228 RPDs were within laboratory-established control limits.
- **Laboratory Duplicates:** Duplicate analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG for the gamma spectroscopy analytes, gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium. The RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits.

- **Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate:** Matrix spike analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG for gross alpha and gross beta and MS/MSD analyses were performed for total uranium. The recoveries and RPD were within the laboratory-established control limits.
- **Sample Result Verification:** An EPA Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. The sample results and MDAs reported on the sample result form were verified against the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. Total uranium, normally reported in aqueous units, was converted to pCi/L using a conversion factor for naturally occurring uranium. Detects reported below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Reported nondetects are valid to the MDA.
- **Field QC Samples:** Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
  - **Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates:** This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
  - **Field Duplicates:** There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

## E. EPA METHOD 525.2—Semivolatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: March 23, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC<sup>x</sup> Data Validation Procedure for Semivolatile Organics (DVP-3, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Method 525.2*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (10/99)*.

- **Holding Times:** Extraction and analytical holding times were met. The water sample was extracted within 24 hours of collection and analyzed within 30 days of extraction.
- **GC/MS Tuning:** The DFTPP tunes met the method abundance criteria. The sample was analyzed within 12 hours of the DFTPP injection time.
- **Calibration:** Calibration criteria were met. The diazinon initial calibration average RRF was  $\geq 0.05$  and  $\%RSD \leq 30\%$ . The continuing calibration RRF for diazinon was  $\geq 0.05$  and recovery was within the method QC limits of 70-130%. The reviewer could not duplicate the chlorpyrifos initial calibration; however, the calculated average RRF was  $\geq 0.05$  and  $\%RSD \leq 30\%$ . Additionally the calculated chlorpyrifos continuing calibration RRF was  $\geq 0.05$  and the recovery was within the method QC limits of 70-130%.
- **Blanks:** The method blank had no applicable target compound detects above the MDL.

- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: The recoveries were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Surrogate Recovery: Recoveries were within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: No MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG. Method accuracy was evaluated based on the LCS result.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
  - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
  - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.
- Internal Standards Performance: The internal standard area counts and retention times were within the method control limits established by the continuing calibration standards of  $\pm 30\%$ .
- Compound Identification: Compound identification was verified. The laboratory analyzed for chlorpyrifos and diazinon by Method 525.2. Review of the sample chromatogram, retention times, and spectra indicated no problems with target compound identification.
- Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits: Compound quantification was verified. The reporting limits were supported by the low point of the initial calibration and the laboratory MDLs. Reported nondetects are valid to the reporting limit.
- Tentatively Identified Compounds: TICs were not reported by the laboratory for this analysis.
- System Performance: Review of the raw data indicated no problems with system performance.

## F. VARIOUS EPA METHODS—General Minerals

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: March 20, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC<sup>x</sup> Data Validation Procedure for General Minerals (DVP-6, Rev. 0)*, *EPA Methods 160.2, Standard Method 2540D*, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (07/02)*.

- Holding Times: The analytical holding time, 7 days from collection, was met.
- Calibration: Balance calibration logs were reviewed and found to be acceptable.
- Blanks: Method blank had no detect.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: The recovery was within laboratory-established QC limits.
- Laboratory Duplicates: No laboratory duplicate analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: Not applicable to this analysis.
- Sample Result Verification: Calculations were verified and the sample results reported on the sample result summary were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Any detects reported below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Reported nondetects are valid to the MDL.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
  - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
  - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

**Sample ID: ISB0733-01 (outfall 010)** **EPA Method 1613**

**Client Data**  
 Name: Test America-Irvine, CA  
 Project: ISB0733  
 Date Collected: 6-Feb-09  
 Time Collected: 1300

**Sample Data**  
 Matrix: Aqueous  
 Sample Size: 1.04 L

**Laboratory Data**  
 Lab Sample: 31403-001  
 QC Batch No.: 1876  
 Date Analyzed DB-5: 13-Feb-09  
 Date Received: 10-Feb-09  
 Date Extracted: 11-Feb-09  
 Date Analyzed DB-225: NA

Analyte	Conc. (ug/L)	DL <sup>a</sup>	EMPC <sup>b</sup>	Qualifiers	Labeled Standard	%R	LCL-UCL <sup>d</sup>	Qualifiers
2,3,7,8-TCDD	ND	U	0.000000404		13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD	93.8	25 - 164	
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	ND	U	0.00000111		13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	87.1	25 - 181	
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	ND	U	0.00000114		13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	75.8	32 - 141	
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	ND	U	0.00000110		13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	74.9	28 - 130	
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	ND	U	0.00000108		13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	80.5	23 - 140	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	0.00000520	ND	0.00000520	J	13C-OCDD	69.7	17 - 157	
OCDD	0.0000524	ND	0.0000524		13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF	104	24 - 169	
2,3,7,8-TCDF	ND	U	0.000000444		13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	89.3	24 - 185	
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	ND	U	0.000000456		13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	90.1	21 - 178	
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	ND	U	0.000000444		13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	76.7	26 - 152	
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	ND	U	0.000000510		13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	74.1	26 - 123	
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	ND	U	0.000000507		13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	89.6	28 - 136	
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	ND	U	0.000000489		13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	100	29 - 147	
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	ND	U	0.000000629		13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	83.6	28 - 143	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	ND	U	0.000000975		13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	75.8	26 - 138	
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	ND	U	0.00000129		13C-OCDF	72.2	17 - 157	
OCDF	0.00000700	ND	0.00000700	J	CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD	88.8	35 - 197	

**Totals**

Total-TCDD	ND	U	0.000000404	
Total PeCDD	ND	U	0.00000111	
Total HxCDD	ND	U	0.00000111	
Total HpCDD	0.0000161			
Total TCDF	ND	U	0.000000444	
Total PeCDF	ND	U	0.000000450	
Total HxCDF	ND	U	0.000000534	
Total HpCDF	0.00000267			

**Footnotes**

- Sample specific estimated detection limit.
- Estimated maximum possible concentration.
- Method detection limit.
- Lower control limit - upper control limit.

Analyst: JMH  
 Approved By: Martha M. Maier  
 20-Feb-2009 10:16

**LEVEL IV**

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing  
 618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200  
 Arcadia, CA 91007  
 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Annual Outfall 010

Report Number: ISB0733

Sampled: 02/06/09  
 Received: 02/06/09

## METALS

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISB0733-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Aluminum <i>J/Q</i>	EPA 200.7	9B09073	40	50	360	1	02/09/09	02/16/09	M1
Arsenic <i>U</i>	EPA 200.7	9B09073	7.0	10	ND	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	
Antimony <i>U/B</i>	EPA 200.8	9B09075	0.20	2.0	0.49	1	02/09/09	02/10/09	Ja
Beryllium <i>U</i>	EPA 200.7	9B09073	0.90	2.0	ND	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	
Chromium	EPA 200.7	9B09073	2.0	5.0	ND	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	
Nickel	EPA 200.7	9B09073	2.0	10	ND	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	
Selenium	EPA 200.7	9B09073	8.0	10	ND	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	
Silver	EPA 200.7	9B09073	6.0	10	ND	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	
Cadmium	EPA 200.8	9B09075	0.11	1.0	ND	1	02/09/09	02/10/09	
Vanadium	EPA 200.7	9B09073	3.0	10	ND	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	
Zinc	EPA 200.7	9B09073	6.0	20	ND	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	
Copper <i>J/DWQ</i>	EPA 200.8	9B09075	0.75	2.0	1.1	1	02/09/09	02/10/09	Ja
Lead <i>U</i>	EPA 200.8	9B09075	0.30	1.0	ND	1	02/09/09	02/10/09	
Thallium <i>U</i>	EPA 200.8	9B09075	0.20	1.0	ND	1	02/09/09	02/10/09	

LEVEL IV

TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak  
 Project Manager

*The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.*

ISB0733 <Page 11 of 53>

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200 Arcadia, CA 91007 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly	Project ID: Annual Outfall 010  Report Number: ISB0733	Sampled: 02/06/09 Received: 02/06/09
---	--	---

## DISSOLVED METALS

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISB0733-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: mg/l									
Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	SM2340B-Diss	[CALC]	N/A	0.33	92	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Boron U	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	0.020	0.050	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Calcium	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	0.050	0.10	29	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Iron U	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	0.015	0.040	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Magnesium	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	0.012	0.020	5.0	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	

LEVEL IV

TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak  
Project Manager

*The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.*

ISB0733 <Page 12 of 53>

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing  
 618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200  
 Arcadia, CA 91007  
 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Annual Outfall 010

Report Number: ISB0733

Sampled: 02/06/09  
 Received: 02/06/09

## DISSOLVED METALS

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISB0733-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Aluminum	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	40	50	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Arsenic	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	7.0	10	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Antimony	EPA 200.8-Diss	9B12130	0.20	2.0	0.29	1	02/12/09	02/13/09	Ja
Beryllium	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	0.90	2.0	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Chromium	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	2.0	5.0	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Nickel	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	2.0	10	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Selenium	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	8.0	10	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Silver	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	6.0	10	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Cadmium	EPA 200.8-Diss	9B12130	0.11	1.0	ND	1	02/12/09	02/13/09	
Vanadium	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	3.0	10	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Zinc	EPA 200.7-Diss	9B09083	6.0	20	ND	1	02/09/09	02/11/09	
Copper	EPA 200.8-Diss	9B12130	0.75	2.0	0.88	1	02/12/09	02/13/09	Ja
Lead	EPA 200.8-Diss	9B12130	0.30	1.0	ND	1	02/12/09	02/13/09	
Thallium	EPA 200.8-Diss	9B12130	0.20	1.0	ND	1	02/12/09	02/13/09	C

LEVEL IV

TestAmerica Irvine  
 Joseph Doak  
 Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

ISB0733 <Page 13 of 53>

# TestAmerica

THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing  
618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200  
Arcadia, CA 91007  
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Annual Outfall 010

Report Number: ISB0733

Sampled: 02/06/09  
Received: 02/06/09

## METALS

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISB0733-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: mg/l									
Hardness as CaCO3	SM2340B	[CALC]	N/A	0.33	95	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	
Boron U	EPA 200.7	9B09073	0.020	0.050	ND	1	02/09/09	02/16/09	
Calcium	EPA 200.7	9B09073	0.050	0.10	30	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	MHA
Iron	EPA 200.7	9B09073	0.015	0.040	0.39	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	
Magnesium	EPA 200.7	9B09073	0.012	0.020	5.2	1	02/09/09	02/14/09	

# LEVEL IV

TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak  
Project Manager

*The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.*

ISB0733 <Page 10 of 53>

# TestAmerica

THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

17461 Denian Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing  
618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200  
Arcadia, CA 91007  
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Annual Outfall 010

Report Number: ISB0733

Sampled: 02/06/09

Received: 02/06/09

## MCAWW 245.1

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISB0733-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: ug/L									
Mercury <i>V/B</i>	MCAWW 245.1	9043305	0.027	0.2	0.062	1	02/12/09	02/12/09	J, Ba

LEVEL IV

TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak  
Project Manager

*The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.*

ISB0733 <Page 17 of 53>

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing  
618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200  
Arcadia, CA 91007  
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Annual Outfall 010

Report Number: ISB0733

Sampled: 02/06/09  
Received: 02/06/09

### MCAWW 245.1-DISS

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISB0733-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: ug/L									
Mercury $\sqrt{B}$	MCAWW 245.1-DISS	9043330	0.027	0.2	0.041	1	02/12/09	02/12/09	J, Ba

## LEVEL IV

TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak  
Project Manager

*The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.*

ISB0733 <Page 18 of 53>

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing  
 618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200  
 Arcadia, CA 91007  
 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Annual Outfall 010

Report Number: ISB0733

Sampled: 02/06/09  
 Received: 02/06/09

### TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
<b>Sample ID: ISB0733-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.</b>									
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Aroclor 1016	EPA 608	9B12048	0.24	0.47	ND	0.943	02/12/09	02/12/09	
Aroclor 1221	EPA 608	9B12048	0.24	0.47	ND	0.943	02/12/09	02/12/09	
Aroclor 1232	EPA 608	9B12048	0.24	0.47	ND	0.943	02/12/09	02/12/09	
Aroclor 1242	EPA 608	9B12048	0.24	0.47	ND	0.943	02/12/09	02/12/09	
Aroclor 1248	EPA 608	9B12048	0.24	0.47	ND	0.943	02/12/09	02/12/09	
Aroclor 1254	EPA 608	9B12048	0.24	0.47	ND	0.943	02/12/09	02/12/09	
Aroclor 1260	EPA 608	9B12048	0.24	0.47	ND	0.943	02/12/09	02/12/09	
<i>Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120%)</i>					116 %				

LEVEL IV

TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak  
 Project Manager

*The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.*

ISB0733 <Page 8 of 53>

Outfall 010

TestAmerica Irvine

Client Sample ID: ISB0733-01

Radiochemistry

Lab Sample ID: F9B100164-001  
 Work Order: K602T  
 Matrix: WATER

Date Collected: 02/06/09 1300  
 Date Received: 02/10/09 0900

Parameter	Result	Qual	Total Uncert. (2 σ+/-)	RL	mdc	Prep Date	Analysis Date
<b>Gamma Cs-137 &amp; Hits by EPA 901.1 MOD</b>							
Cesium 137 U	0.0	U	7.5	20.0	14	02/11/09	02/26/09
Potassium 40 U	-100	U	1900		300	02/11/09	02/26/09
<b>Gross Alpha/Beta EPA 900</b>							
Gross Alpha UJ/C/H	0.77	U	0.96	3.00	1.6	02/12/09	02/16/09
Gross Beta J/H	4.8		1.0	4.0	1.1	02/12/09	02/16/09
<b>Radium 226 by EPA 903.0 MOD</b>							
Radium (226) U	0.04	U	0.17	1.00	0.31	02/10/09	03/06/09
<b>Radium 228 by GFPC EPA 904 MOD</b>							
Radium 228 U	0.15	U	0.27	1.00	0.45	02/10/09	03/06/09
<b>TRITIUM (Distill) by EPA 906.0 MOD</b>							
Tritium U	-80	U	180	500	330	02/28/09	03/05/09
<b>SR-90 BY GFPC EPA-905 MOD</b>							
Strontium 90 U	0.52	U	0.64	3.00	1.0	02/10/09	02/26/09
<b>Total Uranium by KPA ASTM 5174-91</b>							
Total Uranium J/DNQ	0.266	J	0.029	0.677	0.21	02/10/09	03/08/09

LEVEL IV

NOTE(S)

Data are incomplete without the case narrative.  
 MDC is determined by instrument performance only.  
 Bold results are greater than the MDC.

LOT# F9B100164 Result is greater than sample detection limit but less than stated reporting limit.  
 U Result is less than the sample detection limit.

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing  
 618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200  
 Arcadia, CA 91007  
 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Annual Outfall 010  
 Report Number: ISB0733

Sampled: 02/06/09  
 Received: 02/06/09

## ORGANIC COMPOUNDS BY GC/MS (EPA 525.2)

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISB0733-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chlorpyrifos U	EPA 525.2	C9B0701	0.10	1.0	ND	1	02/07/09	02/07/09	
Diazinon U	EPA 525.2	C9B0701	0.24	0.25	ND	1	02/07/09	02/07/09	
Surrogate: 1,3-Dimethyl-2-nitrobenzene (70-130%)					102 %				
Surrogate: Triphenylphosphate (70-130%)					104 %				
Surrogate: Perylene-d12 (70-130%)					89 %				

LEVEL IV

TestAmerica Irvine  
 Joseph Doak  
 Project Manager

*The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.*

ISB0733 <Page 16 of 53>

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing  
 618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200  
 Arcadia, CA 91007  
 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Annual Outfall 010

Report Number: ISB0733

Sampled: 02/06/09  
 Received: 02/06/09

## INORGANICS

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISB0733-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: mg/l									
Chloride	EPA 300.0	9B06069	0.25	0.50	27	1	02/06/09	02/07/09	
Total Cyanide	SM4500-CN-C,E	9B12116	0.0022	0.0050	ND	1	02/12/09	02/12/09	
Fluoride	SM 4500-F-C	9B16034	0.020	0.10	0.22	1	02/16/09	02/16/09	B
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	EPA 300.0	9B06069	0.15	0.26	1.7	1	02/06/09	02/07/09	
Sulfate	EPA 300.0	9B06069	0.20	0.50	23	1	02/06/09	02/07/09	
Total Dissolved Solids	SM2540C	9B11043	10	10	210	1	02/11/09	02/11/09	
Total Suspended Solids	SM 2540D	9B12141	1.0	10	4.0	1	02/12/09	02/12/09	Ja

LEVEL IV

\*Analysis not validated

TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak  
 Project Manager

*The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.*

ISB0733 <Page 14 of 53>