## HAND DELIVERED

February 15, 2006

Regional Water Quality Control Board
Los Angeles Region
320 West $4^{\text {th }}$ Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Attention: Information Technology Unit<br>Reference: Compliance File CI-6027 and NPDES No. CA0001309<br>Subject: 4th Quarter 2005 NPDES Discharge Monitoring Report SubmittalSanta Susana Field Laboratory

## Dear Sir/Madam,

The Boeing Company hereby submits the discharge monitoring report (DMR) for the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) for the 4th Quarter of 2005. This DMR provides the results of the sampling that occurred for the SSFL outfalls (see Appendix A of this report) for the period of October $1^{\text {st }}$ through December $31^{\text {st }}$ of 2005 as required by National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CA0001309. This quarterly DMR provides all information and data, including summary tables of surface water sample analytical results, rainfall summaries, liquid waste shipment summaries, and surface water sample laboratory analytical reports.

## $4^{\text {TH }}$ QUARTER REPORT CONTENTS AND SUMMARY

Appendix A is a site location map indicating the locations of the Outfalls. The 4th Quarter 2005 precipitation at SSFL is presented in Appendix B. All sanitary wastes were shipped off-site and appropriately managed (summarized in Appendix C); therefore, there were no discharges associated with the domestic sewage treatment plants (Outfalls 015, 016, and 017).

Surface water samples were collected from Outfalls 003 through 010, and 018. Surface water samples were not collected from outfall locations 001,002 , or 011 due to the limited amount of rain and the lack of flow at these locations. Additionally, samples were not collected at Outfalls 012, 013, and 014 (engine test stands) as testing activities were not conducted during this quarter. Samples collected were analyzed at a California-certified laboratory. Appendices D and E contain summary tables of analytical results for surface water samples collected during the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter 2005. These tables identify the Outfall, the constituents evaluated (analytes), the date of sampling, the analytical result, and data validation qualifiers. Appendix F provides a summary table of permit limit exceedances, and Appendix G contains copies of the analytical reports, chain of custody, and validation reports. As a supplement included with the summary tables in Appendices D, E, and F, the
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Quarterly Summary Notes are a compilation of notes, abbreviations, and data validation codes that are used in the analytical data summary tables.

As part of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Section 13267 request (dated May 20, 2004) and in accordance with the August 31, 2004 Workplan (Submission of Technical Workplan Pursuant to Section 13267 of the California Water Code), and the corresponding RWQCB responses (dated January 12 and March 22, 2005) to the workplan, a surface water sample was collected during the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter 2005 from Outfall 003 and analyzed for Strontium- 90 . This sample result exceeded the permit limit of 8.0 picocurries per liter ( $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ ). Therefore, as indicated in the 2004 NPDES Permit, follow-up samples were collected during the next flow events (there was no flow at Outfall 003 in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter, so samples were collected and analyzed during the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter). Appendix F includes the results of the sample analysis. Results from the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter sampling events did not exceed permit limits. Additional samples will be collected in subsequent sampling events to meet the requirements of the 2004 NPDES Permit for four consecutive sample results. Results of the 13267 Study will be provided in a separate technical report.

Data validation was performed on the analytical results and quality control elements were found to be within acceptable limits for all analytical methods reported, except as noted on the analytical summary tables. Laboratory analytical reports, including validation reports and notes, are included in Appendix G.

In addition, this DMR discusses the steps taken in the aftermath of the September 2005 Topanga Wildfire. This wildfire resulted in substantial loss of vegetation at SSFL and the destruction of many previously installed best management practices (BMPs). Before the fire, naturally occurring vegetation and BMPs aided in controlling sediment and constituent migration into and within surface water. Their loss in the fire had an impact on controlling sediment and constituent migration in the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter 2005. Therefore, steps were taken as soon as feasible following the fire to control sediment and constituent run-off and re-deploy BMPs.

## BMP AND VEGETATION RESTORATION ACTIVITIES

As a result of the Topanga Wildfire in late September 2005, over 70\% of the SSFL property burned, and a majority of vegetation and many installed BMPs at SSFL were destroyed. The ground surface of the SSFL was impacted with ash and/or charred material, which are known to contain naturally occurring constituents such as dioxins (TCDD) and metals (USEPA, 2000; Aronsson and Ekelund, 2004). In addition, wild fires have been shown to increase soil pH , and to cause an increase in nitrate, ammonia, and other plant-nutrientrelated compounds (Higgins, et. al., 1989; Earl and Blinn, 2003). To reduce the impact of the ash and charred material on surface water, numerous activities were implemented as soon as feasible and completed to help restore the natural, engineered and/or institutional controls that aid in minimizing the erosion of surface materials and the migration of sediment in surface water.

Boeing replaced and upgraded the BMPs that were destroyed, and installed additional BMPs across the site to reduce sediment and constituent runoff. During the process, early season rains on October 17-18 $8^{\text {th }}$ and November $9^{\text {th }}$ occurred prior to the completion of some of the BMPs (as a result, surface water samples collected during the quarter exhibited greater quantities of suspended sediment and other constituents).
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The following table lists the Outfall location and respective BMP activities completed during the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter 2005:

| OUTFALL | BMP ACTIVITIES DURING 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ QUARTER 2005* |
| :--- | :--- |
| 001 (South Slope below <br> Perimeter Pond) | Upstream erosion controls installed -straw bales and fiber <br> rolls |
| R-2 (South Slope below <br> R- Pond | Upstream erosion controls installed - straw bales and fiber <br> rolls |
| 003 (RMHF) | Straw bales, fiber rolls, silt fence, media filter installed |
| 004 (SRE) | Fiber mats/plastic tarp, silt fencing, sandbag barrier; dual <br> media filtration under drain filtration system installed |
| 005 (FSDF-1) | Fiber rolls, dual media filter installed |
| 006 (FSDF-2) | Straw bales, fiber rolls, dual media filter installed |
| 007 (Building 100) | Straw bales, fiber rolls, silt fencing, media filter installed |
| 008 (Happy Valley) | Upstream erosion controls - straw bales, fiber rolls, rip rap, <br> silt fence installed |
| 009 (WS-13 Drainage) | Upstream erosion controls --hydroseeding, straw bales, fiber <br> rolls installed |
| 010 (Building 203) | Fiber rolls, silt fencing, media filtration installed, sediment <br> basin cleaned |
| 011 (Perimeter Pond) | Silt fencing installed, initiated construction of filtration <br> system |
| 012 (ALFA Test Stand) | No activity |
| 013 (BRAVO Test Stand) | No activity |
| 014 (APTF Test Stand) | No activity |
| 015 (STP I) | No activity |
| 016 ( STP II) | No activity |
| 017 (STP III) | No activity |
| 018 (R-2 Spillway) | No activity |

*Other BMPs exist at these Outfalls that did not require upgrades or replacements.
Boeing is monitoring the effectiveness of the BMP program, and is currently reviewing the installation and evaluating the effectiveness of the newly deployed or upgraded BMPs as documented in the Response to Requirements to Submit a Technical Report Pursuant to Section 13267 of the California Water Code. This technical report was submitted to the RWQCB on December 16, 2005.
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Additional to those BMPs implemented during the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter 2005 (listed above), as part of our ongoing efforts to remove accumulated ash, Boeing removed accumulated ash to the extent practicable in the upstream drainage from Outfall 008 . Hydromulch was also placed over approximately 800 acres of the undeveloped land at the SSFL. Hydromulch is a semiliquid organic binder blended with hydromulch paper or wood fiber/pulp that is dispersed onto and adheres to the ground surface and soil surface to protect from further soil erosion, aid in minimizing sediment transport, and decrease the potential for landslides. Hydromulch application occurred between December 23, 2005 and January 13, 2006. The hydromulch was applied by a helicopter or by a truck where access was available. In addition, hydroseeding (mulch material with a native seed mix) was completed at other selected upgradient areas at the SSFL during the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter in late October 2005.

## SUMMARY OF NON-COMPLIANCE AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN

The following analytes exceeded permit limits during the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter 2005 monitoring period, as noted in Appendix G: pH , copper, mercury, nitrate + nitrite as nitrogen, antimony, and TCDD TEQ. These permit limit exceedences are summarized below.

## pH Non-compliance, Discussion of Occurrence, and Potential Sources

At Outfalls 009 (sample collected on October 17, 2005) and 003 (sample collected on November 9,2005 ), pH levels were measured at 8.8 and 9.4 , respectively, above the daily maximum permit limit range of 6.5 to 8.5 .

The elevated pH value for Outfall 003 was likely a result of activated carbon bags at the surface-water sampling station. The activated carbon delivered was the type used for vaporphase applications, and was not acid-washed as part of the manufacturing process. Activated carbon when not pre-washed can be high in pH . Upon contact with water, the carbons' high pH could cause a higher pH in the contacted water. Upon becoming aware of the supplier's mistake, the carbon bags were immediately removed and replaced with granular activated carbon for liquid phase applications. The liquid-phase carbon underwent the standard acid wash and rinse by the manufacturer prior to delivery and installation and is not expected to cause a future exceedance.

The elevated pH value at Outfall 009 in October could also be attributed to the presence of excessive ash material in the drainage due to the recent fires. Studies by the United States Fish and Wildlife and South Dakota State University report increased pH values in soils after wildfires have burned forest and/or grasslands (Higgins, et. al., 1989; Earl and Blinn, 2003). The increased pH is typically related to the alkalinity of the ash; because mineral substances are released as oxides or carbonates that usually have an alkaline reaction. This is also supported by studies that have found that ash is dominated by carbonates of alkaline and alkaline earth metals. The subsequent November sample result was within compliance. The pH exceedence occurred during the first storm event of the season. In the subsequent storm event in November, $2005, \mathrm{pH}$ was below the permit limit and in compliance. This further supports the hypothesis that the pH exceedence was due to naturally occurring alkalinity in ash resulting from the Topanga Fire. The first rain event would be expected to wash ash from the watershed leaving less ash remaining, which would have a less severe impact on water pH during subsequent rain events.
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## Antimony Non-Compliance, Discussion of Occurrence, and Potential Sources

Antimony was detected at Outfall 007 (sampled on October 18, 2005) and Outfall 003 (sampled on November 9, 2005) at a concentration of 6.2 and 35 micrograms per liter ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), respectively. The daily maximum permit limit for Antimony is $6.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.

A comparison of these results against historic antimony concentrations for Outfalls 003 and 007 indicates these concentrations exceed previous concentrations of antimony during the 2004-2005 rainy season. The only change that occurred between conditions during the 2004-05 winter season and the 2005-06 winter season at the SSFL was the Topanga Fire burning of vegetation and the resulting destabilization of soils. Because of this, these exceedances may be the result of increased sediment loads following the Topanga Fire which transport a greater amount of native soil and ash, both of which contain antimony.

Boeing will continue to evaluate antimony values across the site to better understand its occurrence and whether its occurrence diminishes as native vegetation returns.

## Copper Non-Compliance, Discussion of Occurrence, and Potential Sources

Copper was detected at Outfalls 003, 005, 006, and 007 (in samples collected on October 18, 2005) and at Outfalls 005 and 006 (samples collected on November 9, 2005), exceeding the daily maximum permit limit for copper of $14 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. Copper was detected at concentrations of $17,30,16$, and $19 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ at Outfalls $003,005,006$, and 007 on October 18, respectively, and at concentrations of 20 and $34 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ at Outfalls 005 and 006 on November 9 , respectively.

A comparison of these results against historic copper concentrations for Outfalls 003-007 indicates that these concentrations generally exceed pre-fire concentrations by 3 to $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$. Copper is naturally occurring and has been frequently detected in agency-approved background site soils (MWH, 2005). The only change that occurred between conditions during the 2004-05 winter season and the 2005-06 winter season at the SSFL was the Topanga Fire burning of vegetation and the resulting destabilization of soils. We have seen significant increases in TSS and turbidity in runoff since the Topanga Fire. The increase in copper could be a direct result of increased transport of background levels of copper in sediments and ash eroded from the Topanga Fire destabilized hillsides.

Boeing will continue to evaluate all data, improve BMPs, and implement measures to minimize sediment and resulting metals migration to and within surface water.

## Mercury Non-Compliance, Discussion of Occurrence, and Potential Sources

Mercury was detected at Outfalls 004 and 005 (in samples collected on October 18, 2005) and Outfall 006 (in a sample collected on November 9,2005 ), exceeding the daily maximum permit limit for mercury of $0.13 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. Mercury concentrations were $0.22,0.41$, and 0.89 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively.

At Outfall 004, an area with mercury impacted soils has been covered with plastic sheeting to prevent contact between rainfall and site soils. However, the plastic sheeting was destroyed by the Topanga Fire, thus enabling rainfall to contact potentially impacted soils. Surface water transport of these potentially impacted soils could have resulted in the exceedance. This area has been retarped and the filtration system upgraded.
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At Outfalls 005 and 006 , soil removal has occurred to mitigate impacts in surface soils for mercury and other constituents under DTSC direction. Thus, the potential causes of these exceedences are unclear and are being further evaluated.

Boeing will continue to evaluate mercury data, improve on BMPs, and implement measures to minimize sediment migration to and within surface water as necessary.

## Nitrate and Nitrite as Nitrogen Non-Compliance, Discussion of Occurrence, and Potential Sources

The daily maximum permit limit of nitrate and nitrite as nitrogen ( 10 milligrams per liter [ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}]$ ) was exceeded at Outfall 005 (in a sample collected on October 18, 2005) at a concentration of $16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. As referenced above, many studies of post wildfire studies indicate excess water-soluble nutrients (they are in excess because the plants that would have bound the nutrients within their plant tissue, were burned in the fires) may drain into nearby streams and bodies of water (Higgins, et. al., 1989). Nitrate-nitrogen is very soluble and is a nutrient particularly prone to leaching from soil. Based on this, it is possible that the nitrate/nitrite increases are naturally occurring and a result of the Topanga Fire.

Boeing will continue to evaluate nitrate and nitrite as nitrogen values at this and all Outfall locations across the site to better understand its occurrence and whether its occurrence diminishes as native vegetation returns.

## TCDD TEQ Non-Compliance, Discussion of Occurrence, and Potential Sources

To enable a single total concentration (commonly called a Toxicity Equivalence [TEQ]) to be calculated from the sum of the 17 dioxin and furan congeners, $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ 'equivalent' concentrations are calculated for each congener by multiplying that individual congener's concentration by its toxic equivalency factor (TEF). The TEF is based on the toxicity of the congener compared to the toxicity of $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$. The dioxin summary tables in Appendix D show the TEFs for the various congeners. The common term for the sum of the factored concentration is TEQ. When subsequently used in this letter report, the term TCDD refers to the total equivalence of the seventeen $2,3,7,8$-substituted dioxin and furan congeners (commonly called the TCDD TEQ).

During the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter 2005, surface water samples were collected from Outfalls 003 through 010 , and 018 and analyzed for TCDD TEQ, in accordance with the NPDES permit. Of these, permit limits for TCDD TEQ are established for Outfalls 003 through 007. Outfalls 008 through 010 are monitored for TCDD TEQ; however, permit limits were not established for these Outfalls in the 2004 NPDES Permit.

For the purposes of evaluating compliance with permit limits for Outfalls 003 through 007 (as stated in the NPDES permit on Page 40, Section II, C. 3), TCDD TEQ is based on detected congeners and does not include those congeners reported as ND (not detected) or detected, but not quantified (DNQ). A DNQ is a value less than the laboratory reporting limit, but greater than the laboratory level of detection [LOD]. Therefore, when evaluating whether a permit limit exceedence occurred, ND or DNQ data (the resulting estimated value) were considered zero in the calculation. Outfalls 003 through 007 have a compliance limit for TCDD TEQ, which is shown as the TCDD TEQ permit limit of $2.8 \times 10^{-8} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ or 28 parts per quintillion.

February 15, 2006
Page 7
SHEA-103169
Limits for TCDD TEQ have not been established for Outfalls 008 through 011 , and 018 in the 2004 Permit. For these Outfalls, TCDD TEQ is based on detected congeners and DNQ congeners. Congener values that are ND are considered to have concentrations equal to zero and are not included in the TCDD TEQ calculation for these Outfalls without permit limits. TCDD TEQ analytical results are included in Appendices D, E, and F.

During $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter 2005, TCDD TEQ concentrations at Outfalls 004, 005, 006, and 007 ( $5.86 \times 10^{-6}, 1.36 \times 10^{-6}, 3.40 \times 10^{-8}, 3.17 \times 10^{-7} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively) exceeded the daily maximum permit limit of $2.8 \times 10^{-8} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ in the samples collected on October 18, 2005. TCDD TEQ concentrations at Outfalls 004, 005, and $006\left(3.43 \times 10^{-6}, 1.76 \times 10^{-6}\right.$, and $1.89 \times$ $10^{-6} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively) exceeded the daily maximum permit limit of $2.80 \times 10^{-8} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ in the samples collected on November 9, 2005.

TCDD have been frequently detected in agency approved non-impacted background soils (MWH 2005). In some areas, operations onsite have utilized combustion processes, but when investigating these potentially impacted areas, the TCDD TEQ values in soils have been found either not to be elevated above background levels, or if elevated, they have been shown to decrease to near background levels within a short distance from the suspected source area.

Boeing has extended its TCDD sampling program to areas far offsite (some locations are about 20 miles away), where site-related impacts are virtually impossible. At these locations, similar concentrations of TCDD TEQ are found in stormwater runoff. The attached figure (Attachment 1) shows recent offsite surface water sampling locations and the onsite and offsite surface water TCDD concentrations from the October 2005 sampling event (Attachment 2). Based on this, it appears that TCDD TEQ found at the SSFL are largely due to atmospheric deposition of TCDD TEQ from various regional combustion activities.

Additionally, wildfires are known sources of TCDD TEQ (USEPA, 2000), and Boeing has documented the presence of dioxins in burn areas within the region. The 2005 Topanga Fire swept through SSFL and denuded approximately $70 \%$ of the site, which resulted in an increased amount of soil erosion and runoff in storm water.

Boeing will continue to investigate sources of TCDD onsite. However, the presence of TCDD in both background soils and fire-related materials, is well documented in the scientific literature (USEPA, 2000) and substantiated by our on- and offsite studies (MWH, 2005; Attachments 1 and 2). These suggest that the TCDD TEQ being measured in surface water is coming from naturally occurring sources over which Boeing has no reasonable control. Continued monitoring of surface water will provide a more thorough dataset with which to further evaluate the occurrence of TCDD.
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## DATA VALIDATION DISCUSSION

All analyses of sample discharges were conducted at a California-state certified laboratory for such analysis in accordance with current EPA guidelines, procedures, or as specified in the monitoring program. Data validation was performed on the analytical results and quality control elements were found to be within acceptable limits for all analytical methods reported, except as noted on the analytical summary tables. Laboratory analytical reports, including validation reports and notes, are included in Appendix G. Attachment T-A of the NPDES permit issued to the SSFL presents the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) minimum levels (MLs) for use in reporting and determining compliance with NPDES permit limits. The analytical laboratory achieved these MLs for this reporting period. However, some constituents' daily maximum discharge limits in the NPDES permit are less than their respective MLs, and less than the laboratory reporting limit (RL). In cases where the permit limit is less than the $R L$ and $M L$, the $R L$ was used to determine compliance. The specific constituents that have permit limits that are less than the RL and ML are mercury (daily maximum permit limit of $0.10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ and $0.13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$, monthly average limit of $0.05 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{RL}$ of $0.2 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ ), cyanide (monthly average limit of $4.3 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ ), RL of 5.0 $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$, and Bis- (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (daily maximum permit limit of $4.0, \mathrm{RL}$ of $5.0 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ ). Of these compounds, during the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter 2005, none were detected at concentrations equal to or greater than its RL.

## FACILITY CONTACT

If there are any questions regarding this report or it enclosures, you may contact Mr. Paul Costa at (818) 466-8778.

## CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all appendices were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted.

Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for a knowing violation.

Executed on the $15^{\text {th }}$ of February 2006 at The Boeing Company, SSFL.
Sincerely,


Director, Remediation Programs and
Safety, Health and Environmental Affairs
Laser \& Electro-Optical Systems
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Lynn Light, Platt Branch, Los Angeles Library
Stephen Baxter, Department of Toxic Substances Control
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## APPENDIX A

FIGURE 1


## APPENDIX B

 $4^{\text {th }}$ QUARTER 2005 RAINFALL DATA SUMMARYTHE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE

Station: AREA4
Month/Year: November 2005

Station: AREA4
Month/Year: December 2005


## APPENDIX C

## $4^{\text {th }}$ QUARTER 2005 LIQUID WASTE SHIPMENTS SUMMARY TABLES

THE BOEING COMPANY - ROCKETDYNE NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
UQUID WASTE SHIPMENTS October 2005
 LACSD Carson
LACSD Saugus
LACSD Saugus
LACSD Saugus
LACSD Saugus
LACSD Carson
LACSD Saugus

| DATE SHMED |  | grx. | Unrs | twesportes | cesmanton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +1/28/2005 | WASTE WATEA FROM AREA $\#$ SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT | 5000 | gal. | SOUTHWEST PROCESSORS INC. | UACSD Carso |
|  |  |  |  | 4120 BANDINI BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA. | 促 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE C-3 NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
LUQUID WASTE SHIPMENTS
December 2005

| DHE SV | THE of Lige | ora. | urrs | TMMSPGMER | Desmymen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/520005 | WASTE WATER FROM AREA II SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT | 5000 | GAL. | SOUTHWEST PROCESSORS INC. | LAC |
|  |  |  |  | 4120 BANDINI BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/522005 | WASTE WATER FROM AREAT SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT | 5000 | GAL. | SOUTHWEST PROCESSORS INC. | LACSO Carson |
|  |  |  |  | 4120 BANDINI BLVO. LOS ANGELES, CA. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/122005 | Alfa Kerosene Oil, Water Bulk | 800 | Grams | Ecology Control Industries (ECl) | ONYX ENVIFONMENTAL SERVICES INC. |
|  |  |  |  | 204486 Normadie Ave, Torrance, CA 90502 | 1704 W. FIRST ST. AZUSA, CA. 91702 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/13/2005 | WASTE WATEA FROM AREA I SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT | 5000 | GAL. | SOUTHWEST PROCESSOAS INC. | LACSD Carson |
|  |  |  |  | 4120 BANDINI BIVD. LOS ANGELES, CA. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1213/2005 | WASTE WATEA HROM AREA II SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT | 5000 | GAL. | SOUTHWEST PROCESSORS INC. | LACSD Saugus |
|  |  |  |  | 4120 BANDINI BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA. | LCS Saugus |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/13/2005 | WASTE WATER FROM AREAI SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT | 5000 | GAL. | SOUTHWEST PROCESSORS INC. | LACSD Carson |
|  |  |  |  | 4120 BANDINI BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/19/2005 | WASTE WATE FMOM AREAIISEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT | 5000 | GAL. | SOUTHWEST PROCESSORS INC. | LACSD Saugus |
|  |  |  |  | 4120 BANDINI BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA, |  |
| 12/9/2005 | WASTE WATER FROM AREAISEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT | 5000 | GAL. | SOUTHWEST PROCESSORS INC. | LACSD Carson |
|  |  |  |  | 4120 BANDINI BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/22/2005 | Waste Water $/$ Oii ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}$ ) | 24 | LBS. | ONYX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC. | ONYX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC. |
|  | Waste Labpac N/A loosepac liquic | 6 | LBS. | 1704 W. FITRST ST. AZUSA, CA. 91702 | 1704 W. FIRST ST, AZUSA, CA. 91702 |
|  | Waste Labpac N/ loosepac liquid | 32 | LBS. |  |  |
|  | Waste Methanol Solution | 296 | LBS. |  |  |
|  | Waste Mixed Solvents | 178 | LBS. |  |  |
|  | Waste Mixed Acids - no metals | 265 | LBS. |  |  |
|  | Waste 301 Aikaline Cleaning Soln, $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{NaOH}$ | 40 | LBS. |  |  |
|  | Waste 301 Alkaline Cleariing Soln, $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{NaOH}$ | 573 | LBS. |  |  |
|  | Waste Oil/ Water (N/R) | 799 | LBS. |  |  |
|  | Waste Oil/ Water (N/R) | 491 | LES. |  |  |
|  | Waste Water / Oil ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}$ ) | 499 | Les. |  |  |
|  | Non ACAA Hazardous Liq wilh studge | 245 | LBS. |  |  |
|  | Transformer with ol < 9 ppm PCB | 1475 | LiSS. | ONY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC. | ONYX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC./ PHOENIX |
|  | Non-PCB Transformer | 11053 | LBS. | 1704 W. FIRAST ST. AZUSA, CA. 91702 |  |
|  | Oll with 7 Ppm PCB | 2948 | LBS. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D

$4^{\text {th }}$ QUARTER 2005 SUMMARY TABLES, DISCHARGE MONITORING DATA, OUTFALLS 003 THROUGH 010, AND 018

# 4th QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY NOTES <br> THE BOEING COMPANY - ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY <br> NPDES PERMIT CA0001309 

## Notes:

1. For Dioxins and Furans, laboratory results may have been reported in picograms/liter ( $\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ ). However, the permit limit is stated in micrograms/liter ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ). To evaluate permit compliance, the laboratory results have been converted to $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, as necessary, to calculate the TCDD TEQ.
2. TCDD TEQs for the purpose of determining permit compliance are the sum of the products of the detected dioxin congener concentration multiplied by that congener's TEF. The resulting compliance TCDD TEQ does not include those congener concentrations that are reported as DNQ, as specified on Page 40 of the NPDES permit.
3. For some sample dates, pH was determined with a field instrument and was noted as such. These results were not validated. Since pH does not have an RL, the possible pH range is shown in the RL column.
4. The NPDES permit limits for mercury of $0.10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (Outfalls 1-2) and $0.13 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (Outfalls 3-7) are not achievable by the laboratory; therefore, the laboratory reporting limit of $0.20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ was used to determine compliance.
5. The volume discharged at the Alfa Test Stand (Outfall 012) is estimated based on the run time of the test.
6. All of the following abbreviations and/or notes may not occur on every table.
$-92.9+/-200 \quad$ A negative radiochemical analytical result indicates the count rate of the sample was less than the background condition
\$ reported result or other information was incorrectly reported by the laboratory; result was corrected by the data validator
-- based on validation of the data, a qualifier was not required
/- no permit limit established for daily maximum or monthly average
<(value) analyte not detected at a concentration greater than or equal to the DL, MDL, or RL (see laboratory report for specific detail)

* result not validated
*1
*2 improper preservation of sample the ICP/MS ppb check standard was recovered above the control limit; therefore, the constituent detected was qualified as estimated (J)
*3 initial and or continuing calibration recoveries were outside acceptable control limits


# 4th QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY NOTES THE BOEING COMPANY - ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309 

| *5 | blank spike/blank spike duplicate relative percent difference was outside the <br> control limit |
| :--- | :--- |
| *10 | value was estimated detect or estimated non detect (J,UJ) due to deficiencies <br> in quantitation of the constituent including constituents reported by the <br> laboratory as Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) values |
|  | no calibration was performed for this compound; result is reported as a <br> tentatively identified compound (TIC) |
| analysis not required; e.g., constituent or outfall was not required by the |  |
| and |  |
| permit to be sampled and analyzed (annual, semi-annual, etc.) |  |

# 4th QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY NOTES <br> THE BOEING COMPANY - ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309 

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{R} & \begin{array}{l}\text { as a validation qualifier, results are rejected; the presence or absence of } \\
\text { analyte cannot be verified }\end{array} \\
\mathrm{R} & \begin{array}{l}\text { (reason code in parentheses) }\end{array}
$$ <br>

\mathrm{RL} \& laboratory reporting limit calibration not within control limits\end{array}\right]\)| reporting limit raised due to sample matrix effects |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| RL-1 | percent relative standard deviation |

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  |  | 18/2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150/- | 100 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10/- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.072$ | U |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/- | 1.1 | J (DNQ) |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 6.82 | * |
| Sulfate | mg/L | 250/- | 80 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 61.5 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 850/- | 850 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | 480 | $\cdots$ |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | ug/L | 6.0/- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.36$ | U |
| Antimony, dissolved | ug/L | $\ldots$ | 0.73 | * (DNQ) |
| Arsenic | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/L | 4.0/- | 0.34 | J (DNQ) |
| Cadmium, dissolved | ug/L | - | 0.14 | * (DNQ) |
| Chromium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 14.0/- | 17 | -- |
| Copper, dissolved | ug/L | \% | 7.5 | * |
| Lead | ug/L | - | 11 | -- |
| Lead, dissolved | ug/L | -1- | 1.1 | * |
| Mercury | ug/L | 0.13/- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.063$ | U |
| Mercury, dissolved | ug/L | \%/ | $\mathrm{ND}<0.050$ | * |
| Nickel | ugh | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | 2.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY <br> NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloroform | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 003 (RMHF)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | $\qquad$ | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ugh | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | --1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ugh | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b) fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,I)perylene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k) fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ugh | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ugh | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ugh | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit LimitDailyMax/MonthlyAvg | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 003 (RMHF)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATOR
Sample Date October 18, 2005


| CCDD TEQ W/ DNQ Values | $6.20 \mathrm{E}-09$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCDD TEQ w/out DNQ Values |  | ND |

TCDD TEQ PERMIT LIMIT $=2.80 \mathrm{E}-\mathbf{0 8}$
Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall?
See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions, and other explanations for the data presented in this table.

## OUTFALL 004 (SRE)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloride | mg/L | 150/- | 6.8 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10/- | 1.3 | $\cdots$ |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/- | ND < 0.90 | U |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 7.33 | * |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 250/- | 5.5 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 60.1 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 850/- | 110 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1/ | 75 | - |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | ug/L | 6.0/- | 0.99 | J (DNQ) |
| Antimony, dissolved | ug/L | - | 1.2 | * (DNQ) |
| Arsenic | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/L | 4.0/- | 0.20 | U (B) |
| Cadmium, dissolved | ug/L | - | 0.041 | * (DNQ) |
| Chromium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | ug/L | 14.0/- | 7.0 | -- |
| Copper, dissolved | ug/L | - | 2.0 | * |
| Lead | ug/L | -/- | 2.8 | -- |
| Lead, dissolved | ug/L | -1- | 0.070 | * (DNQ) |
| Mercury | ug/L | 0.13/- | 0.22 | $\cdots$ |
| Mercury, dissolved | ug/L | -1 | $\mathrm{ND}<0.050$ | * |
| Nickel | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2.01- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily <br> Max/Monthly Avg | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloroform | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ugh | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | $\underline{u g / L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | $\underline{u g / L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ugh | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ugh | 1 | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY

THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,I)perylene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2.Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY <br> NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | ug/L | / | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 004 (SRE)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
Sample Date October 18, 200

| ANALMKE | LAB LOD (ugfL) | LAB RI (ag/L) | $\begin{gathered} \text { LAB } \\ \text { RESULT } \\ \text { (ug/L) } \end{gathered}$ | VALIDATION QUALIFIER | WHO TEF | TCDD Equivalent (wIDNQ Values) (og/L) | TCDD Equivalent (w/out DNO Values) (ugh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3.40E-06 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.90 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 0.01 | $4.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -- | 0.01 | $5.80 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.80E-07 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.01 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | 6.70E-07 | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-05$ | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | UJ (*10) | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 1.30E-06 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.05 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | $7.70 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $5.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | UJ (*10) | 0.5 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| OCDD | $6.40 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.00E-04 | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -- | 0.0001 | 3.60E-07 | 3.60E-07 |
| OCDF | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 0.0001 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-08$ |

[^0]Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall?
See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions, and other explanations for the data presented in this table.

## OUTFALL 005 (FSDF-1)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  |  | 18/2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150/- | 27 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10/- | 16 | -* |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.90$ | U |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 7.40 | * |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 250/- | 18 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 57.0 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 850/- | 540 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/ | 3000 | -- |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | ug/L | 6.0/- | ND < 0.36 | U |
| Antimony, dissolved | ug/L | -/- | 1.0 | * (DNQ) |
| Arsenic | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/L | 4.0/- | 1.6 | J (DNQ) |
| Cadmium, dissolved | ug/L | -1- | 0.049 | * (DNQ) |
| Chromium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | ug/L | 14.0/- | 30 | -- |
| Copper, dissolved | ug/L | - | 4.2 | * |
| Lead | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1 | 34 | -- |
| Lead, dissolved | ug/L | 1 | 0.063 | * (DNQ) |
| Mercury | ug/L | 0.13/- | 0.41 | $\cdots$ |
| Mercury, dissolved | ug/L | -1- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.050$ | * |
| Nickel | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ug/L | H- | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | 2.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit <br> Daily <br> Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloroform | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | $u g / L$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | \%- | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chioro-3-methylphenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 005 (FSDF-1)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,I)perylene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | \%- | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Dibenzo( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ ) anthracene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | 4 | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 005 (FSDF-1)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
Sample Date October 18, 2005

| ANABYTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAB } \\ & \text { LOD } \\ & \text { (ug/L) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{LAB} \\ \mathrm{ML} \\ (\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LAB <br> RESULT <br> (ugh) | VALDDATION OUALIFIER | WHO <br> TEF | TCDD Equivalent (wIDNQ Values) (ug/L) | TCDD Equivalent (w/out DNQ Values) (ug/L) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3.80E-06 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | --- | 0.01 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | J (DNQ) | 0.01 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 3.40E-06 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.01 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 1.80E-06 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | UJ (*10) | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.05 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.5 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| OCDD | $6.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -- | 0.0001 | 2.60E-07 | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| OCDF | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ND | UJ (B) | 0.0001 | ND | ND |

[^1]See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions, and other explanations for the data presented in this table.

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150/- | 41 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen ( N ) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10/- | 7.9 | -- |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/- | ND < 0.94 | U |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 7.30 | * |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 250/- | 23 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 59.2 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 850/- | 480 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -- | 520 | -- |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | - | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | ug/L | 6.0/- | 0.42 | J (DNQ) |
| Antimony, dissolved | ug/L | - | 0.53 | * (DNQ) |
| Arsenic | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/ | 4.0/- | ND $<1.0$ | U (B) |
| Cadmium, dissolved | ug/L | -- | 0.11 | * (DNQ) |
| Chromium | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | ug/ | 14.0/- | 16 | $\cdots$ |
| Copper, dissolved | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | 6.2 | * |
| Lead | ug/L | - | 12 | $\cdots$ |
| Lead, dissolved | ug/L | -1. | 0.76 | * (DNQ) |
| Mercury | ug/ | 0.13/- | 0.13 | J (DNQ) |
| Mercury, dissolved | ug/L | - | ND < 0.050 | , |
| Nickel | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | 2.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 006 (FSDF-2)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloroform | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | \%- | ANR | ANR |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin. | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,I)perylene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | H- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 006 (FSDF-2)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY <br> NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

| ANALYTE |  | UNITS | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Permit Limit } \\ \text { Daily } \\ \text { Max/Monthly Avg }\end{array}$ | RESULT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}VALIDATION <br>

QUALIFIER\end{array}\right]\)
OUTFALL 006 (FSDF-2)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
Sample Date October 18, 2005


| TCDD TEQ w/ DNQ Values | $4.41 \mathrm{Em7}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TCDD TEQ w/out DNQ Values |  | 3.40 Em 08 |

Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall? Yes TCDD TEQ PERMIT LIMIT = 2.80E-08

OUTFALL 007 (Building 100)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150/- | 51 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10/- | 7.4 | -- |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/- | ND < 0.89 | U |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 6.93 | * |
| Sulfate | mg/L | 250/- | 33 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 62.1 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 850/- | 430 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | 670 | -- |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | - | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | ug/L | 6.0/- | 6.2 | -- |
| Antimony, dissolved | ug/L | - | 9.8 | * |
| Arsenic | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/L | 4.0/- | 0.80 | J (DNQ) |
| Cadmium, dissolved | ug/L | -/- | 0.12 | * (DNQ) |
| Chromium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | ug/L | 14.0/- | 19 | -- |
| Copper, dissolved | ug/L | -/- | 6.1 | * |
| Lead | ug/L | -/ | 20 | -- |
| Lead, dissolved | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | 1.8 | * |
| Mercury | ug/L | 0.13/- | 0.10 | J (DNQ) |
| Mercury, dissolved | ug/L | /- | ND < 0.050 | * |
| Nickel | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | 2.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

OUTFALL 007 (Building 100)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE

SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit LimitDailyMax/MonthlyAvg | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloroform | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,I-Dichloroethene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | $\underline{u g / L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ugh | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 007 (Building 100)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,l)perylene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

OUTFALL 007 (Building 100)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno( (1,2,3-cd) pyrene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 007 (Building 100)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
Sample Date October 18, 2005

| ANAETTE | LAB <br> LOD <br> (ug/L) | LAB RL ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAB } \\ & \text { RESULT } \\ & \text { (ugh) } \end{aligned}$ | VAUDDATION OUALTHIER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WHO } \\ & \text { TEF } \end{aligned}$ | TCDD Equivalent (w/DNQ Values) (ugh) | TCDD Equivalent (w/out DNOC Values) (ag/t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | $9.20 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | --- | 0.01 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.80E-07 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.90E-06 | J (DNQ) | 0.01 | $6.90 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.01 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | $4.20 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | $4.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.05 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 1.50E-06 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.5 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| OCDD | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-04 | -"' | 0.0001 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3.70E-08 |
| OCDF | $7.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ND | UJ (B) | 0.0001 | ND | ND |


| TCDD TEQ w/DNQ Values | $3.86 \mathrm{E}-07$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TCDD TEQ w/out DNQ Values |  | 3.17E-07 |

TCDD TEQ PERMIT LIMIT $=2.80 \mathrm{E}-\mathbf{- 0 8}$

OUTFALL 008 (Happy Valley Drainage)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150/- | 4.6 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 8.0/- | 0.95 | -- |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/- | ND < 0.89 | U |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.80$ | U |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 7.75 | * |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 300/- | 14 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 59.9 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 950/- | 270 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | 1300 | $\cdots$ |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | 0.54 | J (DNQ) |
| Antimony, dissolved | ug/L | -/- | 1.0 | * (DNQ) |
| Arsenic | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | $u \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | 1.5 | $\cdots$ |
| Cadmium, dissolved | ug/L | -1- | 0.030 | * (DNQ) |
| Chromium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | 14 | -- |
| Copper, dissolved | ug/L | - | 1.5 | * (DNQ) |
| Lead | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | 120 | --- |
| Lead, dissolved | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 0.76 | * (DNQ) |
| Mercury | ug/L | - | 0.14 | J (DNQ) |
| Mercury, dissolved | ug/L | - | ND < 0.050 | - |
| Nickel | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

OUTFALL 008 (Happy Valley Drainage)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY

THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Chloroform | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | \%- | ANR | ANR |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | \%- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4*-DDD | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 008 (Happy Valley Drainage)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY

 THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
## SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY

 NPDES PERMIT CA0001309October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g, h, 1) perylene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | .- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ugh | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | $u g / L$ | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

OUTFALL 008 (Happy Valley Drainage)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit <br> Daily <br> Max/Monthly <br> Avg | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ugh | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ug/ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodimethylamine | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ugh | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/L | -f. | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 008 (Happy Valley Drainage)

[^2]Sample Date October 18, 2005

Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall? No TCDD TEQ PERMIT LIMIT = NA
Page 1 of 1

## OUTFALL 009 (WS-13 Drainage)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

| ANALYTE |  | UNITS | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Permit Limit } \\ \text { Daily } \\ \text { Max/Monthly } \\ \text { Avg }\end{array}$ | RESULT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}VALIDATION <br>

QUALIFIER\end{array}\right]\)

OUTFALL 009 (WS-13 Drainage)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/17/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloroform | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \%/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | /- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chiorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

OUTFALL 009 (WS-13 Drainage)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | $\qquad$ | 10/17/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,I)perylene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | --1- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ugh | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ug/ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 009 (WS-13 Drainage)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/17/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | ugL | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 009 (WS-13 Drainage)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
Sample Date October 17, 2005

| ANALYRE | LAB <br> LOD <br> (ug/L) | $\begin{gathered} \text { LAB } \\ \text { RL } \\ (\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAB } \\ & \text { RESULT } \\ & (u g / L) \end{aligned}$ | VALIDATION QUALIFIER | $\begin{gathered} \text { WHO } \\ \text { TEF } \end{gathered}$ | TCDD Equivatent (w/DNQ Values) (ug/L) | TCDD Equivalent (w/out DNQ Values) (uph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.50E-05 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-02$ | --- | 0.01 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -- | 0.01 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 0.01 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 0.1 | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.50E-05 | $3.30 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 0.1 | $3.30 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.30E-05 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 0.1 | $7.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | J ${ }^{*} 10$ ) | 0.1 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.67 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 0.1 | $5.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.47E-05 | -- | 0.1 | $5.47 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.47 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 1 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 0.05 | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.78E-04 | -- | 0.1 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.50E-05 | 3.69E-04 | -- | 0.5 | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -- | 1 | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.00E-06 | 4.19E-04 | -- | 0.1 | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| OCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.00E-05 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -- | 0.0001 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| OCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 9.15E-03 | -- | 0.0001 | $9.15 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $9.15 \mathrm{E}-07$ |

[^3]See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions, and other explanations for the data presented in this table.

## OUTFALL 010 (Building 203)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Permit Limit } \\ \text { Daily } \\ \text { Max/Monthly } \\ \text { Avg } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150/- | 45 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10/- | 2.5 | -- |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/- | ND < 0.94 | U |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.01- | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 7.14 | * |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 250/- | 50 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 60.3 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 850/- | 320 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | 86 | -- |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | -- | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | ug/ | - | 20 | -- |
| Antimony, dissolved | ug/L | -1- | 26 | * |
| Arsenic | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | mg/L | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/ | \% | 0.35 | J (DNQ) |
| Cadmium, dissolved | ug/L | -- | 0.16 | *(DNQ) |
| Chromium | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | ug/L | - | 13 | -- |
| Copper, dissolved | ug/ | -- | 6.2 | * |
| Lead | ug/L | -- | 79 | -- |
| Lead, dissolved | ug/L | -- | 2.4 | * |
| Mercury | ug/L | -- | 0.097 | J (DNQ) |
| Mercury, dissolved | ug/L | - | ND $<0.050$ | * |
| Nickel | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ugh | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 010 (Building 203)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Chloroform | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Tetrachloroethene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/ | $\underline{-}$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

## OUTFALL 010 (Building 203)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ugh | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,l)perylene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE

## SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY

 NPDES PERMIT CA0001309October 1 through October 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily <br> Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno( $1,2,3-\mathrm{cd}$ ) pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ugh | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.
OUTFALL 010 (Building 203)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
Sample Date October 18, 2005

| ANACYTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAB } \\ & \text { LOD } \\ & \text { (ugh) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{LAB} \\ \mathrm{RL} \\ (\mathrm{ugh}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LAB RESULT (ug/L) | VALDDATION OUALIFIER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { who } \\ & \text { TEF } \end{aligned}$ | TCDD Equivalent (w/DNQ Values) (ug/L) | TCDO Equivalent (wfout DNQ Vahues) (ug/4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.50E-05 | 5.95E-05 | -- | 0.01 | 5.95E-07 | 5.95E-07 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.11 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -- | 0.01 | $3.11 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $3.11 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.76 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $J$ (DNQ) | 0.01 | $5.76 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.44 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $J$ (DNQ) | 0.1 | $5.44 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.50E-05 | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $J$ (DNQ) | 0.1 | 2.27E-06 | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.06 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | $9.06 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | 1.80E-06 | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 7.74E-06 | $J$ (DNQ) | 0.1 | 7.74E-07 | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.84 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $J$ (DNQ) | 0.1 | $5.84 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 8.11E-06 | J (DNQ) | 1 | $8.11 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.52 \mathrm{E}-05$ | --- | 0.05 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.26E-06 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.59 \mathrm{E}-05$ | J | 0.5 | 1.30E-05 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.94 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) | 1 | 2.94E-06 | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-05$ | - | 0.1 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| OCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.37E-04 | $\cdots$ | 0.0001 | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| OCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.02 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -- | 0.0001 | $5.02 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $5.02 \mathrm{E}-09$ |


| TCDD TEQ w/ DNO Values | 3.68E-05 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TCDD TEQ w/out DNQ Values |  | 1.75世-05 |

Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall? No TCDD TEQ PERMIT LIMIT = NA

## OUTFALL 003 (RMHF)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY

THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit <br> Daily <br> Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150/- | 98 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | mg/L | 10/- | 2.9 | -- |
| Oil \& Grease | mg/L | 15/- | 1.1 | J (DNQ) |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/. | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 9.40 | * |
| Sulfate | mg/L | 250\%- | 99 | -* |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 59.7 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 850/- | 590 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | 19 | -- |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | \% | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | ug/L | 6.0/- | 35 | -- |
| Arsenic | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/L | 4.0/- | 0.22 | J (DNQ) |
| Chromium | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 14.0/- | 7.1 | -- |
| Lead | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | 1.4 | - |
| Mercury | ug/L | 0.13/- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.20$ | UJ (B) |
| Nickel | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | 2.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroform | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,I-Dichloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | $u g / L$ | \% | ANR | ANR |

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY

THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4-DDE | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4-DDT | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ugh | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | H | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 003 (RMHF)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { VALIDATION } \\ \text { QUALIFIER } \end{array}$ |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/ | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,I)perylene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ugl | -- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ug/ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ugh | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY

THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit <br> Daily <br> Max/Monthly <br> Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | - $/$ | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 003 (RMHF)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
Sample Date November 9, 2005

| ANALVTE |  |  |  |  |  |  | TCDD Equivilent (whout DNO values) (ug/) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.73E-05 | J (DNQ) | 0.01 | 1.73E-07 | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.71 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | UJ (*10) | 0.01 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.01 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | $2.32 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | $9.51 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8 HxCDD | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | $9.08 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.05 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.81E-06 | ND | UJ (*10) | 0.5 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | UJ (*10) | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| OCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 0.0001 | 1.45E-08 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| OCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.10E-06 | J (DNQ) | 0.0001 | 5.10E-10 | ND |


| TCDD TEQ w/DNQ Values | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-07$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TCDD TEQ w/ont DNQ Values |  |  |

Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall?

## OUTFALL 004 (SRE)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150/- | 14 | -.. |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10/- | 2.4 | -- |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/- | 1.7 | J (DNQ) |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 7.50 | * |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 250/- | 11 | $\cdots$ |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 61.0 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 850/- | 190 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | 64 | -- |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | \% | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | ug/L | 6.0/- | 4.0 | $\cdots$ |
| Arsenic | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 4.0/- | 0.21 | J (DNQ) |
| Chromium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | ug/L | 14.0/- | 11 | -- |
| Lead | ug/L | -/- | 2.7 | $\cdots$ |
| Mercury | ug/L | 0.13/- | 0.065 | J (B, DNQ) |
| Nickel | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | 2.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroform | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | $1-$ | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

| ANALYTE |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,I)perylene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | 4 | ANR | ANR |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/L | 4 | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY <br> NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ugh | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene | ugl | - | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ugh | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ugh | \% | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 004 (SRE)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
Sample Date November 9, 2005

| ANAEXIE |  |  |  | VASIDA110N OUA MILIR |  |  | TCDN EqMivalent (w/out DNO vilues) (ug) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-04$ | - -- | 0.01 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -"' | 0.01 | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.76E-07 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | $2.99 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.01 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.10E-06 | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | 5.10E-07 | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.93E-06 | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | $6.93 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.74 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | UJ (*10) | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $J$ (DNQ) | 1 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.24 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) | 0.05 | 3.62E-07 | ND |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.81 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $J$ (DNQ) | 0.1 | $4.81 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.57 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) | 0.5 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1.19E-06 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | $0,00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 7.42E-06 | -- | 0.1 | 7.42E-07 | 7.42E-07 |
| OCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.18 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -- | 0.0001 | 3.18E-07 | 3.18E-07 |
| OCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 7.64E-05 | $\cdots$ | 0.0001 | 7.64E-09 | $7.64 \mathrm{E}-09$ |



Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall?

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Chloride | mg/L | 150/- | 62 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10/- | 6.6 | -- |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/- | 0.96 | J (DNQ) |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 7.70 | * |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 250/- | 25 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 60.8 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 850/- | 370 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | ./- | 540 | -- |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | \% | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | ./- | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 6.0/- | 3.4 | J (DNQ) |
| Arsenic | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/L | 4.0/- | 0.51 | J (DNQ) |
| Chromium | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | ug/L | 14.0/- | 20 | -- |
| Lead | ug/L | -/- | 10 | - |
| Mercury | ug/L | 0.13/- | ND < 0.20 | UJ (B) |
| Nickel | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | 2.01- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | 4 | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ughL | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroform | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | $\cdots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | + | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | \%- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
November 1 through November 30, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,I)perylene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | $u g / L$ | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | ./- | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 005 (FSDF-1)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit <br> Daily <br> Max/Monthly <br> Avg | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ught | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ugh | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno( $1,2,3-\mathrm{cd}$ )pyrene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodimethylamine | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 005 (FSDF-1)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
Sample Date November 9, 2005

| ANADKIE |  |  |  | VALIDATION 0UALILLES |  |  |  | TEDD Equivalent (wout DNQ vaines) (ug) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.37E-04 | -*- |  | 0.01 | 1.37E-06 | 1.37E-06 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.11E-06 | J (DNQ) |  | 0.01 | $6.11 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U |  | 0.01 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U (t) |  | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U |  | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $J$ (DNQ) |  | 0.1 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U |  | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.68E-06 | J (DNQ) |  | 0.1 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U |  | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | $9.86 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U |  | 1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | UJ (*10) |  | 0.05 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U |  | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) |  | 0.5 | $9.05 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | U |  | 1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) |  | 0.1 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| OCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.92 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -- |  | 0.0001 | $3.92 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.92E-07 |
| OCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $J$ (DNQ) |  | 0.0001 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ND |

## TCDD TEQ w/DNQ Vaimes TCDD TEQ w/out DNQValu

Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall?
TCDD TEQ PERMIT LIMIT $=2.80 \mathrm{E}-08$
See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions, and other explanations for the data presented in this table.

## OUTFALL 006 (FSDF-2)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE

## SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY <br> NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150/- | 49 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10/- | 4.9 | -- |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/- | ND < 0.99 | U |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 7.90 | * |
| Sulfate | mg/L | 250/- | 31 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 62.2 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 850/- | 550 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/ | 710 | -- |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | ug/L | 6.0/- | 1.3 | J (DNQ) |
| Arsenic | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/L | 4.0/- | 0.91 | J (DNQ) |
| Chromium | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 14.0/- | 34 | -- |
| Lead | ug/L | -/ | 29 | -- |
| Mercury | ug/L | 0.13/- | 0.89 | -- |
| Nickel | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | 2.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroform | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | \%- | ANR | ANR |

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | ./- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | / | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | $1 /$ | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4 ${ }^{4}$-DDD | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4 - DDT | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | \%- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
November 1 through November 30, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | / | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,1)perylene | ug/L | / | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | 4 | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ugh | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ugh | / | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | $1-$ | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 006 (FSDF-2)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
Sample Date November 9, 2005


TCDD TEQ w/DNQ Values TCDD TEQ w/out DNQ Values

Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall?

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 150/- | 11 | -- |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6/- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10/- | 0.90 | -- |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15/~ | 1.1 | J (DNQ) |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | 6.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 7.25 | * |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 250/- | 38 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 61.2 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 850/- | 200 | -- |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | 19 | -- |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminum | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Antimony | ug/L | - | 0.74 | J (DNQ) |
| Arsenic | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/L | -/- | 0.071 | J (DNQ) |
| Chromium | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | ug/L | $\ldots$ | 6.4 | - |
| Lead | ug/L | \% | 3.3 | $\cdots$ |
| Mercury | ug/L | - | $\mathrm{ND}<0.20$ | US (B) |
| Nickel | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Silver | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroform | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ugh | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 009 (WS-13 Drainage)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Toluene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ugh | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -/. | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 009 (WS-13 Drainage)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY

THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Anthracene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,1)perylene | ug/L | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-ethylhexy) Phthalate | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 009 (WS-13 Drainage)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ugL | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ugL | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/ | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodiphenylamine | ugh | - | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Phenanthrene | ug/ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 009 (WS-13 Drainage)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
Sample Date November 9, 2005

| ANAIITE |  |  | 1AB RESULI (ugh) | VALIDATION OUALIELER |  |  | TCDD Equivilent (w/out DNO filues) (4) 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.37E-05 | --- | 0.01 | $5.37 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.37E-07 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $J$ (DNQ) | 0.01 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.95E-06 | $J$ (DNQ) | 0.01 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | $5.89 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | 3.02E-07 | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | $5.59 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.70E-06 | J (DNQ) | 0.1 | 2.70E-07 | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | $6.56 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 1.11E-06 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.05 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | $6.67 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | $9.86 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.5 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | $7.03 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.00E-06 | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | $7.79 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| OCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -- | 0.0001 | $6.88 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 6.88E-08 |
| OCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $\cdots$ | 0.0001 | $8.55 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $8.55 \mathrm{E}-09$ |

[^4] Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall?

## OUTFALL 018 (R-2 Spillway)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Ammonia as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ND < 0.30 | U |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD 5 day) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | 2.4 | -- |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | \%- | 36 | -- |
| Specific Conductivity (Lab) | umhos/cm | - | 640 | -- |
| Surfactants (MBAS) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | 0.089 | J (DNQ, *10) |
| Fluoride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen (N) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.080$ | U |
| Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.90$ | U |
| Perchlorate | ug/L | -/- | ND < 0.80 | U |
| pH (Field) | pH units | 6.5-8.5/- | 7.22 | * |
| Total Settleable Solids | $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ND $<0.10$ | U |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | 89 | -- |
| Temperature | deg. F | 86/- | 60.8 | * |
| Total Cyanide | ug/L | $\%$ | ND $<2.2$ | U |
| Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 420 | $\cdots$ |
| Total Organic Carbon | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Total Residual Chlorine | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -f- | ANR | ANR |
| Total Suspended Solids | mg/L | 1 | ND < 10 | U |
| Turbidity | NTU | \% | 3.6 | - - |
| Volume Discharged | MGD | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |
| Arsenic | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Barium | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Beryllium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Boron | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cadmium | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chromium | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chromium VI | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Cobalt | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Copper | ug/L | 1 | ND $<2.0$ | U (B) |
| Iron | mg/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Lead | ug/L | -/ | $\mathrm{ND}<1.0$ | U (B) |
| Manganese | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Mercury | ug/L | -1- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.063$ | U |
| Nickel | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Selenium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 018 (R-2 Spillway)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
November 1 through November 30, 2005

|  |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| Silver | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Thallium | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Vanadium | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Zinc | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| ORGANICS |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | - | ND < 0.28 | U |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | \% | ND < 0.28 | U |
| Chloroform | ug/L | - | ND < 0.33 | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | - | ND < 0.27 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | $\mathrm{ND}<0.28$ | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -1 | $\mathrm{ND}<0.42$ | U |
| 1,4-Dioxane | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | -/- | ND < 0.25 | U |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | -/- | ND $<0.32$ | U |
| Toluene | ug/L | -/- | ND < 0.36 | U |
| Xylenes (Total) | ug/L | -/- | ND < 0.52 | U |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -1- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.30$ | U |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | -/- | ND < 0.30 | U |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | -/- | ND < 0.26 | U |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | - | $\mathrm{ND}<0.34$ | U |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | ug/L | -/- | $\mathrm{ND}<1.2$ | U |
| Vinyl Chloride | ug/L | -/- | ND < 0.26 | U |
| TPH |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C22) | ug/L | 4 | ANR | ANR |
| GRO (C4-C12) | ug/L | H- | ANR | ANR |
| TRPH | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| ADDITIONAL ANALYTES |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ugh | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ND < 0.096 | U |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | - | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 018 (R-2 Spillway)

FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE

## SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY <br> NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ug/L | -I- | ANR | ANR |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | -1- | $\mathrm{ND}<0.22$ | U |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloroethylvinylether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | ug/L | -- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDD | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDE | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| 4,4'-DDT | ug/L | $1-$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Bromophenylphenylether | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | ./- | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Chlorophenylphenylether | ug/L | -1. | ANR | ANR |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Acenaphthene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% | ANR | ANR |
| Acrolein | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Acrylonitrile | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Acute Toxicity | \% SURVIVAL | 70-100/- | ANR | ANR |
| Aldrin | ug/L | -/ | ANR | ANR |
| alpha-BHC | ug/L | -1 | $\mathrm{ND}<0.00096$ | U |
| Anthracene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1016 | ug/L | \%- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1221 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1232 | ug/L | \%- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1242 | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1248 | ug/L | /- | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1254 | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Aroclor-1260 | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Benzidine | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ug/L | $\%$ | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(g,h,l)perylene | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |
| beta-BHC | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | ug/L | \% | ANR | ANR |

## OUTFALL 018 (R-2 Spillway)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Permit Limit } \\ \text { Daily } \\ \text { Max/Monthly } \\ \text { Avg } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | ug/L | \% | ND $<4.8$ | U (B) |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Bromoform | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Chlordane | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chloroethane | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Chronic Toxicity | TUC | 1.0/- | ANR | ANR |
| Chrysene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Cyclohexane | ug/l | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| delta-BHC | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Dieldrin | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Diethylphthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Dimethylphthalate | ug/L | $1 /$ | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan I | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan II | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -1/ | ANR | ANR |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Endrin aldehyde | ughL | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Fluorene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ug/L | H | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ug/L | 4 | ANR | ANR |
| Hexachloroethane | ug/L | - | ANR | ANR |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ug/L | 1 | ANR | ANR |
| Isophorone | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |

See attached notes for abbreviations, definitions and other explanations for the data presented.

OUTFALL 018 (R-2 Spillway)

## FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY <br> THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE <br> SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY <br> NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

November 1 through November 30, 2005

| ANALYTE | UNITS |  | 11/9/2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VALIDATION } \\ & \text { QUALIFIER } \end{aligned}$ |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |
| Monomethyl Hydrazine | ug/L | -1 | ANR | ANR |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Nitrobenzene | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| n-Nitrosodimethylamine | ug/L | -1/ | $\mathrm{ND}<0.21$ | U |
| n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| n -Nitrosodiphenylamine | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Pentachlorophenol | ug/L | -1- | ND < 0.75 | U |
| Phenanthrene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Phenol | ug/L | -/- | ANR | ANR |
| Pyrene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| Toxaphene | ug/L | -1- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | -I- | ANR | ANR |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | $\ldots$ | ANR | ANR |

OUTFALL 018 (R-2 Spillway)
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY
THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY

| MNANTE | LAB <br> LOD <br> (ugh) | LAB NL (ugh) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAB } \\ & \text { RESULT } \\ & \text { (ugh) } \end{aligned}$ | VALIDATION QUALIFIER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WHO } \\ & \text { TEF } \end{aligned}$ | TCDB Equivalent (wIDNO Values) (ug/L) | TCDD Equivalent (whout bNO Values) (ugh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | J (DNQ) | 0.01 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-06$ | J (DNQ) | 0.01 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 1.25E-06 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.01 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 3.29E-06 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | $8.09 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 3.62E-06 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 1.12E-06 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 3.47E-06 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 1.33E-06 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.05 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | $8.41 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ND | U | 0.5 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | $7.63 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | U | 1 | ND | ND |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5,00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ND | U | 0.1 | ND | ND |
| OCDD | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\cdots$ | 0.0001 | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| OCDF | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.42E-06 | J (DNQ) | 0.0001 | $6.42 \mathrm{E}-10$ | ND |
| TCDD TEQ w/DNQ Values |  |  |  |  |  | 1.79E-07 |  |
| TCDD TEQ w/out DNQ Values |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-08$ |

Dioxin TCDD TEQ compliance limit established for this outfall?
Page 1 of 1

## APPENDIX E

$4^{\text {th }}$ QUARTER 2005 SECTION 13267 SUMMARY TABLES, DISCHARGE MONITORING DATA, OUTFALL 003

# 4th QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY NOTES <br> THE BOEING COMPANY - ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309 

## Notes:

1. For Dioxins and Furans, laboratory results may have been reported in picograms/liter ( $\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ ). However, the permit limit is stated in micrograms/liter ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ). To evaluate permit compliance, the laboratory results have been converted to $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, as necessary, to calculate the TCDD TEQ.
2. TCDD TEQs for the purpose of determining permit compliance are the sum of the products of the detected dioxin congener concentration multiplied by that congener's TEF. The resulting compliance TCDD TEQ does not include those congener concentrations that are reported as DNQ, as specified on Page 40 of the NPDES permit.
3. For some sample dates, pH was determined with a field instrument and was noted as such. These results were not validated. Since pH does not have an RL, the possible pH range is shown in the RL column.
4. The NPDES permit limits for mercury of $0.10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (Outfalls 1-2) and $0.13 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (Outfalls 3-7) are not achievable by the laboratory; therefore, the laboratory reporting limit of $0.20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ was used to determine compliance.
5. The volume discharged at the Alfa Test Stand (Outfall 012) is estimated based on the run time of the test.
6. All of the following abbreviations and/or notes may not occur on every table. or RL (see laboratory report for specific detail) result not validated

## ${ }^{*} 1$

*2

## *3

A negative radiochemical analytical result indicates the count rate of the sample was less than the background condition
improper preservation of sample

the ICP/MS ppb check standard was recovered above the control limit; therefore, the constituent detected was qualified as estimated (J) initial and or continuing calibration recoveries were outside acceptable control
limits

## 4th QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY NOTES <br> THE BOEING COMPANY - ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

| *5 | blank spike/blank spike duplicate relative percent difference was outside the control limit |
| :---: | :---: |
| *10 | value was estimated detect or estimated non detect (J,UJ) due to deficiencies in quantitation of the constituent including constituents reported by the |
| *11 | laboratory as Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) values no calibration was performed for this compound; result is reported as a |
| ANR | analysis not required; e.g., constituent or outfall was not required by the permit to be sampled and analyzed (annual, semi-annual, etc.) |
| B | laboratory method blank contamination |
| C | calibration \%RSD or \%D were noncompliant |
| C5 | Calibration verification \%R was outside method control limits |
| \%D | percent difference between the initial and continuing calibration relative response factors |
| $\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{F}$ | degrees Fahrenheit |
| DL | detection limit |
| DNQ | detected but not quantified (constituent value greater than or equal to the |
| E | duplicates show poor agreement |
| H | holding time was exceeded |
| I | ICP interference check solution results were unsatisfactory |
| J | estimated value |
| K | The sample dilution's set-up did not meet the oxygen depletion criteria of at least $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. Therefore, the reported result is an estimated value only |
| L2 | the laboratory control sample \%R was below the method control limits |
| L | laboratory control sample \%R was outside control limits |
| LOD | limit of detection |
| M1 | matrix spike (MS) and/or MS duplicate were above the acceptance limits due to sample matrix interference |
| M2 | the MS and/or MS duplicate were below the acceptance limits due to sample matrix interference |
| MDA | minimum detectable activity |
| MDL | method detection limit |
| MGD | million gallons per day |
| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | milligrams per liter |
| $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{hr}$ | milliliters per liter per hour |
| NA | not applicable; no permit limit established for |
| ND | analyte value less than the LOD or MDL |
| NM | not measured or determined |
| NTU | nephelometric turbidity unit |
| $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | picocurries per liter |
| pg/L | picograms per liter |
|  | matrix spike recovery outside of control limits |

# 4th QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY NOTES THE BOEING COMPANY - ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309 

| R | as a validation qualifier, results are rejected; the presence or absence of analyte cannot be verified |
| :---: | :---: |
| R | (reason code in parentheses) \%R for calibration not within control limits |
| RL | laboratory reporting limit |
| RL-1 | reporting limit raised due to sample matrix effects |
| \%RSD | percent relative standard deviation |
| S | surrogate recovery was outside control limits |
| TEQ | toxic equivalent |
| T | presumed contamination, as indicated by a detect in the trip blank |
| TU | toxicity units (chronic) |
| U | result not detected |
| $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | micrograms per liter |
| UJ | result not detected at the estimated reporting limit |
| umhos/cm | micromhos per centimeter |
| WHO TEF | World Health Organization toxic equivalency factor |
| $\wedge$ | analysis not completed due to hold time exceedence or insufficient sample volume |
| + | False positive - reported compound was not present. Not applicable. |

OUTFALL 003 (RMHF) FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYN SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY
NPDES PERMIT CA0001309
October 1 through December 31, 2005

|  |  |  | 10/18/2005 |  |  | 11/9/2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANALYTE | UNITS | Permit Limit Daily Max/Monthly Avg | RESULT | MDA | VALIDATION QUALIFIER | RESULT | MDA | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| RADIOACTIVITY |  |  |  | 0.992 | J(H) | $0.517 \pm 0.26$ | 0.414 | J (H) |
| Strontium-90 (unfiltered) | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 8.0/- | $8.44 \pm 1.3$ | 0.992 | J(H) | $0.517 \pm 0.26$ |  |  |

## APPENDIX F

$4^{\text {th }}$ QUARTER 2005 SUMMARY OF PERMIT LIMIT EXCEEDENCES

# 4th QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY NOTES THE BOEING COMPANY - ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309 

## Notes:

1. For Dioxins and Furans, laboratory results may have been reported in picograms/liter ( $\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ ). However, the permit limit is stated in micrograms/liter ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ). To evaluate permit compliance, the laboratory results have been converted to $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, as necessary, to calculate the TCDD TEQ.
2. TCDD TEQs for the purpose of determining permit compliance are the sum of the products of the detected dioxin congener concentration multiplied by that congener's TEF. The resulting compliance TCDD TEQ does not include those congener concentrations that are reported as DNQ, as specified on Page 40 of the NPDES permit.
3. For some sample dates, pH was determined with a field instrument and was noted as such. These results were not validated. Since pH does not have an RL, the possible pH range is shown in the RL column.
4. The NPDES permit limits for mercury of $0.10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (Outfalls 1-2) and $0.13 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (Outfalls 3-7) are not achievable by the laboratory; therefore, the laboratory reporting limit of $0.20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ was used to determine compliance.
5. The volume discharged at the Alfa Test Stand (Outfall 012) is estimated based on the run time of the test.
6. All of the following abbreviations and/or notes may not occur on every table.
$-92.9+/-200 \quad$ A negative radiochemical analytical result indicates the count rate of the sample was less than the background condition
\$ reported result or other information was incorrectly reported by the laboratory; result was corrected by the data validator
-- based on validation of the data, a qualifier was not required
$\%$ no permit limit established for daily maximum or monthly average
<(value) analyte not detected at a concentration greater than or equal to the DL, MDL, or RL (see laboratory report for specific detail)

* result not validated
*1 improper preservation of sample
*2 the ICP/MS ppb check standard was recovered above the control limit; therefore, the constituent detected was qualified as estimated (J)
*3 initial and or continuing calibration recoveries were outside acceptable control limits


## 4th QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY NOTES THE BOEING COMPANY - ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309

| *5 | blank spike/blank spike duplicate relative percent difference was outside the control limit |
| :---: | :---: |
| *10 | value was estimated detect or estimated non detect (J,UJ) due to deficiencies in quantitation of the constituent including constituents reported by the laboratory as Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) values |
| *11 | no calibration was performed for this compound; result is reported as a tentatively identified compound (TIC) |
| ANR | analysis not required; e.g., constituent or outfall was not required by the permit to be sampled and analyzed (annual, semi-annual, etc.) |
| B | laboratory method blank contamination |
| C | calibration \%RSD or \%D were noncompliant |
| C5 | Calibration verification \%R was outside method control limits |
| \%D | percent difference between the initial and continuing calibration relative response factors |
| $\operatorname{deg} F$ | degrees Fahrenheit |
| DL | detection limit |
| DNQ | detected but not quantified (constituent value greater than or equal to the laboratory method detection limit and less then the laboratory reporting limit) |
| E | duplicates show poor agreement |
| H | holding time was exceeded |
| I | ICP interference check solution results were unsatisfactory |
| J | estimated value |
| K | The sample dilution's set-up did not meet the oxygen depletion criteria of at least $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. Therefore, the reported result is an estimated value only. |
| L2 | the laboratory control sample \%R was below the method control limits |
| L | laboratory control sample \%R was outside control limits |
| LOD | limit of detection |
| M1 | matrix spike (MS) and/or MS duplicate were above the acceptance limits due to sample matrix interference |
| M2 | the MS and/or MS duplicate were below the acceptance limits due to sample matrix interference |
| MDA | minimum detectable activity |
| MDL | method detection limit |
| MGD | million gallons per day |
| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | milligrams per liter |
| $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{hr}$ | milliliters per liter per hour |
| NA | not applicable; no permit limit established for the constituent and/or outfall |
| ND | analyte value less than the LOD or MDL |
| NM | not measured or determined |
| NTU | nephelometric turbidity unit |
| $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | picocurries per liter |
| $\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ | picograms per liter |
| Q | matrix spike recovery outside of control limits |

# 4th QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY NOTES <br> THE BOEING COMPANY - ROCKETDYNE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY NPDES PERMIT CA0001309 


SUMMARY OF PERMIT LIMIT EXCEEDANCES
FOURTH QUARTER 2005 REPORTING SUMMARY THE BOEING COMPANY-ROCKETDYNE
SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY

| OUTFALL | LOCATION | $\begin{gathered} \text { SAMPLE } \\ \text { DATE } \end{gathered}$ | ANALYTE | PERMIT LIMIT DAILY MAX/ MONTHLY AVERAGE | DAILY MAX/MONTHLY AVERAGE | UNITS | VALIDATION QUALIFIER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 009 | (WS-13 Drainage) | 17.Oct-05 | pH (Field) | 6.5-8.5 | 8.80/-- | pH Units | * |
| Outfall 003 | (RMHF) | 18-Oct-05 | Copper | 14.0/ | 17/-- | ug/L | -m |
| Outfall 004 | (SRE) | 18-Oct-05 | Mercury | 0.13/- | 0.22/-- | ug/L | - |
| Outfall 004 | (SRE) | 18-Oct-05 | TCDD TEQ_NoDNQ | 2.80E-08/- | 5.86E-06/-- | ug/L | - |
| Outfall 005 | (FSDF-1) | 18 -Oct-05 | Copper | 14.0/-- | 30/- | ug/L | -- |
| Outfall 005 | (FSDF-1) | 18 -Oct-05 | Mercury | 0.13/-- | 0.41/- | ug/L | -- |
| Outfall 005 | (FSDF-1) | 18-Oct-05 | Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen ( N ) | 10/- | 16\% | mg/L | -- |
| Outfall 005 | (FSDF-1) | 18-Oct-05 | TCDD TEQ_NoDNQ | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-08 /-$ | 1.36E-06/ | ug/L | -- |
| Outfall 006 | (FSDF-2) | 18-Oct-05 | Copper | 14.0\%- | 16/ m- | ugh | $\cdots$ |
| Outfall 006 | (FSDF+2) | 18-Oct-05 | TCDD TEQ NoDNQ | 2.80E-08/-- | 3.40E-08/ | ug/L | - |
| Outall 007 | (Building 100) | 18-Oct-05 | Antimony | 6.0/- | 6.2/- | ug/L | - |
| Outfall 007 | (Building 100) | 18-Oct-05 | Copper | 14.0/ | 19/- | ug/L | $\cdots$ |
| $\frac{\text { Outfall } 007}{\text { Outall } 003}$ | (Building 100) | 18-Oct-05 | TCDD TEQ NoDNQ | 2.80E-08/- | 3.17E-07/- | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | m |
| Outfall 003 | (RMHF) | 09 Nov-05 | Antimony | 6.0/-- | 35/- | ug/L | -" |
| Outfall 003 | (RMHF) | $09 \mathrm{Nov}-05$ | pH (field) | 6.5-8.5/-- | 9.4/- | pH Units | * |
| Outfall 004 | (SRE) | 09-Nov-05 | TCDD TEQ_NoDNQ | 2.80E-08/- | 3.43E-06/-- | ug/L | -- |
| Outfall 005 | (FSDF-1) | 09-Nov-05 | Copper | 14.0/-- | 20/-- | ug/L | - |
| Outfall 005 | (FSDF-1) | 09-Nov-05 | TCDD TEQ_NoDNQ | 2.80E-08/-- | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-06 / \mathrm{m}$ | ug/L | -* |
| $\frac{\text { Outfall } 006}{\text { Outfall } 006}$ | (FSDF-2) | $09 . \mathrm{Nov}-05$ | Copper | 14.0/-- | 34/ - | ug/L | -- |
| $\frac{\text { Outfall } 006}{\text { Outfall } 006}$ | (FSDF-2) | 09-Nov-05 | Mercury | 0.13/m | 0.89/ | ug/L | -- |
| Ontall 000 | (FSDF-2) | 09 Nov-05 | TCDD TEQ NoDNQ | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-08 / \cdots$ | 1.89E-06/ | ug/L | $\cdots$ |
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## APPENDIX G

## Section 1

Outfall 003, October 18, 2005

Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>Project: Routine Outfall 003<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly<br>Sampled: 10/18/05<br>Received: 10/18/05<br>Issued: 01/20/06 16:28<br>\section*{NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#197 CSDLAC \#10117}<br>The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its clint. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain(s) of Custody, 2 pages, are included and are an integral part of this report.<br>This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

| LABORATORY ID | CLIENT ID | MATRIX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OJ $1231-01$ | Outfall 003 | Water |

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { T746: Derian Ave, Suite f(0), frine, CA } 92614 \text { (9949) 261-1022 FAX } 9491260-3297
\end{aligned}
$$

2520 E. Sunset Ru. \#3, Las Vegas, NV $89 ; 20$ (702) $798-3620$ kAX (702) 798-2621


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1011231 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOJ1231-01 (Outfall 003 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reparting Units: mga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5118042 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 100 | 10 | 10/18/05 | 101805 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite- N | EPA 300.0 | 5118042 | 0.072 | 0.26 | ND | 1 | $10 / 1805$ | 10/18/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5124050 | 0.90 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 1 | 10/24/05 | 10/24/05 | J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5118042 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 80 | 10 | $10118 / 05$ | $1018 / 05$ |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5124100 | 10 | 10 | 850 | 1 | 10/24/05 | 10/24/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 521114 | 10 | 10 | 480 | 1 | 10/21/05 | 10/21/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager


MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avemue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 003
Report Number: IOH231 Received: 10/18/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1D: Outfall 003 (IOJ1231-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $10 / 18 / 200510: 48$ | $10 / 18 / 200518: 00$ | $10 / 18 / 200521: 30$ | $10 / 18 / 200522: 12$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| Del Mar |  | 7/46t Derian Ave., Sutite 100, trine, CA 92674 9949 261-1022 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Cotho CA 92324 (0001 $370-4667$ 9484 Chesapeake Dr.s Sute b0S, San Diegs, CA 92123 (858; 505-8596 <br>  <br>  | FAX 1946926853297 <br> FAX 19091370.3046 <br> FAX <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: Routine <br> Report Number: 1011231 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Sampled: } & 10 / 1805 \\ \text { Received: } & 10 / 8 / 05 \end{array}$ |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS



## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10119/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 0.109 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |
| Lead | 0.0450 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |

LCS Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BS1)

| Antimony | 77.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 97 | 85-115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmiam | 81.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/1 | 80.0 |  | 102 | 85-115 |
| Copper | 77.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 97 | 85-115 |
| Lead | 81.2 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugt | 80.0 |  | 102 | 85-115 |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5119098-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: IOJ1156-01 |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 84.7 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.18 | 106 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 84.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 83.0 | 2,0 | 0.49 | 4 gl | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | $70-130$ |
| Lead | 79.1 | 1.0 | 0.940 | ugh | 80.6 | 0.32 | 98 | 70-130 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave., Sune 10


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 10n1231 |

## METHOD BLANKQC DATA

| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Reportin Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit |
| Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MS2) |  |  | Source: 10J1159-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 86.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.29 | 108 | $70-130$ |  |  |
| Cadmium | 84.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ugl | 80.0 | 0.072 | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 84.8 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugl | 80.0 | 4.8 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 80.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.53 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 1OJ1156-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 85.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 0.18 | 107 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 84.4 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | $70 \cdot 130$ | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 83.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug 1 | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.9 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ugl | 80.0 | 0.32 | 99 | $70-130$ | 1 | 20 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOH1231 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Batch: 5524050 Extracted: 10/24/05

Blank Analyzed: $10 / 24 / 2005$ (5.524050-BLK1)

| Oli \& Grease | ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | mgl |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, trinte, CA } 92614 \text { 19459 261-1022 FAx } 94932606379
\end{aligned}
$$

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 10I1231 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

Analyte

Result

| Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5J24050 Extracted: 10/24/05.

| LCS Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oil \& Grease 16.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | mgl | 20.0 | 80 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 16.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 20.0 | 80 | 65-120 | 0 | 20 |  |

## Batch: 5J24100 Extracted: 10/24/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24100-BLK1)
Total Dissolved Solids ND
$10 \quad 10 \quad \mathrm{mg} / 1$

LCS Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24100-BS1)
Total Dissolved Solids 998
10
mg/ 1000
$100 \quad 90-110$
Duplicate Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24100-DUP1)
Total Dissolved Solids 440

10 m
Source: 1OJ0222-03
440
$0 \quad 10$

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

```
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
```

Project ID: Routine Outfall 003

Sampled: 101805
Received: 10/18/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1011231-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 1.10 | 4.8 | 15 |
| IOI231-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ug/ | 0.31 | 4.0 | 6.00 |
| 101231-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | ug/ | 0.34 | 2.0 | 4.00 |
| IOJ1231-01 | Chloride-300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 100 | 5.0 | 150 |
| 1OJ1231-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ugh | 17 | 8.0 | 14 |
| 1On1231-01 | Mercury - 245.1 | Mercury | ug/ | 0.059 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| IOJ1231-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 3+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite- N | mgl | 0 | 0.26 | 10.00 |
| IOJ1231-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80 | 5.0 | 250 |
| [OJ1231-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 850 | 10 | 850 |
| 1OJ1231-01RE1 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/ | 17 | 8.0 | 14 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly Report Number: 10.11231

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit ( RL ) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MSMSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
RL-1 Reporting limit raised due to sample matrix effects.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 003

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | Calfornia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 905.0 | Water |  |  |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

## Eberline Services

2030 Wright Avenue - Richmond, CA 94804
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 10.1231-01
Analysis Performed: Strontium 90
Samples: IOn1231-01

## Pace Analytical, MN- SUB

1700 Elm Street, Ste 200 - Minneapolis, MN 55414
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR Samples: 1O11231-01


ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS REQUEST FORM

Today's Date: $\qquad$ $10 / 20$

Del Mar Analytical Project Manager: $\qquad$ MO

Request via: $\qquad$ chain of custody form $\qquad$ fax transmission $\qquad$ Email $\qquad$ other

Client: $\qquad$ Mout-pas/3x Contact: $\qquad$
Project: $\qquad$ Routine sutfoul $00^{3}$

Date Sampled:
Date Received: $\qquad$
Status: $\qquad$ in progress $\qquad$ completed $\qquad$ received today $\qquad$ received yesterday
 on hold $\qquad$ other

SAMPLE
NUMBER

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

ANALYSIS REQUESTED

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS
10.1231-01 aufacl003 Strontium-90, Level 4 tron
$\qquad$
Add in to orig workerder, normal TAT
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

TURNAROUND STATUS: $\qquad$ Same Day $\qquad$ 24 hr $\qquad$ 48 hr $\qquad$ 3days
$\qquad$ 5days Standard $\qquad$ No Rush Charge

## EBERLINE

November 21. 2005

Ms. Michele Harper
Project Manager
Del Mar Analytical
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Reference: Del Ma: Analytical Project No. IOJ1231
Eberline Services NELAP Cert \#01120CA (exp. 01/31/06)
Eberline Services Report R510124-8615
Dear Ms. Harper:
Enclosed are results from the analyses of one water sample received at Eberline Services on October 21, 2005. The sample was analyzed according to the accompanying Del Mar Analytical Subcontract Order Form. The requested analysis was strontium-90 (Sr-90, EPA905.0). The QC L.CS. blank analysis, sample duplicate, and matrix spike results for the analysis were within the limits defined in Eberline Services Quality Control Procedures Manual. Analyses that involve the yielding of an anslytical tracer or carrier, such as $\mathrm{Sr}-90$, do not require a matrix spike analysis to be performed.

Please call me if you have any questions concerning this report.

Regards.
Mec.2 1970 -

Melissa Mannion
Senior Program Manager

MCNMW
Batusure: Report
Subcomtant Form
Reccipt checklist
invorce

Eberline Services
ANALYSIS RESOLTS


## Eberline Services

QC RESULTS


Samplemp Nuchide Regults Units Amount Added MoA Evaluation

| $8618-004$ | 9590 | $11.2 \pm 0.93$ | $\mathrm{pci} / \mathrm{SmpI}$ | 20.9 | 0.427 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

gLANK
$8618-005 \quad 0.221 \pm 0.24 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{SmpL} \quad \mathrm{NA} \quad 0.509 \quad \operatorname{~MDA}$

f7461 Derian Ave. Suite 100 , ITvine, CA 92674 1014 E, Cocloy Or., Suthe A. Coltor, C C ( 22324 3481 Chesapeake Orive. Sute 805. San Diego، CA 92123



## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOJ1231

| SENDING LABORATORY: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |
| 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 |
| Irvine, CA 92614 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper |$\quad$| RECEIVING LABORATORY: |
| :--- |
| Eberline Services |
| 2030 Wright Avenue |
| Richmond, CA 94804 |
| Phone :(510) 235-2633 |
| Fax: (510) 235-0438 |

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Duc Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$
Analysis Expiration Comments

| Sample ID: 1OJ1231-01 | Water |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| EDD + Level 4 | $11 / 15 / 0510: 48$ |  |
| Strontium 90 -O | $10 / 18 / 0610: 48$ | Excel EDD email to pm, Inclade Std logs for Lvil IV |
|  |  |  |

## Containers Supplied:

1 gal Poly (1On1231-01K)
1 gal Poly (1OI1231-01L)




| Tri, Chamber Ser. Nc. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alpha Meter Ser. No. | Calibration date |
| Eeta/Gamma Meter Ser. Vo. | Calibration date |



The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report.

Project: Chemical Analysis

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services Inc.
nelac:
www pacelass.com REPORT OF: CHEMICAL ANALYSES

PROJECT: PCDD/PCDF ANALYSES
ISSUED TO: Del Mar Analytical, Invine
Attn: Michele Harper
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761. 1021763 1021765, 1021766, 1021907. 1021908, 1021910, 1021911. 1021912, 1021959

## INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results from the analyses performed on twelve samples submitted by a representative of Del Mar Analytical, Irvine. The samples were analyzed for the presence or absence of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs) using a modified version of USEPA Method 16138

## SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

| Client ID | Sample Type | Date Received | PACEID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1OJ1181-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021758001 |
| 10J1176-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021760001 |
| 10J1186-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021761001 |
| 10J1180-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021763001 |
| 10J1184-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021765001 |
| 1OJ1177-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021766001 |
| 1OJ1234-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021907001 |
| IOJ1232-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021908001 |
| 10J1231-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021910001 |
| IOJ1235-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021911001 |
| 1OJ1236-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021912001 |
| 10J1337-01 | Water | 10/21/05 | 1021959001 |

## RESULTS

The results are included in the following:

> Appendix A - Documentation Appendix B - Sample Analysis Results Appendix C-QC and Calibration Results Appendix D-Sample Chromatograms and Raw Data Appendix E-Calibration Chromatograms and Raw Data Appendix F-QC Chromatograms and Raw Data $$
\text { REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS }
$$

This report shall not be reproduced, except in ful, without the written consent of Pace Analyical Services, fic.

REPORT OF: CHEMICAL ANALYSES

PROJECT: PCDD/PCDF ANALYSES
PAGE: 2

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763, 1021765, 1021766. 1021907, 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## DISCUSSION

Two sets of results were provided, at the request of Del Mar Analytical, for sample 1OJ1337-01. In the initial (11/03/2005) extraction batch for this sample, elevated recoveries were obtained for selected native congeners in the associated lab spike samples, most likely due to contamination. The second (11/08/2005) extraction batch showed good recoveries for the native congeners in the lab spikes. However, the results obtained from the analyses of the two extracts of the field sample were dissimilar. The initial sample results, associated with the contaminated lab spikes, were significantly lower than the repeat sample results, those associated with the compliant lab spikes samples.

The recoveries of the isotopically-labeled PCDD/PCDF internal standards in the sample extracts ranged from $34-108 \%$. All of the labeled standard recoveries obtained for these projects were within the target ranges specified in Method 1613B. Also, since the quantification of the native 2,3,7,8substituted congeners was based on isotope dilution, the data were automatically corrected for variation in recovery and accurate values were obtained.

In some cases, the presence of interfering substances impacted the determinations of PCDD or PCDF congeners. The affected values were flagged " l " where incorrect isotope ratios were obtianed, or " $E$ " where polychlorinated diphenyl ethers were present.

A laboratory method blank was prepared and analyzed with each sample batch as part of our routine quality control procedures. The results, found at the beginning of Appendix $C$, show the blanks to contain trace levels of selected PCDD and PCDF congeners. These were below the calibration range of the method. Sample levels similar to the corresponding blank levels were flagged " B " and may be, at least partially, attributed to the background. In general, levels less than ten times the background are not considered to be statistically different from the background.

Laboratory spike samples were also prepared with the sample batches using clean water that had been fortified with native standard materials. The results show the spiked native compounds in LCS8224 and LCSD-8225 were recovered at $88-109 \%$, with relative percent differences of 0.0-12.2\%. These results indicate high degrees of accuracy and precision for these determinations. Four native recovery values LCS-8209 and LCSD-8210 were above the target ranges; the affected values were flagged " P " on the results tables and may indicate high biases for these congeners in the associated sample (the initial extract of IOJ1337-01).

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full. without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.

Pace Analytical Services. lIne. 1700 Em Street

PROJECT: PCDDIPCDF ANALYSES
PAGE: 3

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763, 1021765, 1021766, 1021907, 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## REMARKS

The sample extracts will be retained for a period of 15 days from the date of this report and then discarded unless other arrangements are made. The raw mass spectral data will be archived on magnetic tape for a period of not less than one year. Questions regarding the data contained in this report may be directed to the author at the number provided below.

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.


Project Manager, HRMS
(612) 607-6383

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.


Conc $=$ Concentration (Toas inciude 2,3,7,8-substuted isomers)
EMPC = Estimated Naximum Possible Concentration
LOO $=$ Limit of Detection. Totais are averages of individual isomer LODS.
0 = Resut obtaned from analysis of diuted sample
$8=$ Less than 10 limes figher than method blark level
$P=$ Recovery outside of method 1613 control limits
$j=$ Concentration detected is beiow the calloration range
$\mathrm{N} n=$ Value obtained from additional analysis

- Interference $\Sigma=$ PCDE Interterence ND = Not Detected NA $=$ Not Applicable $\mathrm{NC}=\mathrm{Not}$ Calculated
* $=$ See Uiscussion

Report No.... 1021910
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## Method 1613B Blank Analysis Results

Client - Del Mar Analytical

| Lab Sample ID | BLANK-8223 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Filename | F51109C_06 |
| Total Amount Extracied | 1030 mL |
| ICAL Date | $10 / 2 / 2005$ |
| CCal Filename(s) | F51109C_02 |


| Matrix | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |  |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 2005$ | $02: 58$ |
| Injected By | BAL |  |


| Native Isomers | Conc ugh | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { EMPC } & \text { LOD } \\ u g / L & u g / L \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Internal Standards | ng's <br> Added | Percent Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | $-0.0000023$ | 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 2.00 | 60 |
| Total TCDF | ND | - | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | 67 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 66 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | ----0.0000021 | 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 71 |
| Total TCDD | ND | --- ---- | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 2.00 | 87 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 69 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | $-0.0000031$ | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 69 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | $\cdots-0.0000013$ | 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 67 |
| Total PeCDF | ND | ---- --- | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 68 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | $-0.0000018$ | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 73 |
| Total PeCDD | ND | ---. --- | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 66 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 60 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | $-2.0000016$ | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 2.00 | 78 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | --0.0000016 | OCDD-13C | 4.00 | 62 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | --0.0000015 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | --0.0000024 | 1,2,3,4-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| Total HxCDF | ND | $\cdots-$ | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | --0.0000030 | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37Cl4 | 0.20 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | ----0.0000031 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | ----0.0000025 |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | -- --- |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | --0.0000018 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | ---0.0000023 |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | --w- -- |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 0.0000041 | --0.0000026 |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | 0.0000041 | ---- - - |  |  |  |
| OCDF | 0.0000068 | --0.0000027 |  |  |  |
| OCDD | --0 | 000190.0000025 |  |  |  |
| Conc $=$ Concentration (Totals include 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers). |  |  |  | $1=$ Interierence |  |
| EMPC = Estimated Maximum Possibie Concentration $\quad$ E |  |  |  | $E=P C D E$ Interference |  |
| $1 O D=$ Limit oi Detection. Totals are averages of individual isomer LODs. ND |  |  |  | ND $=$ Not Detected |  |
| $A=$ Limit of Detection based on signal to noise $\quad$ NA |  |  |  | NA $=$ Not Applicable |  |
| $P=$ Recovery outside of method 1613 control imits $\quad$ N |  |  |  | NC = Not Calculated |  |
| $\mathrm{No}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis |  |  |  | * $=$ See Discussion |  |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS



Pace Analytical Services, inc. 1700 Eim Street ~ Suite 200 Minneapolis. MN 55414

Tel: 612-607-1700

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Client - Del Mar Analytical
Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted
ICAL Date
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID

| LCS-8224 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F5 1109 C -03 | Matrix | Water |  |
| 1050 mL | Dilution | NA |  |
| $10 / 22 / 2005$ | Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |  |
| F5 1109 C 02 | Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 200500: 34$ |  |
| BLANK-8223 | Injected By | BAL |  |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | \% <br> Rec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 50.6 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 101 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 45.9 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 43.9 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 94 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 94 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 48.1 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 48.2 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.5 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.3 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 46.2 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 50.2 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 100 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 52.6 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 105 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDC | 50 | 44.9 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 90 |
| OCDF | 100 | 92.1 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 92 |
| OCDD | 100 | 93.3 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 93 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37C14 | 10 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 71 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 100 | 60.6 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 61 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 68.3 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 64.1 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 62.8 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 81.7 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 82 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.6 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 64 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.7 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.8 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.7 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 65.7 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 66 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-H×CDD-13C | 100 | 67.5 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8 HpCDF-13C | 100 | 68.4 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 62.9 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 76.3 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 76 |
| OCOD-13C | 200 | 117.9 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 59 |

$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked (ng/mL)
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered (ng/mL)
Rec. = Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, 10/94 Revision
$X=$ Background subtracted value
$p=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis Report No..... 1021758

- = See Discussion
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## Method 1613 B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Clent - Del Mar Analytical
Lab Sampie ID
Flename
Total Amount Extracied
ICAL Date
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID

LCSD-8225
F51109C_04
1040 mL
10/22/2005
F51109C 02
BLANK-8223

| Matrix | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 2005 \quad 01: 21$ |
| Injected By | BAL |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rec. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 91 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 101 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 51.1 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 102 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 51.8 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 46.1 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 49.5 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 99 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 49.5 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 99 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 50.6 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 101 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 48.0 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 52.0 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 54.3 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 109 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 51.8 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 51.9 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 104 |
| 1, $2,3,4,7,8,9-\mathrm{HPCDF}$ | 50 | 54.5 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 109 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 47.3 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 95 |
| OCDF | 100 | 93.1 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 93 |
| OCDD | 100 | 97.2 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 97 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37C14 | 10 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 69 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 100 | 55.7 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 56 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 62.3 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 62 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 57.8 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 58 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 54.6 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 55 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDO-13C | 100 | 68.6 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 69 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 61.8 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 62 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.8 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 59.4 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 59 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 61.4 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 58.6 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 59 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 67.0 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 66.7 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 62.2 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 62 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 74.8 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 75 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 122.3 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 61 |
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Client. $\qquad$ Del Mar Analytical

| SPIKE 1 ID................. ........... LCS-8224 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPIKE 1 Filename....................F51109C_03 |  |  |  |
| SPIKE 2 ID................. .......... LCSD-8225 |  |  |  |
| SPIKE 2 Filename....... ............F51109C_04 |  |  |  |
| COMPOUND | SPIKE 1 <br> REC. \% | SPIKE 2 REC, \% | RPD, \% |
| 2378-TCDF | 95 | 91 | 4.3 |
| 2378-TCDD | 95 | 104 | 6.1 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 101 | 102 | 1.0 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 12378-PeCDD | 88 | 92 | 4.4 |
| 123478 HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 123678-HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 96 | 101 | 5.1 |
| 123789-HxCDF | 96 | 96 | 0.0 |
| 123478-HxCDD | 97 | 104 | 7.0 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 97 | 109 | 11.7 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 1234678 - HpCDF | 100 | 104 | 3.9 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | 105 | 109 | 3.7 |
| 1234678 -HpCDD | 90 | 95 | 5.4 |
| OCDF | 92 | 93 | 1.1 |
| OCDD | 93 | 97 | 4.2 |

REC = Percent Recovered
$R P D=$ The difference between the two values divided by the average.
NA $=$ Not Applicable

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Anathtical Services, inc.

Ph (949) 361-1022
Pr tack 3704687


mithras 798
(xxx (949) 264-1288
Fax (9009) 370-1045
Fan (699) 50.96
$\left.F_{\mathrm{mx}}(460) 725-565\right\}$


## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOJ1231

## SENDING LABORATORY

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: (949) 261-1022
Fax: (949) 261-1228
Project Manager: Michele Harper

## RECEIVING LABORATORY:

Pace Analytical, MN- SUB
1700 Elm Street, Ste 200
Minneapolis, MN 55414
Phone :(612) 607-1700
Fax: (612) 607-6444

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ D Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$
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## APPENDIX G

## Section 2

Outfall 003, October 18, 2005
AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALDDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS

# SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS. IOJ1231, IOJ1232, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1186 

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procectures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM2540C and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOI1231-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 010 | IOI1232-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 006 | 1OI1180-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 007 | IOI1184-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 009 | IOJ1186-01 | Water | General Minerals |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and all analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times for all analyses were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Target compounds were not detected in the associated method blanks. Raw data was reviewed to verify the blank data. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in these SDGs.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for analyses without an MS/MSD. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as " J " values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No firther qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.
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CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Pace - Minneapolis
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Dioxins/Furans by Method 1613B

## ACTION HEMS ${ }^{\circ}$

## - Case Narrative

Package ID T711DF50
Task Order 313150010 SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 8


Deficiencies
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Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
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Quantitation
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## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS
SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1181, IOJ1176, IOJ1186, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1177, 1OJ1232, 1OJ1231

## Prepared by

AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review. November 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

| DATA VALIDATKON REPORT | Project: SDG Na: Anslyale: | NPDES <br> Mulliple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { Laboratory ID } \\ \text { (DelMar) } \end{gathered}$ | Laboratory ID (Pacc) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outall 008 | IOn1181-01 | 1021758001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | 10n1176-01 | 1021760001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | 1OI1186-01 | 1021761001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | 1OI1180-01 | 1021763001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | 1OJ1184-01 | 1021765001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | 1011177-01 | 1021766001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | 1011232-01 | 1021908001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | 1011231-01 | 1021910001 | water | 1613 |


| DAZA VALIDITIONRBPORT |
| :---: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Pace for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the case narrative and laboratory login sheet, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Pace had no custody seals present for samples IOJ1232-01 and 10I1231-01. All other samples had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

| DATA VILIDATION REPORT | Project SDGNa: Amylyis: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed 10/22/05 for instrument $F$. The calibration consisted of five concentration level standards (CS1 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2 .1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (Blank 8223) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. Target compounds $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD}$ and OCDF were reported in method blank 8223 at concentrations of 0.0000041 and $0.0000068 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. An interference with OCDD was also reported in method blank 8223. Any detects for these target compounds $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in the site samples of this SDG. Detects for total dioxin and furan isomers at concentrations $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, " $\mathrm{U}_{3}$ " in the associated samples. In instances where the total concentration included peaks not present in the method blank as well as the method blank contamination, the total concentration was considered estimated, " $J$," as a portion of the total concentration was considered blank contamination. There were no other target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (LCS/LCSD 8224/8225) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.


### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. However, the laboratory was experiencing sporadic cross-contamination problems which they attributed to incomplete glassware cleaning procedures. Two samples, Outfall 009 and outfall 010, exhibited atypical target compound detects. These samples were rejected in favor of a reanalysis at another laboratory that was not experiencing contamination problems. This was done to ensure the target compound detects were representative of site conditions and not laboratory cross-contamination. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reporterd compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, " $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ " by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.


This report shat not be reproducad, axcept in fill,
whout the whiten consent of Pace Analyteal Sarvices, inc.

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


# DATA VALDDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: METALS

# SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS IOJ1231, IOJ1232, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1186 

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355. South Teller Street, Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDGNa: <br> Multiple |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Program<br>Contrat Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: Multiple<br>Project Manager. P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Leved IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 3<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 18, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods 200.8 for ICPMS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: <br> DATA VALDDATION RERORT Monitoring <br> SDGNo.: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Multiple |  |

Table 1. Sample identification

|  | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clien ID | IOI1231-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 003 | IOI1232-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 006 | IOn1180-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 007 | IOI1184-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 009 | IOI1186-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |


| DATA VALIMATION REPORT | Project: <br> SDGNo.: <br> Analyais: | NPDES Monitaring Multiple METALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 -days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 1CP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required for ICP-MS tuming.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with this SDG and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in qualification of the data with the exception of cadmium in the method blank. Cadmium was qualified as a nondetect, "U," in the sample from Outfall 006. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DPDES Monitoring |  |
| DATA VALDATION REPORT | SDGNo: |
| Multiple |  |

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP/MS analyses. The recoveries were within the control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples and mercury LCS samples as reported on the LCS on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs. No qualification was required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for all analyses. No qualification was required.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION



### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: <br> NPDES Monitoring <br> SATA VALMATION REPORT | SDGNo.: |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Multiple |  |  |

of the original analysis. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as " J " values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.







## METALS



Level IV Validated
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA



# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: RADIONUCLIDES

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOJ1231

Prepared by<br>AMEC--Denver Operations 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INIRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: 10J1231<br>Project Manager. P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Radionuclides<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 15, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the EPA Prescribed Procedures for Measurements of Radioactivity in Drinking Water, Method 905.0, and validation procedures outlined in the USEPA CLP National Fiunctional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALDATION REPORT | SDGNo.: | IOJI231 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Del Mar ID | Eberline ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOJ1231-01 | $8615-001$ | water | 905.0 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOII231 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All the samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No temperature information was provided by Eberline, the subcontract laboratory; however, as it is not necessary to chill radiological samples, no qualifications were required. The samples were noted to have been received intact and in good condition.

According to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board's (LARWQCB) guidance letter dated $01 / 12 / 05$, unfiltered samples should not be preserved and filtered aliquots should be preserved after filtration. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The original COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The transfer COCs were signed by personnel from both laboratories. Eberline did not list the MWH IDs on the Form Is; therefore, the reviewer edited the Form Is to reflect these Ds. After all analyses were complete, Del Mar Analytical sent extra volume of Outfall 011 Grab for unfiltered reanalyses and cesium analysis of the substrate. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The Outfall 003 Unfiltered strontium-90-sample was analyzed beyond the five day holding time for uppreserved samples; therefore, these results were qualified as estimated, " j "

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

The laboratory calibration information included the standard certificates and applicable preparation/dilutions logs for NIST-traceability.

## Strontium-90

The initial calibrations were performed in June 1995. All strontium chemical yields were at least $75 \%$ and were considered acceptable. The strontium continuing calibration results were within the laboratory control limits. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.3 BLANKS

No measurable activities were detected in the method blank, therefore, no qualifications were necessary.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDGNo.: | IOJI231 |

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

Aqueous blank spikes were analyzed in association with the samples in this SDG. The blank spike results were within the 3 -sigma limits. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.5 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

The laboratory performed duplicate analyses on a sample other than from the site; therefore, no assessment was made for this criterion. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed for the strontium analysis. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.7 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

An EPA Level IV review was performed for the sample in this SDG. The sample result and MDAs reported on the sample result form were verified against the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples in this SDG.

Eberiine, services

## ANALYSIS RESUETS



# APPENDIX G 

## Section 3

Outfall 003, November 09, 2005
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, twine, CA 92614 (949) 26t-1022 faX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr, Suite A, Cotton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 003

Sampled: 11/09/05
Received: 11/09/05
Issued: 01/20/06 17:27

NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117
The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, I page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOK0900-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 003

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chambertin
Project Manager
(961an Ave., Sutte 100, irvine, CA 92614 (949 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E . Cookey Br., Suite A, Colkon, CA 92324 (909) $370-4667$ FAX ( 909 ) 3701046 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51st St., Suite 8-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) $785-9043$ FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. 3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 10K0900 | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |


| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 1OK0900-01 (Outfall 003 - Water) Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 35 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/17/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 7.1 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 | B |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5K17098 | 0.050 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 11/17/05 | 11/17/05 |  |
| Sample ID: IOK0900-01RE1 (Outfall 003 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5K25104 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 37 | 1 | 11/25/05 | 11/27/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

9484 Chesapeake Dr., Surite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 ( 858 ) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505.9689 9830 South 53t St., Suite B-120, Phoerix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-00433 FAX $4800785-0851$ 2520 E. Surset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 99120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200  Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly Report Number: 1OK0900 Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |  |  |

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OK0900-01 (Outfall 003 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 98 | 5 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.072 | 0.26 | 2.9 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/09/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5K14056 | 0.96 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 1 | 11/14/05 | 11/14/05 | J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.90 | 2.5 | 99 | 5 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5K16116 | 10 | 10 | 590 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5K10088 | 10 | 10 | 19 | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/10/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 . | Report Number: 10 K 0900. | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 003 (IOK0900-01) - Water |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPA 300.0 | 2 | 11/09/2005 13:38 | 11/09/2005 18:00 | 11/09/2005 23:30 | 11/09/2005 23:57 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: 10 K 0900 | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Sampled: } & 11 / 09 / 05 \\ \text { Received: } & 11 / 09 / 05\end{array}$
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Report Number: 10 K 0900
-

## METHOD BLANKQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5K16096 Extracted: 11/16/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.025 | ug 1 |
| Copper | 1.20 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug $/$ |
| Lead | 0.129 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ |

LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-BS1)

| Antimony | 75.0 | 2.0 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 94 | $85-115$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 85.7 | 1.0 | 0.025 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | $85-115$ |  |
| Copper | 82.7 | 2.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 80.0 | 107 | $85-115$ |
| Lead | 82.4 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 103 | 103 |
|  |  |  | $85-115$ |  |  |  |  |

## Matrix Spike Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-MS1)

## Source: 1OK0918-02

| Antimony | $\therefore$ |  | 76.3 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug $/$ | 80.0 | 0.060 | 95 | $70-130$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cadmium | $\because$ | $\therefore$ | 86.0 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ug 1 | 80.0 | ND | 108 | $70-130$ |
| Copper |  |  | 79.4 |  | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug 1 | 80.0 | 2.7 | 96 |
| $70-130$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead |  |  | 79.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug $/ 1$ | 80.0 | 0.070 | 100 | $70-130$ |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 6 / 2 0 0 5 - 1 1 / 1 7 / 2 0 0 5 ~ ( 5 K 1 6 0 9 6 - M S 2 ) ~}$ |  | Source: $\mathbf{I O K 0 9 2 2 - 0 3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 75.0 | 2.0 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 80.0 | 0.096 | 94 | $70-130$ |
| Cadmium | 86.5 | 1.0 | 0.025 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 0.11 | 108 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 107 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug 1 | 80.0 | 34 | 91 | $70-130$ |
| Lead | 77.7 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug 1 | 80.0 | 0.22 | 97 | $70-130$ |


| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: | 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 | (5K16096-MSD1) | Source: IOK0918-02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 75.6 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.060 | 94 | $70-130$ | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 86.4 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ugl | 80.0 | ND | 108 | $70-130$ | 1 | 20 |
| Copper | 78.0 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugl | 80.0 | 2.7 | 94 | $70-130$ | 2 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.7 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ugl | 80.0 | 0.070 | 100 | $70-130$ | 0 | 20 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 K 0900$ | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5K17098 Extracted: 11/17/05
Blank Analyzed: 11/17/2005 (5K17098-BLK1)


Batch: 5K25104 Extracted: 11/25/05
Blank Analyzed: 11/27/2005 (5K25104-BLK1)
Antimony $\quad \therefore$ ND
$2.0 \quad 0.18 \quad$ ug/

LCS Analyzed: 11/27/2005 (5K25104-BS1)

| Antimony | 79.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 100 | 85-115 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 11/27/2005 (5K25104-MS1) |  |  | Source: IOK2100-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 77.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.29 | 96 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 11/27/2005 (5K25104-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 1OK2100-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 80.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.29 | 100 | 70-130 | 4 | 20 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 OK 0900 | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Batch: 5K10088 Extracted: 11/10/05.

Blank Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-BLK1)
Total Suspended Solids ND
$10 \quad 10 \quad \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$

LCS Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-BS1)
Total Suspended Solids 970
Duplicate Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-DUP1)
Total Suspended Solids 440

10 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |

$1000 \quad 97 \quad 85-115$

Source: IOK0617-01

| 450 | 2 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

[^8]
## Del Mar Analytical

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOK0900 | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101  <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly  |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKGC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5K14056 Extracted: 11/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 17.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 86 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 17.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 87 | 65-120 | 2 | 20 |  |

Batch: 5K16116 Extracted: 11/16/05
Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BLK1)
Total Dissolved Solids ND
LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BS1)
Total Dissolved Solids 98
Duplicate Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-DUP1)
Total Dissolved Solids 196
10
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$

Tal

10 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |

Source: IOK0904-01 $200 \quad 2 \quad 10$

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager
17461 Derian Ave., Stite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-329
1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046
9484 Chesapeake Dr., Sulte 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) $505-9689$
9830 5outh S1st St, Sule 8-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-6043 FAX (480) 785-0851
2520 E. Sunset Rd. *3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 K 0900 | Received: |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOK0900-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | mg/ | 1.10 | 5.1 | 15 |
| 10K0900-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ug/ | 35 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| IOK0900-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | ug/ | 0.22 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| $10 \mathrm{~K} 0900-01$ | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 98 | 2.5 | 150 |
| IOK0900-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/ | 7.10 | 2.0 | 14 |
| IOK0900-01 | Mercury - 245.1 | Mercury | ug/l | 0 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| IOK0900-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 3+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 2.90 | 0.26 | 10.00 |
| 1OK0900-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 99 | 2.5 | 250 |
| IOK0900-01 | TDS - SM 2540 C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 590 | 10 | 850 |
| IOK0900-01RE1 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ugh | 37 | 2.0 | 6.00 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 003

|  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: $10 K 0900$ | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

## B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

[^9]| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 003 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Report Number: $10 K 0900$ Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ <br> Pasadena, CA 91101  Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly   |  |  |

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | Callfornia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1613A1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 905.0 | Water |  |  |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical NELAC Cert \#02102CA, California Cert \#1640, Nevada Cert \#CA-413
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: $\quad$ 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOK0900-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: $10 K 0900-01$

## Eberline Services

2030 Wright Avenue - Richmond, CA 94804
Analysis Performed: Level 4 + EDD
Samples: 1OK0900-01
Analysis Performed: Strontium 90
Samples: $10 \mathrm{~K} 0900-01$

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager


December 10, 2005

## Alta Project I.D.: 27026

Ms. Michele Chambertin
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Chambertin,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on December 08, 2005 under your Project Name "IOK $0900^{\circ}$ ". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maser
Director of HRMS Services

# Section I: Sample Inventory Report <br> Date Received: $\quad$ 12/8/2005 

Alta Lab. ID
Client Sample ID
27026-001
IOK0900-01

## SECTION II



Project 27026


## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B

D
*

Conc. Concentration

DL Sample-specific estimated Detection Limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration

NA Not applicable

RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point ND Not Detected

TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

## CERTIFICATIONS

| Accrediting Authorify | Certificit Number |
| :--- | :--- |
| State of Alaska, DEC | CA413-02 |
| State of Arizona | AZ0639 |
| State of Arkansas, DEQ | $05-013-0$ |
| State of Arkansas, DOH | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of California - NELAP Primary AA | 02102 CA |
| State of Colorado |  |
| State of Connecticut | PH-0182 |
| State of Florida, DEP | E87777 |
| Commonwealth of Kentucky | 90063 |
| State of Louisiana, Health and Hospitals | LA050001 |
| State of Louisiana, DEQ | 01977 |
| State of Maine | CA0413 |
| State of Michigan | 81178087 |
| State of Mississippi | Reciprocity through CA |
| Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center |  |
| State of Nevada | CA413 |
| State of New Jersey | CA003 |
| State of New Mexico | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of New York, DOH | 11411 |
| State of North Carolina | 06700 |
| State of North Dakota, DOH | R-078 |
| State of Oklahoma | D9919 |
| State of Oregon | CA200001-002 |
| State of Pennsylvania | $68-00490$ |
| State of South Carolina | 87002001 |
| State of Tennessee | 02996 |
| State of Texas | TX247-2005A |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |  |
| State of Utah | 9169330940 |
| Commonwealth of Virginia | 00013 |
| State of Washington | C1285 |
| State of Wisconsin | 998036160 |
| State of Wyoming | 8 MS-Q |
|  |  |

SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOK0900


Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requetted $m$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$


| SAMPLEMTHGRIY: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SmportibuluCDC weres <br> Smapien Premud Topery: | $\begin{array}{lll} \square & \square= & N_{0} \\ \square & \square \end{array}$ |  <br>  | [ \% W |



## SAMPLE LOG-IN CHECKLIST

Alta Project \#:
27026



Comments:

## EBERLINE

December 8. 2005

Ms. Michele Harper
Project Manager
Del Mar Analytical
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Reference: Del Ma Analytical Project No. IOK0900
Eberline Services NELAP Cert \#01120CA (exp. 01/31/06)
Eberline Services Report R511134-8621
Dear Ms. Harper:
Enclosed are results from the analyses of one water sample received at Eberline Services on November 11, 2005. The sample was analyzed according to the accompanying Del Mar Analytical Subcontract Order Form. The requested analysis was strontium-90 (Sr-90, EPA 905.0). The QC LCS, blank analysis, and sample duplicate results for the analysis were within the limits defined in Eberline Services Quality Control Procedures Manual. Analyses that involve the yielding of an analytical tracer or carrier, such as $\mathrm{Sr}-90$, do not require a matrix spike analysis to be performed.

Please call me if you have any questions concerning this report.
Regards.
Melon Mann
Melissa Mannion
Senior Program Manager

B/Mnjv
Rindoware: Report
Subcontract Form
Receipt checklist Invoice

## Eberline Services

## ANALYSIS RESULTS



| Client <br> Sample ID | Lab <br> Sanple ID Collected Analyzed Nuclide | Resules $\pm 20$ | Unitg | MDA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IOK0900-01 | $8621-001$ | $11 / 09 / 0512 / 01 / 05$ | $\mathrm{Sr}-90$ | $0.517 \pm 0.26$ | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |

[^10]
## Eberline Services

QC RESULTS


Certified by 20 Date $12 / 08705$
Report Date
Page 2

17467 Derian Ave Suite 900 , Irvire CA 92614 9484 Chesspanke Drive, Sute 805. Sun Diego, CA 52120



Ph (949) 261-9022 Pn (909) 370-4667 Pn (619) 505-9596 Ph (480) 785-0043 Ph (702) 798-3820

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOK0900

| SENDING LABORATORY: | RECEIVING LABORATORY: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine | Eberline Services |
| 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 | 2030 Wright Avenue |
| Irvine, CA 92614 | Richmond, CA 94804 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 | Phone :(510) 235-2633 ( 562 ) |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 | Fax: (510) 235-0438 |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper |  |

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date: $\qquad$ lnitials: $\qquad$

| Analysis |  | Expiration | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1D: $10 \mathrm{K0900-01}$ | Water | Sampled: 11/09/05 13:38 | Instant Nofication |
| Level 4 + EDD-OUT |  | 12/07/05 13:38 | **LEVEL IV QC, ACCESS 7 EDD** |
| Strontium 90-O |  | 11/09/06, 13:38 | 905.0, sub to Eberine |

Containers Supplied:
1 gal Poly (IOK0900-01K)

| SAMPLE INTEGRITY: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { All containers intact: } & \text { Yes } \\ \text { Custody Seals Present: } & \text { No } \\ \text { Cues } & \text { No } \end{array}$ | Sampic fabels/COC agree: <br> Samples Preserved Properiy: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \square N_{0} \\ & \square \text { yes } \mathbb{N}_{0} \end{aligned}$ | Samples Received On lee:: <br> Samples Received at (temp): | - Yes | No |

Released By

ion Chamber Ser. No.

Bera/Gamma Meter Ser. No

Callibration date $\qquad$
Calibration date $\qquad$
Calibration date $\qquad$

## APPENDIX G

## Section 4

Outfall 003, November 09, 2005
AMEC Data Validation Reports


## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS
SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1186, IOJ1232, IOK0899, IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#:<br>Project Manager:<br>Matrix:<br>Analysis:<br>QC Level:<br>Multiple<br>P. Costa<br>Water<br>Dioxins/Furans<br>Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: SDGNa: | NPDES <br> Muttiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATIONREPPORT | Andyair | DF |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outall 009 | IO11232-01 | $26994-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | 1011186-01 | $26993-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 018 | IOK0899-01 | $27025-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOK0900-01 | $27026-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outall 004 | IOK0901-01 | $27027-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOK0902-01 | $27028-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOK0903-01 | $27029-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOK0904-01 | $27030-001$ | water | 1613 |



## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or slightiy below for some of the samples. As none of the samples was noted to be damaged or frozen, no qualifications were required. According to the case narratives and laboratory login sheets, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Alta had no custody seals. The EPA Ds were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last ehting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG Na: | NPDES Mulipis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA GALIDATHONREPORT | Anelyir: | D/F |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed 6/06/2005. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CSI through CS6) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibrations were acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by intemal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds. were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( $0-7516$-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. No target compounds were detected in the method blank and no qualifications were required. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike (OPR 0-7516-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: SDC Na. | NPDES Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VILIDUTION REPORT | Analyis | DF |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxios/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted with the exception of a false positive in Outfall 005 for $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$. The sample was a nondetect Conirmation for $2,3,7,8$-TCDF detected in samples Outfall 004, Outfall 005, and Outfall 006 was not performed; therefore, $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDF}$ was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, " J, " by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.


CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Eberline
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Sr-90 by 905.0

Package ID T711RA13
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOK0900
No. of Analyses 1
Date: December 15, 2005


## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

- | Case Narrative |
| :--- |
| Deficiencies |

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy Deliverables

| 6. Deviations from Analysis | Qualifications were assigned for the following: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protocol, e.g., | --holding times missed |
| Holding Times |  |
| GC/MS Tune/Inst. Performance |  |
| Calibration |  |
| Method blanks |  |
| Surrogates |  |
| Matrix Spike/Dup LCS |  |
| Field QC |  |
| Internal Standard Performance |  |
| Compound Identification |  |
| Quantitation |  |
| System Performance |  |
| COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |

[^11]${ }^{b}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

## amec ${ }^{\text {o }}$

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: RADIONUCLIDES

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOK0900

Lakewood. Colorado 80726

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOK0900<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Radionuclides<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 15, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the EPA Prescribed Procedures for Measurements of Radioactivity in Drinking Water, Method 905.0, and validation procedures outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Del Mar ID | Eberline ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOK0900-01 | $8621-001$ | water | 905.0 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All the samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No temperature information was provided by Eberline, the subcontract laboratory; however, as it is not necessary to chill radiological samples, no qualifications were required. The samples were noted to have been received intact and in good condition.

According to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board's (LARWQCB) guidance letter dated $01 / 12 / 05$, unfiltered samples should not be preserved and filtered aliquots should be preserved after filtration. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The original COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The transfer COCs were signed by personnel from both laboratories. Eberline did not list the MWH IDs on the Form Is; therefore, the reviewer edited the Form Is to reflect these IDs. After all analyses were complete, Del Mar Analytical sent extra volume of Outfall 011 Grab for unfiltered reanalyses and cesium analysis of the substrate. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The Outfall 003 Unfiltered strontium- 90 -sample was analyzed beyond the five day holding time for unpreserved samples; therefore, these results were qualified as estimated, "J"

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

The laboratory calibration information included the standard certificates and applicable preparation/dilutions logs for NIST-traceability.

Strontium-90
The initial calibrations were performed in June 1995. All strontium chemical yields were at least $75 \%$ and were considered acceptable. The strontium continuing calibration results were within the laboratory control limits. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.3 BLANKS

No measurable activities were detected in the method blank, therefore, no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

Aqueous blank spikes were analyzed in association with the samples in this SDG. The blank spike results were within the 3 -sigma limits. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.5 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

The laboratory performed duplicate analyses on a sample other than from the site; therefore, no assessment was made for this criterion. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed for the strontium analysis. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.7 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

An EPA Level IV review was performed for the sample in this SDG. The sample result and MDAs reported on the sample result form were verified against the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples in this SDG.

Eberline Services

## ANALYSIS RESULTS



[^12]CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## $a m e c^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Sampling

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

Prepared by<br>AMEC - Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street<br>Lakewood, CO 80226



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Sampling<br>MEC ${ }^{x}$ Project Number:<br>Sample Delivery Group:<br>Project Manager:<br>Matrix:<br>313150010<br>1OK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904<br>P. Costa<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 4<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 20; 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), US EPA Method 200.8 for ICP-MS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2194). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required OC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form 1 as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Propect } \\ & \text { SDG: } \\ & \text { Annersis. } \end{aligned}$ | npoes Ma tiple Metatis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ib | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | 10K0900-01 | Water | 200.8/245.1 |
| Outfall 003RE1 | IOK0900-01RE1 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 004 | 10K0901-01 | Water | 200.8/245.1 |
| Outfall 005 | 10K0902-01 | Water | 200.81245.1 |
| Outfall 005RE1 | IOK0902-01RE1 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 006 | 10K0903-01 | Water | 200.82245.1 |
| Outfall 006RE! | IOK0903-01RE1 | Water | 200.8/245.1 |
| Outfall 006RE2 | IOK0903-01RE2 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 009 | 10K0904-01 | Water | 200.8/245.1 |


|  | Prozect: NPDES |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA YALOATION REPORT | SDO: Nutiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples in these SDG were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs.

Antimony in Outfall 003, copper in Outfall 005, and antimony and mercury in Outfall 006 were reanalyzed to confirm the original results. The laboratory did not appended the client IDs with "RE" suffices; therefore, the reviewer added these to the Form Is. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP-MS metals and 28-days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP-MS metals and 80 $120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with these SDGs and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | PDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDC: | Mutiple |
| DATA VALIOATHO REPORT | Anarysis: | Metals |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Mercury was reported in method blank 5K17098-BLK1 at $-0.072 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$; therefore, mercury in Outfall 003, Outfall 004, and Outfall 005 was qualified as estimated, " $J_{x}$ " for detects and, " $U J_{s}$ " for nondetects. The remaining method blank and CCB results associated with the retained analyses were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in data qualification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS AAB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were performed in association with the Outfall 003 selenium analysis. The recoveries were within the control limits. No other ICSA or ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. No qualifications were required

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP-MS and mercury LCS sample results were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MSMSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Evaluation of laboratory accuracy was based on LCS results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 ICP-MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs: therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

|  | Prquect <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT |
| :--- | :--- |

### 2.10 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICPMS, the ICPMS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Some target analytes were reported from dilution analyses due to matrix interference. Reporting limits and MDLs were adjusted accordingly. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as estimated, " 1 ," with the annotation of "DNQ, in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit.

Antimony in Outfall 003, copper in Outfall 005, and antimony and mercury in Outfall 008 were reanalyzed to confirm the original results. As the original results were all confirmed, the results for Outfall 003RE1, Outfall 005RE1, Outfall 006RE1, and Outfall 006RE2 were rejected, "R," in favor of the original results. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.12 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.12.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with these samples.






Level II

Del Mar Analytical Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING GORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Sampling

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS:<br>IOK0900, 1OK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904



## 1. INTRODUCTION

| Task Order Titte: | NPDES Sampling |
| ---: | :--- |
| AMEC Project Number: | 313150010 |
| Sample Delivery Group: | $10 \mathrm{KO900}$, IOK0901, 1OK0902, 1OK0903, IOK0904 |
| Project Manager: | P, Costa |
| Matrix: | Water |
| Analysis: | General Minerals |
| QC Level: | Level IV |
| No. Of Samples: | 5 |
| of Reanalyses/Dilutions: | 0 |
| Reviewer: | E Wessling |
| Date of Review: | December 20, 2005 |

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for General Minerals (DVP-6, Rev, 2), USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Methods 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM5540-CMOD, and validation guidelines outined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form is as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.


Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | coc Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | 10K0900-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 004 | $10 K 0901-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 005 | $10 K 0902-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 00s | $10 K 0903-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 009 | $10 K 0904-01$ | Water | General Minerals |

## 2．DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

## 2．1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management：

## 2．1．1 Sample Preservation，Handling，and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4 \circ \mathrm{C} \pm 2 \circ \mathrm{C}$ ．No sample preservation，handing，or transport problems were noted，and no qualifications were necessary．

## 2．1．2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs．No sample qualifications were required．

## 2．1．3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by companing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis．The analytical holding times were met and no qualifications were required．

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses，the intial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$ ．Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$ ．No qualifications were required．

## 2．3 BLANKS

The blank results associated with the analyses were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly less than the sample detects so as not to result in data qualification．No qualifications were required．

## 24 DLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory－established control limits． Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries．No qualifications were required．

|  | ProjectNPDES <br> Multiple |
| :--- | :--- |
| SOG: |  |
| Anaksis: | Gen. Min. |

### 2.5 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

A laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on Outfall 009 for TDS. The \%D was less than the laboratory-established control limit of $10 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKES

No MSMSD analyses were performed in association with this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level V review was perfomed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." with the annotation of DNQ." in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualfications were required.

## 28 FIED QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.



## Del Mar Analytical





LEVEL TV

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

## APPENDIX G

## Section 5

Outfall 004, October 18, 2005<br>Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, Imine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colon, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51st St., Suite E-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-085) 2520 E. Sunset Rc. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 fax (702) 798-3621

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05
Issued: 01/20/06 15:12

NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117
The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, I page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
1OJ1177-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 004

## MATRIX

Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{~J} 1177 \quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Sampled: } 10 / 18 / 05 \\ \text { Received: } 10 / 18 / 05\end{array}$

| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: IOJ1177-01 (Outfall 004 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reperting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5 J 19098 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.99 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 | J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.20 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 | B, J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5119098 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 7.0 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5119052 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 |  |
| Sample ID: IOJ1177-01RE1 (Outfall 004 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5 S 21075 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/21/05 |  |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1OJ1177 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOJ1177-01 (Outfall 004 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | SJ18043 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 6.8 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5118043 | 0.072 | 0.26 | 1.3 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | $5 J 21043$ | 0.90 | 4.8 | ND | 1 | 10/21/05 | 10/21/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5118043 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 5.5 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5 J 19123 | 10 | 10 | 110 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5 J 20118 | 10 | 10 | 75 | 1 | 10/20/05 | 10/20/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Deftan Ave., Soite 100, traine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr, Suite A, Cofton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046 9484 Chesapeake Dt., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51st St., Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-085) 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |
| :--- | :---: |


|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 004 (IOJ1177-01)- Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $10 / 18 / 200508: 12$ | $10 / 18 / 2005$ | $14: 20$ | $10 / 18 / 2005$ | $16: 30$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |

## METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5J19052 Extracted: 10/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19052-BLK1)

| Mercury | ND | 0.20 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19052-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.06 | 0.20 | 0.050 | ugl | 8.00 |  | 101 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5319052-MS1) |  |  | Source: 1OJ1182-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 7.99 | 0.20 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 8.00 | ND | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19052-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 1OJ1182-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.09 | 0.20 | 0.050 | $u \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 8.00 | ND | 101 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BLK1)


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: IOJ1177 Received: 10/18/05

Sampled: 10/18/05

## METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source |  | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05



## Batch: 5J21075 Extracted: 10/21/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/21/2005 (5J21075-BLK1)


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager


## METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

## INORGANICS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source |  | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5J18043 Extracted: 10/18/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/18/2005 (5J18043-BLK1)

| Chloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | ND | 0.26 | 0.072 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/18/2005 (5J18043-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 5.36 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 107 | 90-110 |  |  |
| Sulfate | 9.77 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 10.0 |  | 98 | 90-110 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/18/2005 (5J18043-MS1) |  |  | Source: 1OJ1136-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 7.31 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 2.2 | 102 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Sulfate | 14.5 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 | 4.1 | 104 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 10/18/2005 (5J18043-MSD1) |  |  | Source: IOJ1136-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 7.12 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 2.2 | 98 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Sulfate | 14.6 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 | 4.1 | 105 | 80-120 | 1 | 20 |

Batch: 5J19123 Extracted: 10/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19123-BLK1)
Total Dissolved Solids ND
LCS Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19123-BS1)
Total Dissolved Solids 1000

Duplicate Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19123-DUP1)
Total Dissolved Solids
289
$1010 \mathrm{mg} /$

| 10 | 10 | mgl | 1000 | 100 | $90-110$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  | Source: IOJ0932-01 |  |  |
| 10 | 10 | mg |  | 280 |  |

$3 \quad 10$

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOJ1177 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5J20118 Extracted: 10/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed; 10/20/2005 (5J20118-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 993 | 10 | 10 | mg/ | 1000 |  | 99 | $85-115$ |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sou | ce: IOJ1 | 175-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 344 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 340 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5J21043 Extracted: 10/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/08/2005 (5J21043-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/08/2005 (5J21043-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 14.5 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 72 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/08/2005 (5J21043-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 14.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 70 | 65-120 | 3 | 20 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Report Number: } 10 \mathrm{JI} 1177 & \text { Sampled: } 10 / 18 / 05 \\ \text { Received: } 10 / 18 / 05\end{array}$

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1O11177-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 0.095 | 4.8 | 15 |
| 1OJ1177.01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ug/1 | 0.99 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| IOJ1177-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | ug/ | 0.20 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| IOJ1177-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 6.80 | 0.50 | 150 |
| 10J1177-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/ | 7.00 | 2.0 | 14 |
| 1OJ1177-01 | Mercury - 245.1 | Mercury | ug/ | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| IOJ1177-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 3+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1.30 | 0.26 | 10.00 |
| 1011177-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.50 | 0.50 | 250 |
| IOJ1177-01 | TDS - SM 2540 C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 110 | 10 | 850 |
| 1OJ1177-01RE1 | Mercury - 245.1 | Mercury | ug/ | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.20 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOJ1177 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 J 1177$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |
| Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |  |

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD+Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

## Pace Analytical, MN- SUB

1700 Elm Street, Ste 200 - Minneapolis, MN 55414
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: $1011177-01$
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 1OJ1177-01


Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
1700 Em Street
Minneapolis, MN 55414
Phone: 612.607.1700


The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report.

Project: Chemical Analysis

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full. without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

## PROJECT: PCDD/PCDF ANALYSES

ISSUED TO: Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Attn: Michele Harper
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763 1021765, 1021766, 1021907. 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results from the analyses performed on twelve samples submitted by a representative of Del Mar Analytical, Ivine. The samples were analyzed for the presence or absence of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs) using a modified version of USEPA Method 1613B

## SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

Client ID
IOJ1181-01
1OJ1176-01
IOJ1186-01
10J1180-01
1OJ1184-01
IOJ1177-01
IOJ1234-01
IOJ1232-01
1OJ1231-01
IOJ1235-01
1OJ1236-01
1OJ1337-01

Sample Type

| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021758001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021760001 |
| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021761001 |
| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021763001 |
| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021765001 |
| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021766001 |
| Water | $10 / 20 / 05$ | 1021907001 |
| Water | $10 / 20 / 05$ | 1021908001 |
| Water | $10 / 20 / 05$ | 1021910001 |
| Water | $10 / 20 / 05$ | 1021911001 |
| Water | $10 / 20 / 05$ | 1021912001 |
| Water | $10 / 21 / 05$ | 1021959001 |

## RESULTS

The results are included in the following:
Appendix A - Documentation
Appendix B - Sample Analysis Results
Appendix $C-Q C$ and Calibration Results
Appendix D-Sample Chromatograms and Raw Data
Appendix E - Calibration Chromatograms and Raw Data
Appendix F - QC Chromatograms and Raw Data
REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full. without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

PROJECT: PCDD/PCDF ANALYSES
PAGE: 2

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763, 1021765, 1021766, 1021907, 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## DISCUSSION

Two sets of results were provided, at the request of Del Mar Analytical, for sample IOJ1337-01. In the initial (11/03/2005) extraction batch for this sample, elevated recoveries were obtained for selected native congeners in the associated lab spike samples, most likely due to contamination. The second (11/08/2005) extraction batch showed good recoveries for the native congeners in the lab spikes. However, the results obtained from the analyses of the two extracts of the field sample were dissimilar. The initial sample results, associated with the contaminated lab spikes, were significantly lower than the repeat sample results, those associated with the compliant lab spikes samples.

The recoveries of the isotopically-labeled PCDD/PCDF internal standards in the sample extracts ranged from $34-108 \%$. All of the labeled standard recoveries obtained for these projects were within the target ranges specified in Method 1613B. Also, since the quantification of the native 2,3,7,8substituted congeners was based on isotope dilution, the data were automatically corrected for variation in recovery and accurate values were obtained.

In some cases, the presence of interfering substances impacted the determinations of PCDD or PCDF congeners. The affected values were flagged " 1 " where incorrect isotope ratios were obtianed, or " $E$ " where polychlorinated diphenyl ethers were present.

A laboratory method blank was prepared and analyzed with each sample batch as part of our routine quality control procedures. The results, found at the beginning of Appendix $C$, show the blanks to contain trace levels of selected PCDD and PCDF congeners. These were below the calibration range of the method. Sample levels similar to the corresponding blank levels were flagged " $B$ " and may be, at least partially, attributed to the background. In general, levels less than ten times the background are not considered to be statistically different from the background.

Laboratory spike samples were also prepared with the sample batches using clean water that had been fortified with native standard materials. The results show the spiked native compounds in LCS8224 and LCSD-8225 were recovered at $88-109 \%$, with relative percent differences of $0.0-12.2 \%$. These results indicate high degrees of accuracy and precision for these determinations. Four native recovery values LCS-8209 and LCSD-8210 were above the target ranges; the affected values were flagged " $P$ " on the results tables and may indicate high biases for these congeners in the associated sample (the initial extract of IOJ1337-01).

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
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PROJECT: PCDD/PCDF ANALYSES
PAGE: 3

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763, 1021765, 1021766, 1021907, 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## REMARKS

The sample extracts will be retained for a period of 15 days from the date of this report and then discarded unless other arrangements are made. The raw mass spectral data will be archived on magnetic tape for a period of not less than one year. Questions regarding the data contained in this report may be directed to the author at the number provided below.

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.


Project Manager, HRMS
(612) 607-6383

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.



[^13]$1=$ interference
$E=$ PCDE interference
ND $=$ Not Detected NA = Not Applicable NC = Not Calculated * $=$ See Discussion

Report No..... 1021766


# REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS 

This report shall not be reproduced, except in fult, without the writen consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.


Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Client - Del Mar Analytical
Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted
ICAL Date
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID

```
LCS-8224
F51109C_03
1050 mL
10/22/2005
F51109C 02
BLANK-82223
```

| Matrix | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 2005 \quad 00: 34$ |
| Injected By | BAL |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | \% Rec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 50.6 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 101 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 45.9 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 43.9 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 94 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 94 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 48.1 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 48.2 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.5 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.3 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 46.2 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 50.2 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 100 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 52.6 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 105 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 44.9 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 90 |
| OCDF | 100 | 92.1 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 92 |
| OCDD | 100 | 93.3 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 93 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37Cl4 | 10 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 71 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 100 | 60.6 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 61 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 68.3 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 64.1 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 62.8 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 81.7 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 82 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.6 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 64 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.7 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.8 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.7 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 65.7 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 66 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 67.5 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 68.4 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 62.9 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 76.3 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 76 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 117.9 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 59 |

$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked (ng/mL)
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. $=$ Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, 10/94 Revision
$X=$ Background subtracted value
$P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis
Report No..... 1021758

* $=$ See Discussion


## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS



Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 1700 Elm Street - Suite 200 Minneapolis, MN 55414

Tel: 612-607-1700

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Client - Del Mar Analytical
Lab Sampie ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracied
ICAL Date
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID
LCSD-8225
F51109C_04
1040 mL
$10 / 22 / 2005$
F51109C_02
BLANK-8223

| Matrix | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |  |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 2005$ | $01: 21$ |
| Injected By | BAL. |  |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rec. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 91 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 101 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 51.1 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 102 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 51.8 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 46.1 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 49.5 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 99 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 49.5 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 99 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 50.6 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 101 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 48.0 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 52.0 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 54.3 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 109 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 51.8 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 51.9 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 54.5 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 109 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 47.3 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 95 |
| OCDF ${ }^{\text {O }}$ | 100 | 93.1 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 93 |
| OCDD | 100 | 97.2 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 97 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37C14 | 10 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 69 |
| $2,3,7,8 \text {-TCDF-13C }$ | 100 | 55.7 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 56 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 62.3 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 62 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 57.8 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 58 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 54.6 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 55 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 68.6 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 69 |
| 1, $2,3,4,7,8$ - $\mathrm{HxCDF}-13 \mathrm{C}$ | 100 | 61.8 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 62 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.8 59.4 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 64 59 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 59.4 61.4 | 22.0 | 176.0 205.0 | 59 61 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C $1,2,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}-13 \mathrm{C}$ | 100 100 | 61.4 58.6 | 17.0 21.0 | 205.0 193.0 | 61 59 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 67.0 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HPCDF-13C | 100 | 66.7 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 62.2 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 62 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 74.8 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 75 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 122.3 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 61 |

$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked (ng/mL)
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. $=$ Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, $10 / 94$ Revision
$x=$ Background subtracted value
$P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis Report No..... 1021758

* $=$ See Discussion


## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Client $\qquad$ Del Mar Analytical

| SPIKE 1 ID. | LCS-8224 |
| :---: | :---: |
| SPIKE 1 Filename | F51109C_03 |
| SPIKE 2 ID.. | LCSD-8225 |
| SPIKE 2 Filename | F51109C_04 |


| COMPOUND | SPIKE 1 REC, \% | SPIKE 2 REC,\% | RPD,\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2378-TCDF | 95 | 91 | 4.3 |
| 2378-TCDD | 95 | 101 | 6.1 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 101 | 102 | 1.0 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 12378-PeCDD | 88 | 92 | 4.4 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 123678-HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 96 | 101 | 5.1 |
| 123789-HxCDF | 96 | 96 | 0.0 |
| 123478-HxCDD | 97 | 104 | 7.0 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 97 | 109 | 11.7 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 100 | 104 | 3.9 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | 105 | 109 | 3.7 |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 90 | 95 | 5.4 |
| OCDF | 92 | 93 | 1.1 |
| OCDD | 93 | 97 | 4.2 |

REC $=$ Percent Recovered
RPD $=$ The difference between the two values divided by the average.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not Applicable

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full. without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.

NPDES-144

7461 Drip Awe. Sum w 100, irvine, CA 3781 TO14 E. Coom Cr., Sum e A. Collin, CA




SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOJ1177
1021766

| SENDING LABORATORY: |
| :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |
| 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 |
| Irvine, CA 92614 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper |

## RECEIVING LABORATORY:

Pace Analytical, MN. SUB
1700 Elm Street, Ste 200
Minneapolis, MN 55414
Phone :(612) 607-1700
Fax: (612) 607-6444

Standard TAT is requested mines specific due date is requested mo ne Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$


## Containers Supplied:

1 L Amber (1OIl177-01C)
1 L. Amber (1011177-01D)


## APPENDIX G

## Section 6

Outfall 004, October 18, 2005
AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine
Reviever E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Metals

## ACTIONITEMS'

- Case Narrative
Deficiencies

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardicopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysin Qualifications were assigned for the following:

Protocol, eg,

- Blank contamination

Holding Times

- Sample results betiveen the MDL and RL were estimated

GCMS Twne/nst. Peformance -Reanalyses were rejected in favor of the original analyses
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Mitrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Stundard Performance
Compound Identification
Qumatitution
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$



# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: METALS

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS IOJ1176, IOJ1177, IOJ1181

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street, Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Program<br>Contrat Task Order\#. 313150010<br>SDG\#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costia<br>Matrix: Wator<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 3<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 2<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling.<br>Date of Review: December 18, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods 200.8 for 1CPMS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 005 | IOI1176-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 004 | IOI1177-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Ontfall 008 | 1On181-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |


|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALDATION REPORT | SDG No:: | Multiple |
| SELALS |  |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 -days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 1CP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tume criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required for ICP-MS taming.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with this SDG and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in qualification of the data with the exception of cadmium in the method blank. Cadmium was qualified as a nondetect, "U," in the sample from Outfall 004. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALDATIONREPORT | SDG No:: | Multiple |

### 2.5 ICP INTERIERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS AAB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP/MS analyses. The recoveries were within the control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples and mercury LCS samples as reported on the LCS on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs. No qualification was required.

### 2.8 MATRXX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for all analyses. No qualification was required.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.101CP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PEREORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Reanalyses were performed for copper and or mercury in some site samples. In all cases the reanalyses confirmed the original analysis. The reanalyses were rejected in favor

of the original analysis. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting linit were qualified as " J " values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

## Del Mar Analytical

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
MWACPasadens/Boving
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA. 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Sampled 1018105
Received: 10n8/05

Level IV Validated

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA
AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Pace - Minneapolis
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Dioxins/Furans by Method 1613B

## ACTION RHEMS:

Package ID T711DF50
Task Order 313150010 SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 8
Date: November 21, 2005

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Devititons from Analysil Qualifications were assigned for the following

Protocol, cg.
-EMPCs qualified as estimated nondetects
Holding Times
GCMS TimeInst. Perfomance
-IOH186-01 and 1OM232-01 rejected for lab contamination
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogntes
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Perfarmance
Compound Identification
Quantitution
System Performance
COMMMATS ${ }^{7}$

- method blank contamination
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\square$
- 

[^14]
## $a m e c^{\theta}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS IOJ1184, IOJ1177, IOJ1232, IOJ1231

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Projest: SDCNO. | NPDES <br> Mutliple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDITIONREPORT | Analyis: | DF |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: November 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID (DelMart | Laboratory ID (Race) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outrall 008 | 10n1181-01 | 1021758001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 005 | $1011176-01$ | 1021760001 | water | 1613 |
| Oitfall 009 | 1011186-01 | 1021761001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | 10I1180-01 | 1021763001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | $10 \mathrm{S1184-01}$ | 1021765001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | $1011177-01$ | 1021766001 | water | 1613 |
| Outaill 010 | $1011232-01$ | 1021908001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 003 | $1011231-01$ | 1021910001 | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: SDCNa: | NrDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAEA VILIMATION REPORT | Analyiar | DF |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handing, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Pace for dioxin/firan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the case narrative and laboratory login sheet, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Pace had no custody seals present for samples IOJ1232-01 and 1OJ1231-01. All other samples had custody seals present and intact. The EPA Ds were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  |  | NPDEs Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DITA VALIDATTON RAPORT | Anthyis: | DF |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $10 / 22 / 05$ for instrument $F$. The calibration consisted of five concentration leval standards (CS1 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2 .1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (Blank 8223) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. Target compounds $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD}$ and OCDF were reported in method blank 8223 at concentrations of 0.0000041 and $0.0000068 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. An interference with OCDD was also reported in method blank 8223. Any detects for these target compounds $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated; "UJ" in the site samples of this SDG. Detects for total dioxin and furan isomers at concentrations $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UJ, ${ }^{\text {n }}$ in the associated samples. In instances where the total concentration included peaks not present in the method blank as well as the method blank contamination, the total concentration was considered estimated, " J, " as a portion of the total concentration was considered blank contamination. There were no other target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (LCS/LCSD 8224/8225) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDGNa: | NPDES Makiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIEA DALIDATMONREPORT | Amlyis | D $\mathbf{F}$ |

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND DENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. Howvever, the laboratory was experiencing sporadic cross-contamination problerns which they attributed to incomplete glassware cleaning procedures. Two samples, Outfall 009 and outfall 010 , exhibited atypical target compound detects. These samples were rejected in favor of a reanalysis at another laboratory that was not experiencing contamination problems. This was done to ensure the target compound detects were representative of site conditions and not laboratory cross-contamination. No firther qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, "J," by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.


EMPC $=$ Estimnted Midinum Potesbly Cancantralion


$\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{L}$ Leat then 10 tinnas higher then method, blank haval
$P=R$ ecovery outalda of inethod 1613 control Imph
$\mathrm{J}=$ Concentration deterted is below the calthralton range

I $=$ interferanca
$\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{PCDE}$ Intarterence
NO = Not Daberted
$\mathrm{NA}=\mathrm{Not}$ Appliciole
NC = Not Caloutated
${ }^{-}=$Sen Discussion
Report No..... 1021786

> Leve! IV Validated

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS
This roport shall not be roproduced, excapt in full,

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine
Reviewar E. Wessling
Analysis/Method General Minerals

Package ID T711WC179
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 3
Date: December 12. 2005


## ACTION ITEMS*

| Case Narrative |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Deficienciea |

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Condacted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Delliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analyuis

Qualifications were assigned for the following:
Protocol, eg.,

- Acceppable as reviewed

Holding Times
OC/MS Tune/inst Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix SpikelDap LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Jdentification
Quantitation
System Peftormance
COMMinNTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

- Subcontrected analytical luboratory is not mecting eonatruct and/or method requirementr:



# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS

# SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ 1176, IOJ1177, IOJ1181 

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street, Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#. 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager. P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 3<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procechures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM2540C, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meot the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}$ data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may bave resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Project NPDES Monitoring SDG No.: Multiple Analysis: General Minemals

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client D | Laboratory D | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 005 | IOI176-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 004 | IOI1177-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 008 | IOI1181-01 | Water | General Minerals |


|  | Project NPDES Monitoring <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT Multiple |
| :---: | :---: |
| SDG No.: | Analysis: General Minerals |

## 2. DATA VALDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and all analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times for all analyses were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Target compounds were not detected in the associated method blanks. Raw data was reviewed to verify the blank data. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-sstablished control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in these SDGs.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for analyses without an MS/MSD. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FEELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.







## INORGANIC

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

## APPENDIX G

## Section 7

Outfall 004, November 09, 2005
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 004

Sampled: 11/09/05
Received: 11/09/05
Issued: 01/20/06 17:30

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
1OK0901-01

## CLIENT ID

Outfall 004

## MATRIX

Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | 1OK0901 | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |


| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: IOK0901-01 (Outfall 004 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.21 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/17/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 11 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 | B |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5K17098 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.065 | 1 | 11/17/05 | 11/17/05 | J |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: IOK0901 Received: 11/09/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting <br> Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOK0901-01 (Outfall 004 - Water)-cont.Reporting Units: mgl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 14 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.072 | 0.26 | 2.4 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5K14056 | 0.91 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 1 | 11/14/05 | 11/14/05 | J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 11 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5K16116 | 10 | 10 | 190 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5K10088 | 10 | 10 | 64 | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/10/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: 1OK0901 Received: 11/09/05

Sampled: 11/09/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 004 (1OK0901-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $11 / 09 / 200513: 52$ | $11 / 09 / 200518: 00$ | $11 / 09 / 2005$ | $23: 30$ | $11 / 10 / 200500: 28$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Report Number: 10 K 0901 | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5K16096 Extracted: 11/16/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.025 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |
| Copper | 1.20 | 2.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |
| Lead | 0.129 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |

## LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-BS1)

| Antimony | 75.0 | 2.0 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 94 | $85-115$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 85.7 | 1.0 | 0.025 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | $85-115$ |  |
| Copper | 82.7 | 2.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 107 | 103 |
| Lead | 82.4 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 103 | $85-115$ |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 6 / 2 0 0 5 - 1 1 / 1 7 / 2 0 0 5 ~ ( 5 K 1 6 0 9 6 - M S 1 ) ~}$ | Source: IOK0918-02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 76.3 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.060 | 95 | $70-130$ |
| Cadmium | 86.0 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ug/l | 80.0 | ND | 108 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugg | 80.0 | 2.7 | 96 | $70-130$ |
| Lead | 79.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ugl | 80.0 | 0.070 | 100 | $70-130$ |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 6 / 2 0 0 5 - 1 1 / 1 7 / 2 0 0 5 ~ ( 5 K 1 6 0 9 6 - M S 2 ) ~}$ |  | Source: 1OK0922-03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 75.0 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.096 | 94 | $70-130$ |
| Cadmuium | 86.5 | 1.0 | 0.025 | uggl | 80.0 | 0.11 | 108 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 107 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugh | 80.0 | 34 | 91 | $70-130$ |
| Lead | 77.7 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.22 | 97 | $70-130$ |


| Matrix Sp |  | 09 |  |  |  | e: 10 K | 18-02 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 75.6 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.060 | 94 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 86.4 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 108 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Copper | 78.0 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugh | 80.0 | 2.7 | 94 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.7 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.070 | 100 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: IOK0901 | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5K17098 Extracted: 11/17/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/17/2005 (5K17098-BLK1)

| Mercury | ND | 0.20 | 0.050 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/17/2005 (5K17098-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.09 | 0.20 | 0.050 | ug/ | 8.00 |  | 101 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 11/17/2005 (5K17098-MS1) |  |  | Source: IOK0827-04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.44 | 0.20 | 0.050 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 11/17/2005 (5K17098-MSD1) |  |  | Source: IOK0827-04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.29 | 0.20 | 0.050 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 104 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | IOK0901 | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKMQC DATA

## INORGANICS



Batch: 5K10088 Extracted: 11/10/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-BLK1)
Total Suspended Solids ND
10
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$
LCS Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-BS1)


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

Del Mar Analytical

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 K 0901$ | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5K14056 Extracted: 11/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 17.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 86 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 17.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 20.0 |  | 87 | 65-120 | 2 | 20 |  |

## Batch: 5K16116 Extracted: 11/16/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BLK1)
Total Dissolved Solids

| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | 10 | $m g / t$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BS1)
— 988
Duplicate Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-DUP1)
Total Dissolved Solids
196

Source: IOK0904-01
$200 \quad 2 \quad 10$

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Sampled: 11/09/05
Report Number: IOK0901
Received: 11/09/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte |  | Compliance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOK0901 | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Sampled: 11/09/05
Report Number: IOK0901 Received: 11/09/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | Callfornia |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical NELAC Cert \#02102CA, California Cert \#1640, Nevada Cert \#CA-413
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: 1OK0901-01
Analysis Performed EDD + Level 4
Samples: $10 \mathrm{~K} 0901-01$

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager


December 10, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 27027
Ms. Michele Chambertin
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Chambertin,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on December 08, 2005 under your Project Name "IOK0901". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

An " A " qualifier indicates that the result is greater than the low point in the calibration curve, but lower than the EPA Method 1613 Minimum Level.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,
Marie Maser
Martha M. Maser
Director of HRMS Services


# Section I: Sample Inventory Report 

Date Received: $\quad 12 / 8 / 2005$

## Alta Lab. ID

27027-001

## Client Sample ID

IOK0901-01

## SECTION II

EPA Method 1613

Method Blank
Analyst: WJL

EPA Method 1613
EPA Method 1613

\%R LCL-UCL ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Oualifiers
$\begin{array}{ll}80.8 & 25-164 \\ 76.8 & 25-181\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}73.6 & 32-141\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}74.2 & 28-130\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}72.0 & 23-140\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}56.3 & 17-157 \\ 78.5 & 24-169\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}78.5 & 24-169 \\ 76.0 & 24-185\end{array}$ 73.5. $21-178$
 $\begin{array}{ll}70.2 & 26-123\end{array}$ 70.4 28 -136


 | $\infty$ |
| :---: |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{4}$ |
|  |
|  | $60.4 \quad 17$ - 157 $\begin{array}{r}93.7 \quad 35-197 \\ \hline\end{array}$



Sample 1D: IOK0901-01


Analyst: WJL

## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVLATIONS

| B | This compound was also detected in the method blank. |
| :---: | :---: |
| D | The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference. |
| E | The reported value exceeds the calibration range of the instrument. |
| H | The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1. |
| I | Chemical interference |
| J | The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument. |
| * | See Cover Letter |
| Conc. | Concentration |
| DL | Sample-specific estimated Detection Limit |
| MDL | The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration |
| NA | Not applicable |
| RL | Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point |
| ND | Not Detected |
| TEQ | Toxic Equivalency |

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

## CERTIFICATIONS

| Aecrediting Tithority | Cerfincte Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| State of Alaska, DEC | CA413-02 |
| State of Arizona | AZ0639 |
| State of Arkansas, DEQ | 05-013-0 |
| State of Arkansas, DOH | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of California - NELAP Primary AA | 02102CA |
| State of Colorado |  |
| State of Connecticut | PH-0182 |
| State of Florida, DEP | E87777 |
| Commonwealth of Kentucky | 90063 |
| State of Louisiana, Health and Hospitals | LA050001 |
| State of Louisiana, DEQ | 01977 |
| State of Maine | CA0413 |
| State of Michigan | 81178087 |
| State of Mississippi | Reciprocity through CA |
| Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center |  |
| State of Nevada | CA413 |
| State of New Jersey | CA003 |
| State of New Mexico | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of New York, DOH | 11411 |
| State of North Carolina | 06700 |
| State of North Dakota, DOH | R-078 |
| State of Oklahoma | D9919 |
| State of Oregon | CA200001-002 |
| State of Pennsylvania | 68-00490 |
| State of South Carolina | 87002001 |
| State of Tennessee | 02996 |
| State of Texas | TX247-2005A |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |  |
| State of Utah | 9169330940 |
| Commonwealth of Virginia | 00013 |
| State of Washington | Cl 285 |
| State of Wisconsin | 998036160 |
| State of Wyoming | 8TMS-Q |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Fherammerax | FWermint mesme |
|  |  | Fantueg rasomy |
|  | matay 7 |  |

SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOK0901

| SENDING LABORATORY: | RECEIVING LABORATORY: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dei Mar Analytical, Irvine | Alta Analytical - SUB 2707 |
| 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 | 1104 Windfield Way |
| Irvine, CA 92614 | El Doredo Hills, CA 95762 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 | Phone : (916) 933-1640 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 | Fax: (916) 673-0106 |
| Project Manager: Michele Chanberlin |  |

Standard TAT is requested miless specific dute date is reguested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$



Alta Project \#: 27027

| Samples Arrival: | $\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{l} \text { Date/Time } \\ 12 / 8 / 05 \end{array} & 0910 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Initials:$C A B$ |  | Location: $W R-2$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Logged In: | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Date/Time } \\ 18 / 8 / 05 & 1059 \end{array}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Intitalas }: ~} B A B$ |  |  |  |
| Delivered By: | redEx | UPS | Cal | DHL | Hand Delivered | Other |
| Preservation: | (lce) | Blue ice |  | Dry Ice | e $\quad$ None |  |
| Temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time: 9925 |  |  | Thermometer ID: DT-20 |  |



Comments:

## APPENDIX G

## Section 8

Outfall 004, November 09, 2005 AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer E Wessling
Analysis/Method Dioxins/Furans by 1613

## ACTION ITMMSA

## - Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, eg.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tume/inst. Performance
Cailibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup ICS
Field QC
Internal Stundard Performance
Compound Identification
Quantitation
Systen Performance


Qualifications were assigned for the following:
-false positive
-estimated values between the RL and MDL
-estimated maximum possible concentrations
-nonconfirmation of 2,3,7,8-TCDF $\square$
$\square$
$\square$
$\square$

* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meting contract and/or method requirementa,



## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1186, IOJ1232, IOK0899, IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

## Prepared by

|  | Project: SDG Na: | nPDE Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIMATHON REPORT | Analysir | DF |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#. Multipie<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.


Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory D <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratary ID <br> (Alth) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outall 009 | IOI1232-01 | $26994-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | IOI1186-01 | $26993-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 018 | IOK0899-01 | $27025-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOK0900-01 | $27026-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfal 004 | IOK0901-01 | $27027-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOK0902-01 | $27028-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOK0903-01 | $27029-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outaill 009 | IOK0904-01 | $27030-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: SDGNa: <br> Anebyis | NPD Muhipl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATX VILIDATIONREPORT |  |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or slightly below for some of the samples. As none of the samples was noted to be damaged or frozen, no qualifications were required. According to the case narratives and laboratory login sheets, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Alta had no custody seals. The EPA DD were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC columin performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG Na: Anturit | NPDES <br> Multipite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATKON REPORT |  |  |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $6 / 06 / 2005$. The calibration consisted of six concontration level standards (CS1 through CS6) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibrations were acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transeription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section $\mathbf{2 . 2}$.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (0-7516-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. No target compounds were detected in the method blank and no qualifications were required. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike (OPR 0-7516-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project SDCNo: Andyatr: | NPDES Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALTOUTTON REPORT |  | DF |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENIIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/firans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted with the exception of a false positive in Outfall 005 for $1,2,3,4,7,8 \mathrm{FHxCDD}$. The sample was a nondetect Confirmation for $2,3,7,8$-TCDF detected in samples Outfall 004, Outfall 005, and Outfall 006 was not performed; therefore, 2,3,7,8-TCDF was qualified as estimated, "J." No firther qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Auy detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, " J " by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect. "UJ." No further qualifications were required.
,


CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## $a m e c^{9}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Sampling

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS:<br>IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

## Prepared by

AMEC - Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street
Lakewood, CO 80226

|  | Propert | NPDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDG: | Multiple |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis | Metals |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Titte: NPDES Sampling<br>MEC ${ }^{\text {x }}$ Project Number:<br>313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group:<br>Project Manager:<br>Matrix:<br>Analysis:<br>IOK0900, 1OK0901, IOK0902, 1OK0903, 1OK0904<br>P. Costa<br>Water<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions:<br>4<br>Reviewer:<br>Date of Review:<br>E Wessling<br>December 20, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outtined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), US EPA Method 200.8 for ICP-MS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2194). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the form l as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  |  | npdes <br> Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Metats |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matnix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOK0900-01 | Water | 200.82245 .1 |
| Outfall 003RE1 | IOK0900-01RE1 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 004 | IOK0901-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 005 | IOK0902-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 005RE1 | IOK0902-01RE1 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 006 | IOK0903-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 006RE1 | $10 K 0903-01 R E 1$ | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 006RE2 | IOK0903-01RE2 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 009 | IOK0904-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |


| NPDES |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA YALIDATION REPORT | Propect: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples in these SDG were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handing, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs.

Antimony in Outfall 003, copper in Outfall 005, and antimony and mercury in Outfall 006 were reanalyzed to confirm the original results. The laboratory did not appended the client IDs with "RE" suffices; therefore; the reviewer added these to the Form Is. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP-MS metals and 28 -days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP-MS metals and $80-$ $120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with these SDGs and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

| Profact: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALDAHON REPORT | SDG: Multipte |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Mercury was reported in method blank 5K17098-BLK1 at $-0.072 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, mercury in Outfall 003, Outfall 004, and Outfall 005 was qualified as estimated, " J ," for detects and, " UJ ," for nondetects. The remaining method blank and CCB results associated with the retained analyses were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in data qualification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS AAB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were performed in association with the Ouffall 003 selenium analysis. The recoveries were within the control limits. No other ICSA or ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. No qualifications were required

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP-MS and mercury LCS sample results were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MSMSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs, therefore no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Evaluation of laboratory accuracy was based on LCS results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 ICP-MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

| NPDES |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALDATHON REPORY | Prolect: |
| SOG: Muliple |  |

### 2.10 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS intemal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Some target analytes were reported from dilution analyses due to matrix interference. Reporting limits and MDLs were adjusted accordingly. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as estimated, " J ," with the annotation of "DNQ", in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit.

Antimony in Outfall 003, copper in Outfall 005, and antimony and mercury in Outfall 006 were reanalyzed to confirm the original results. As the original results were all confirmed, the results for Outfall 003RE1, Outfall 005RE1, Outfall 006RE1, and Outfall 006RE2 were rejected, "R," in favor of the original results. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.12 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.12.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

## 2,12.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with these samples.






| 1 MWH Prasean Boeing | Froject ID. Routine Outinll oot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1300 North Lake Avenur, Sute 2200 |  | Smmpled L10900s |
| Pasadena CA 91101 <br> Atention: Bronwya Kelly | Report Number: 10K0901 | Received: 110905 |

Metals

| Analyte | Methed | Sutch | MDL <br> Limit | Reportiog <br> Linnt | Smupple <br>  | DMution Tretar | Date Euxutted | Date Anslyayd | Data Qumifiexs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample By: 1OK0901-01 (Outivil 004 Reportiog Eintas un | -Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & C:+ \\ & C= \end{aligned}$ |
| Antimony | ERA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 6.18 | 2.0 | 40 | 1 | 1HCOS | 11460s |  |  |  |
| Cuduxixu | EPA 20.8 | \$K16096 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 12 | 1 | 111605 | 11/1705 |  | i | B6an |
| Copper | EPA200.8 | $5 \times 16096$ | 0.49 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 114605 | 111605 | P |  |  |
| Lemat | EPA 200: | 5316096 | 0.040 | 1.6 | 27 | 1 | 117605 | 11106 |  |  |  |
| Mercury | EPA 2451 | Sx17098 | 0.063 | 020 | 0.06s | 1 | Inn705 | Lu7nos | 1 | 3 | 8 |

Levec IV

Del Mar Analyticnt Irviae
Michele Cumbertin
Project Manager

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


# amec ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Sampling

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS:<br>IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

Prepared by
AMEC - Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street
Lakewood, CO 80226

|  | Project: | NPOES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SOG: | Multiple |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORF | Analyeis: | Gen Min |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

| Task Order Titie: | NPDES Sampling |
| ---: | :--- |
| AMEC Project Number: | 313150010 |
| Sample Delivery Group: | 1OK0900, 1OK0901, 1OK0902, 1OK0903, IOK0904 |
| Project Manager: | P. Costa |
| Matrix: | Water |
| Analysis: | General Minerals |
| QC Level: | Level IV |
| No of Samples: | 5 |
| of Reanalyses/Dilutions: | 0 |
| Reviewer: | E. Wessling |
| Date of Review: | December 20, 2005 |

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outtined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for General Minerals (DVP-6, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Methods $160.2,300.0$, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM5540-CMOD, and validation guidelines outined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form is as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outtall 003 | 10K0900-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 004 | 10K0901-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 005 | 10K0902-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 008 | 10K0903-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 009 | 10K090401 | Water | General Minerals |

DATA VALIDATION REPORT \begin{tabular}{l}

Project: | NPDES |
| :---: |
| Mutiple | <br>

SDG: <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times were met and no qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

The blank results associated with the analyses were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly less than the sample detects so as not to result in data qualification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

| NPDES |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Prolect |
| SDG: Muliplia |  |

### 2.5 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

A laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on Outfall 009 for TDS. The \%D was less than the laboratory-established control limit of $10 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKES

No MSMSD analyses were performed in association with this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7. SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Results reported by the laboratory between the MOL and reporting limit were qualified as estimated; "J," with the annotation of "DNQ," in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD OC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.






```
MWH-rasmbenabocing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suige 1200
Masadeas, CA91101
Attention: Bronwyy Kelly
```

Project ID: Routipe Ontall 004
Repont Number, IOX0901
Sampled 11/0\%05
Received 11/0\%05

## NORGANICS

NDL Reperting Saraple Dilutime Date Date Date

Level IV

Del Mar Analytical, Trrine
Michele Chamberin
Project Manager

## APPENDIX G

## Section 9

Outfall 005, October 18, 2005

## Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

17467 Derian Ave., SuLfite 100, irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley $\mathrm{Dr}_{2}$, Suite $\mathrm{A}_{\text {, Cotton, CA } 92324 \text { (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046 }}$ 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite B05, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51 st St, Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ B5044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. *3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 790-3621

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 005

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05
Issued: 01/20/06 15:09

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, I page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

## LABORATORY ID

1OJ1176-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 005

## MATRIX

Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Report Number: IOJ1176 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |


| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: IOJ1176-01 (Outfall 005 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.36 | 4.0 | ND | 2 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5 J 19098 | 0.030 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5 J 19098 | 0.98 | 4.0 | 30 | 2 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5 J 19098 | 0.080 | 2.0 | 34 | 2 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5 J 19052 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.41 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 |  |
| Sample ID: IOJ1176-01RE1 (Outfall 005 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.98 | 4.0 | 31 | 2 | 10/19/05 | 10/24/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5J21075 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.46 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/21/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 10 Jll 176
Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOJ1176-01 (Outfall 005 - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5 J 18042 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 27 | 5 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 J 18042 | 0.072 | 0.26 | 16 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 J 21043 | 0.90 | 4.8 | ND | 1 | 10/21/05 | 10/21/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 J 18042 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 18 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5J19123 | 10 | 10 | 540 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5 J 20118 | 10 | 10 | 3000 | 1 | 10/20/05 | 10/20/0S |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005


## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 005 (IOJ1176-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $10 / 18 / 200508: 45$ | $10 / 18 / 200514: 20$ | $10 / 18 / 200516: 00$ | $10 / 18 / 200517: 37$ |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 J 1176$ | Received: 10/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS



## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 0.109 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |
| Lead | 0.0450 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ |



LCS Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BS1)

| Antimony | 77.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 97 | 85-115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 81.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 102 | 85-115 |
| Copper | 77.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugl | 80.0 |  | 97 | 85-115 |
| Lead | 81.2 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 102 | 85-115 |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 10J1156-01 |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 84.7 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 0.18 | 106 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 84.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 83.0 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ughl | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 79.1 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ugl | 80.0 | 0.32 | 98 | 70-130 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, trvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX $\{909$ ) 370-1046

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA. 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005


## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MS2) |  |  | Source: IOJ1159-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 86.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 0.29 | 108 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cadmium | 84.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 0.072 | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 84.8 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 | 4.8 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 80.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.53 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MSD1) |  |  | Source: IOJ1156-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 85.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.18 | 107 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 84.4 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 83.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugh | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.9 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.32 | 99 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

## Batch: 5J21075 Extracted: 10/21/05

Blank Analyzed $10 / 21 / 2005$ (5J21075-BLK1)


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: IOJ1176 | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKGC DATA

## INORGANICS



[^15]| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 10J1176 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 |
| Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data <br> Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5320118 Extracted: 10/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 993 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 99 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: 10 Jl | 175-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 344 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 340 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5J21043 Extracted: 10/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/08/2005 (5121043-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/08/2005 (5J21043-BS1) M-NR1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 14.5 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 72 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/08/2005 (5521043-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 14.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 20.0 |  | 70 | 65-120 | 3 | 20 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: IOII176 ...... Received: $10 / 18 / 05$

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte |  |  | Compliance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit |  |  |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

## Del Mar Analytical

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 1011176 Received: 10/18/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 1011176 . . Received: 10/18/05

Sampled: 10/18/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | Calfornia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Pace Analytical, MN- SUB
1700 Elm Street, Ste 200 - Minneapolis, MN 55414
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOJ1176-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: ION176-01

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager


Pace Anafytical Servitas, ime.
1700 Em Straet
Minneapolis, MN 55414
Phone: 612.607.1700
Fax: 612.607.6444


The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report.

Project: Chemical Analysis

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.


PROJECT: PCDD/PCDF ANALYSES<br>ISSUED TO: Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Attn: Michele Harper<br>17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100<br>Irvine, CA 92614

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763 1021765, 1021766, 1021907. 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results from the analyses performed on twelve samples submitted by a representative of Del Mar Analytical, Irvine. The samples were analyzed for the presence or absence of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs) using a modified version of USEPA Method 1613B

## SAMPLEIDENTIFICATION

| Client ID | Sample Type | Date Recelved | PACE ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1OJ1181-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021758001 |
| IOJ1176-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021760001 |
| IOJ1186-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021761001 |
| 10J1180-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021763001 |
| 1031184-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021765001 |
| 10J1177-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021766001 |
| 1OJ1234-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021907001 |
| 1OJ1232-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021908001 |
| 1OJ1231-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021910001 |
| 1OJ1235-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021911001 |
| 10J1236-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021912001 |
| 10J1337-01 | Water | 10/21/05 | 1021959001 |

## RESULTS

The results are included in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Appendix A - Documentation } \\
& \text { Appendix B - Sample Analysis Results } \\
& \text { Appendix C - QC and Calibration Results } \\
& \text { Appendix D - Sample Chromatograms and Raw Data } \\
& \text { Appendix E - Calibration Chromatograms and Raw Data } \\
& \text { Appendix F - QC Chromatograms and Raw Data } \\
& \text { REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS }
\end{aligned}
$$

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.

NPDES - 232

PCDD/PCDF ANALYSES
PAGE: 2

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763, 1021765, 1021766, 1021907, 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## DISCUSSION

Two sets of results were provided, at the request of Del Mar Analytical, for sample 1OJ1337-01. In the initial (11/03/2005) extraction batch for this sample, elevated recoveries were obtained for selected native congeners in the associated lab spike samples, most likely due to contamination. The second (11/08/2005) extraction batch showed good recoveries for the native congeners in the lab spikes. However, the results obtained from the analyses of the two extracts of the field sample were dissimilar. The initial sample results, associated with the contaminated lab spikes, were significantly lower than the repeat sample results, those associated with the compliant lab spikes samples.

The recoveries of the isotopically-labeled PCDD/PCDF internal standards in the sample extracts ranged from $34-108 \%$. All of the labeled standard recoveries obtained for these projects were within the target ranges specified in Method 1613B. Also, since the quantification of the native 2,3,7,8substituted congeners was based on isotope dilution, the data were automatically corrected for variation in recovery and accurate values were obtained.

In some cases, the presence of interfering substances impacted the determinations of PCDD or PCDF congeners. The affected values were flagged " 1 " where incorrect isotope ratios were obtianed, or " $E$ " where polychlorinated diphenyl ethers were present.

A laboratory method blank was prepared and analyzed with each sample batch as part of our routine quality control procedures. The results, found at the beginning of Appendix $C$, show the blanks to contain trace levels of selected PCDD and PCDF congeners. These were below the calibration range of the method. Sample levels similar to the corresponding blank levels were flagged " B " and may be, at least partially, attributed to the background. In general, levels less than ten times the background are not considered to be statistically different from the background.

Laboratory spike samples were also prepared with the sample batches using clean water that had been fortified with native standard materials. The results show the spiked native compounds in LCS8224 and LCSD-8225 were recovered at $88-109 \%$, with relative percent differences of 0.0-12.2\%. These results indicate high degrees of accuracy and precision for these determinations. Four native recovery values LCS-8209 and LCSD-8210 were above the target ranges; the affected values were flagged " P " on the results tables and may indicate high biases for these congeners in the associated sample (the initial extract of IOJ1337-01).

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

PAGE: 3

## Pace Anatical Services, Inc.

1700 Em Street

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763, 1021765, 1021766, 1021907. 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## REMARKS

The sample extracts will be retained for a period of 15 days from the date of this report and then discarded unless other arrangements are made. The raw mass spectral data will be archived on magnetic tape for a period of not less than one year. Questions regarding the data contained in this report may be directed to the author at the number provided below.

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.


## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.


## Method 1613B Analysis Results <br> Client - Del Mar Analytical

Client's Sample ID
Lab Sample ID Filename
Injected By
Total Amount Extracted Total Amoun Dry Weight Extracted
ICAL Date
CCal Filename(s)
Method Blank ID

| Native <br> Isomers |
| :--- |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF |
| Total TCDF |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD |
| Total TCDD |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF |
| 2,3,4,7,-PeCDF |
| Total PeCDF |

1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD
Total PeCDD
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF
$2,3,4,6,7,8-H \times C D F$
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF
Total HxCDF
$1,2,3,4,7,8-H \times C D D$
$1,2,3,6,7,8-H \times C D D$
$1,2,3,7,8,9-H \times C D D$
$1,2,3,7,8,9-\mathrm{Hx}$
Total HxCDD
$1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDF}$
$1,2,3,4,7,8,9-\mathrm{HpCDF}$
Total HpCDF
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD
Total HPCDD

| OCDF | 0.000052 | -0.0000035 BJ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OCDD | 0.002600 | -0.0000069 |

Conc $=$ Concentration (Totals include 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers).
EMPC = Estimated Maxdmum Possible Concentration
LOO $=$ Limit of Datection. Totals are averages of indivatual isomer LODS.
$D=$ Result obtained from anolysis of diluted sample
$\mathrm{B}=$ Less than 10 times higher than method blank level $P=$ Recovery outside of method 1613 control limits $J=$ Concentration detected is below the calibration range $\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis

I = interference
$E=$ PCDE interference
ND $=$ Not Detected
$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not Applicable
NC = Not Caiculated

* $=$ Ses Discussion

Report No..... 1021760

# REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS 

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the writien consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.


## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Client - Del Mar Analytical
Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extraced
ICAL Date
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID
LCS-8224
F51109C_03
1050 mL
$10 / 22 / 2005$
F51109C 02
BLANK-8223

| Matrix | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diution | NA |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 2005 \quad 00: 34$ |
| Injected By | BAL |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rec. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 50.6 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 101 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 45.9 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 43.9 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 94 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 94 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 48.1 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 48.2 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.5 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.3 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 46.2 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 50.2 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 100 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 52.6 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 105 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 44.9 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 90 |
| OCDF | 100 | 92.1 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 92 |
| OCDD | 100 | 93.3 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 93 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37C14 | 10 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 71 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 100 | 60.6 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 61 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 68.3 | 20.0 | $175: 0$ | 68 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 64.1 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 62.8 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 81.7 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 82 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.6 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 64 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.7 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.8 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.7 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 65.7 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 66 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 67.5 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HPCDF-13C | 100 | 68.4 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HPCDF-13C | 100 | 62.9 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 76.3 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 76 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 117.9 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 59 |

$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. $=$ Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, $10 / 94$ Revision
$X=$ Background subtracted value
$P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis
Report No..... 1021758

* $=$ See Discussion
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## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Client - Del Mar Analytical
Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted
ICAL Date
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID

| LCSD-8225 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F51109C_04 | Matrix | Water |  |
| 1040 mL | Dilution | NA |  |
| $10 / 22 / 2005$ | Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |  |
| F51109C 02 | Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 2005$ |  |
| BLANK-8223 | Injected By | BAL |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr} & & & \text { Lower } & \text { Upper } \\ \text { Compound } & \text { Cs } & \text { Cr } & \text { Limit } & \text { Limit }\end{array}\right]$
$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered (ng/mL)
Rec. $=$ Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, $10 / 94$ Revision
$X=$ Background subtracted value
$P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{N} n=$ Value obtained from additional analysis
Report No..... 1021758

* $=$ See Discussion
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Client. $\qquad$ Del Mar Analytical

| SPIKE 1 ID............................ LCS-8224 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPIKE 1 Filename....................F51109C_03 |  |  |  |
| SPIKE 2 ID............................. LCSD-8225 |  |  |  |
| SPIKE 2 Filename.................... F51109C_04 |  |  |  |
| COMPOUND | SPIKE 1 REC.\% | SPIKE 2 REC,\% | RPD,\% |
| 2378-TCDF | 95 | 91 | 4.3 |
| 2378-TCDD | 95 | 101 | 6.1 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 101 | 102 | 1.0 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 12378-PeCDD | 88 | 92 | 4.4 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 123678-HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 96 | 101 | $5: 1$ |
| 123789-HxCDF | 96 | 96 | 0.0 |
| 123478-HxCDD | 97 | 104 | 7.0 |
| $123678-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ | 97 | 109 | 11.7 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 100 | 104 | 3.9 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | 105 | 109 | 3.7 |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 90 | 95 | 5.4 |
| OCDF | 92 | 93 | 1.1 |
| OCDD | 93 | 97 | 4.2 |

REC $=$ Percent Recovered
RPD $=$ The difference between the two values divided by the average.
NA $=$ Not Applicable
Report No. 1021758
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SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOJ1176

| SENDING LABORATORY: |
| :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |
| 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 |
| Irvine, CA 92614 |
| Phone: (949) 261 -1022 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper |

## RECEIVING LABORATORY:

Pace Analytical, MN- SUB
1700 Elm Street, Ste 200
Minneapolis, MN 55414
Phone :(612) 607-1700
Fax: (612) 607-6444

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$



## APPENDIX G

## Section 10

Outfall 005, October 18, 2005
AMEC Data Validation Reports

## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID T711MT94
Task Order 313150010

## SDG No. Multiple

No. of Analyses 3

| Date: December 18. 2005 |
| :--- |
| Reviengef Signture lesy |

## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{\circ}$

## - Case Narrative

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted

| 4. Missing Hardcopy Deliverables |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 5. Incorrect Hardcopy Deliverables |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 6. Deviations from Analysis <br> Protocol, e.g., <br> Holding Times <br> GC/MS Tune/Inst. Performance <br> Calibration <br> Method blanks <br> Surrogates <br> Matrix Spike/Dup LCS <br> Field QC <br> Internal Standard Performance <br> Compound Identification <br> Quantitation <br> System Performance | Qualifications were assigned for the following: |
|  | - Blank conlamination |
|  | - Sample resulis betiveen the MDL and RL were estimated |
|  | - Reanalyses were rejected in favor of the original analyses |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| * Subeontracted anmylyical laboratory is not <br> ${ }^{-}$Differences in protocol have been adopited | eeting contrach andor method requirements. <br> the laborator but no action against the laboratorv is requirad |

# amec ${ }^{9}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: METALS

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS IOJ1176, IOJ1177, IOJ1181

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street, Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDG No.: | Multiple |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | METALS |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Program
Contrat Task Order \#: 313150010
SDG\#: Multiple
Project Manager: P. Costa
Matrix: Water
Analysis: Metals
QC Level: Level IV
No. of Samples: 3
No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 2
Reviewer: E. Wessling
Date of Review: December 18, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods 200.8 for 1CPMS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory D | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outall 005 | IOJ1176-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 004 | IOJ1177-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 008 | IOJ1181-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |


|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VAL.DATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |
| SETALS |  |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28-days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required for ICP-MS tuning.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with this SDG and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in qualification of the data with the exception of cadmium in the method blank. Cadmium was qualified as a nondetect, "U," in the sample from Outfall 004. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALDATION REPORT | SDG No.: <br> Multiple |  |
|  | Annivsis: |  |

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP/MS analyses. The recoveries were within the control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples and mercury LCS samples as reported on the LCS on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs. No qualification was required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for all analyses. No qualification was required.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.101CP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Reanalyses were performed for copper and or mercury in some site samples. In all cases the reanalyses confirmed the original analysis. The reanalyses were rejected in favor

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALDATHON REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

of the original analysis. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as " J " values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.



 2520 E. Sunset Rd. *i, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3630 FAX (702) 798-3621

MWE-Pasadena/Bocing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadean, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronzy Kelly

Project D: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: IOIl176
Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05

## METALS

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data Analyze

Method
Sample ID: 1OJ1176-01 (Outfall 005 -Water)
Reporting Units: ugh

| Antimony | EPA 200.8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 |

Sample ID: IOJ1176-01RE1 (Outfall 005 -Water) Reporting Units: ag h
Copper
Mercury

| EPA 200.8 | 5519098 | 0.98 | 4.0 | 31 | 2 | $10 / 19 / 05$ | $10 / 24 / 05$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EPA 245.1 | $5 J 21075$ | 0.063 | 0.20 | 0.46 | 1 | $10 / 19 / 05$ | $10 / 21 / 05$ |

Level TV Validated

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA
AMEC Earth \& Enviro
550 South Wadsworth
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Pace - Minneapolis
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Dioxins/Furans by Method 1613B

## ACTION THEMS:

## - Case Narrative <br> Deficiencies

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Intemal Standard Perfommance
Compound Identification
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^16]
# amec ${ }^{\text {© }}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS
SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1181, IOJ1176, IOJ1186, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1177, IOJ1232, IOJ1231

## Prepared by

AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: SDC Na.: | NPDES <br> Mutiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analyitr | DF |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: November 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $\mathrm{R}^{n}$ data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID (DelMar) | Laboratory ID (Pace) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 008 | 1OI1181-01 | 1021758001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | 1011176-01 | 1021760001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | $1011186-01$ | 1021761001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | 1011180-01 | 1021763001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | 1OS1184-01 | 1021765001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOJ1177-01 | 1021766001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | $1011232-01$ | 1021908001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | 1031231-01 | 1021910001 | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: SDG Na: | NPDES Multipit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analyie: | DF |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Pace for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the case narrative and laboratory login sheet, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Pace had no custody seals present for samples IOJ1232-01 and 1OJ1231-01. All other samples had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

| DATA VILIOATTON REPORT | Project: SDC No. | NPDES <br> Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Den khinaronk.fora | Anslymix | DF |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $10 / 22 / 05$ for instrument $F$. The calibration consisted of five concentration level standards (CS1 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (Blank 8223) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. Target compounds $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD}$ and OCDF were reported in method blank 8223 at concentrations of 0.0000041 and $0.0000068 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. An interference with OCDD was also reported in method blank 8223. Any detects for these target compounds $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in the site samples of this SDG. Detects for total dioxin and furan isomers at concentrations $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in the associated samples. In instances where the total concentration included peaks not present in the method blank as well as the method blank contamination, the total concentration was considered estimated, "J," as a portion of the total concentration was considered blank contamination. There were no other target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (LCS/LCSD 8224/8225) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDGNo: | NPDES Matiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALDATIONREPORT | Analyix: | DF |

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND DENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. However, the laboratory was experiencing sporadic cross-contamination problems which they attributed to incomplete glassware cleaning procedures. Two samples, Outfall 009 and outfall 010, exhibited atypical target compound detects. These samples were rejected in favor of a reanalysis at another laboratory that was not experiencing contamination problems. This was done to ensure the target compound detects were representative of site conditions and not laboratory cross-contamination. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, " $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ " by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.
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## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

| AMEC Earth \& Environmental | Package ID T711WC179 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard | Task Order 313150010 |
| Suite 500 | SDG No. Multiple |
| Lakewood, CO 80226 | No. of Analyses 3 |
| Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine | Date: December 12. 2005 |
| Reviewer E. Wessling | Reviefyer's Signature |
| Analysis/Method General Minerals | 4 Easetar wher |

## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Case Narrative | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Deficiencies |  |

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, eg.,
Qualifications were assigned for the following:
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$
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## amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALDDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ 1176, IOJ1177, IOJ1181

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street, Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 3<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM2540C, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client D | Laboratory D | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 005 | 10J1176-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 004 | 10J1177-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 008 | IOI1181-01 | Water | General Minerals |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and all analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times for all analyses were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Target compounds were not detected in the associated method blanks. Raw data was reviewed to verify the blank data. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in these SDGs.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for analyses without an MS/MSD. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.

17461 Durian Ave, Suite 100, imine, CA 92614 ( 5499 261-1022 FAX 1249 ) 260-3297 1014 E Conley Dr., Suite A, Cotton, CA 92324 (909; 370-4667 FAX f9091 370-7046




MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ <br> Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |

## INORGANIC




Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

## APPENDIX G

## Section 11

Outfall 005, November 09, 2005
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

17461 Durian Ave., Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Or., Suite A, Colon, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046

## Del Mar Analytical

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 005

Sampled: 11/09/05
Received: 11/09/05
Issued: 01/20/06 17:34

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, I page, is
included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOK0902-01

## CLIENT ID

Outfall 005

## MATRIX

Water

Reviewed By:


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 K0902 | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |


| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 1OK0902-01 (Outfall 005 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.36 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 2 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 | RL-1, J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.030 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 2 | 11/16/05 | 11/17/05 | RL-1, J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | SK16096 | 0.98 | 4.0 | 20 | 2 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.080 | 2.0 | 10 | 2 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5K17098 | 0.050 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 11/17/05 | 11/17/05 |  |
| Sample ID: IOK0902-01RE1 (Outfall 005 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5K19049 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 18 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/21/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: IOK0902 | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OK0902-01 (Outfall 005-Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 62 | 5 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.072 | 0.26 | 6.6 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5K14056 | 0.90 | 4.8 | 0.96 | 1 | 11/14/05 | 11/14/05 | J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 25 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5K16116 | 10 | 10 | 370 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5K10088 | 10 | 10 | 540 | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/10/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, ivine, CA 92674 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr.s Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) $370-1046$ 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 ( 858 ; 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51 st St., Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. 意3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOK0902 | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 005 (IOK0902-01) - Water |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPA 300.0 | 2 | 11/09/2005 12:40 | 11/09/2005 18:00 | 11/09/2005 23:30 | 11/10/2005 00:59 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

[^18]

## VETHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualfiers |

## Batch: 5K16096 Extracted: 11/16/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.025 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |
| Copper | 1.20 | 2.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |
| Lead | 0.129 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |

LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-BS1)

| Antimony | 75.0 | 2.0 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 94 | $85-115$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 85.7 | 1.0 | 0.025 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 107 | $85-115$ |
| Copper | 82.7 | 2.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 103 | $85-115$ |
| Lead | 82.4 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 103 | $85-115$ |


| Matrix | 005 | M |  |  |  | e: 10K | 18-02 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 76.3 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.060 | 95 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 86.0 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 108 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug 1 | 80.0 | 2.7 | 96 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 79.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.070 | 100 | 70-130 |


| Matrix Sp | 005 | M |  |  |  | e: 10 K | 22-03 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 75.0 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.096 | 94 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 86.5 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.11 | 108 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 107 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 34 | 91 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 77.7 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.22 | 97 | 70-130 |


| Matrix S | 17/2 | 609 |  |  |  | C: 10K | 18-02 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 75.6 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.060 | 94 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 86.4 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ugl | 80.0 | ND | 108 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Copper | 78.0 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugl | 80.0 | 2.7 | 94 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.7 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.070 | 100 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 K0902 |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1OK0902 | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 Received: 11/09/05 |  |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting Limit |  |  | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result |  | \%REC <br> Limits |  | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result |  | MDL | Units |  |  | \%REC |  | RPD |  |  |

Batch: 5K28055 Extracted: 11/28/05
LCS Analyzed: 11/28/2005 (5K28055-BS1)

| Copper | 77.6 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 97 | 85-115 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 11/28/2005 (5K28055-MS1) |  |  | Source: IOK2020-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | 84.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 4.7 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 11/28/2005 (5K28055-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 1OK2020-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | 82.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugh | 80.0 | 4.7 | 98 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager


## METHOD BLANKVC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Batch: 5K10088 Extracted: 11/10/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-BLK1)
Total Suspended Solids ND
$10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$

LCS Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-BS1)
Total Suspended Solids 970
$10 \quad 10$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} & 1000 & 97 & 85-115\end{array}$
Source: 1OK0617-01
Duplicate Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-DUP1)
Total Suspended Solids 440
$10 \quad 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$
450
210

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | IOK0902 | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## NIETHOD BIA NKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5K14056 Extracted: 11/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \&t Grease 17.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 86 | $65-120$ |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 17.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 87 | 65-120 | 2 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5K16116 Extracted: 11/16/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 988 | 10 | 10 | mg/ | 1000 |  | 99 | $90-110$ |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: IOK | 904-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 196 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 200 |  |  | 2 | 10 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: IOK0902 . . . Received: 11/09/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte |  |  | Compliance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit |  |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: IOK0902 Received: 11/09/05

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
RL-1 Reporting limit raised due to sample matrix effects.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave., Sưte 100, Ivine, CA 92614 (949) 251-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Calton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX 9099 ) $370-1046$ 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, \$an Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505.9689 9830 South 51st St, Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunsel Rd. *3, Las Vegas, NV B9120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1OK0902 | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

```
Alta Analytical NELAC Cert #02102CA, California Cert #1640, Nevada Cert #CA-413
    1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA }9576
        Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
        Samples: IOK0902-01
    Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
        Samples: FK0902-01
```


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager


December 12, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 27028
Ms. Michele Chambertin
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Chambertin,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on December 08, 2005 under your Project Name "1OK0902". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maser<br>Director of HRMS Services

# Section I: Sample Inventory Report <br> Date Received: 12/8/2005 

Alta Lab. ID
27028-001

Client Sample 1D
IOK0902-01

## SECTION II



| OPR Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix: | Aqueous |  | QC Batch No.: | 7516 | Lab Sample: 0 -OPR001 <br> Date Analyzed DB-5: 9-Dec-05 |  |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: |  |  |
| Sample Size | 1.000 L |  | Date Exiracted: | $8-\mathrm{Dec}-05$ |  |  |  | NA |
| Analyte |  | Spike Conc. | Conc. ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | OPR Limits | Labeled Standard |  |  |  |  | \%R | LCL-UCL |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TC |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.7-15.8 | 15 | $13 \mathrm{C}-2,3,7,8$-TCDD |  | 81.6 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-P |  | 50.0 | 45.0 | 35-71 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD |  | 74.5 | 25-181 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8 | CDD | 50.0 | 48.5 | + $35-82$ |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ |  | 68.8 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8 | CDD | 50.0 | 49.9 | 38-67 |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD |  | 69.2 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9 | CDD | rim 50.0 | 49.9 | \% 32-81 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD |  | 65.1 | 23.140 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7 | PCDD | 50.0 | 50.6 | 35-70 |  | 13C-OCDD |  | 51.0 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDD |  | 100 | 99.8 | -78-144 |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF |  | 85.7 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TC |  | 10.0 | 9.96 | $\therefore 7.5-15.8$ |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF |  | 74.5 | 24-185 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-P |  | \% 50.0 | 52.7 | 40-67 |  | 13C-2,3,4, 7,8-PeCDF |  | 72.8 | 21-178 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-P |  | 50.0 | 53.8 | 34-80 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF |  | 63.4 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8 | CDF | 50.0 | 50.9 | [ 36.67 |  | : 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF |  | 60.1 | 26-123 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8 | CDF | 50.0 | 51.5 | 42-65 |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF |  | 68.0 | 28-136 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8 | DF | 50.0 | 50.7 , | 35-78 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF |  | 69.4 | 29-147 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9 | CDF | 50.0 | 49.6 | 39-65 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF |  | 60.4 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6;7 | pCDF | 50.0 | 50.1 | 41-61 |  | 13C- | HpCDF | 65.4 | 26-138 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8 | pCDF | 50.0 | 51.4 | 39-69 |  | 13C-OCDF |  | 53.9 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDF | 家 | 100 | -98.6 | \% 63-170 | CRS | S 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD |  | 99.0 | 35-197 |  |



## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

This compound was also detected in the method blank.

D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

E The reported value exceeds the calibration range of the instrument.

H

I Chemical interference

J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration

DL Sample-specific estimated Detection Limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration

NA Not applicable

RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point

ND Not Detected

TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

## CERTIFICATIONS

| Accrediting Authority | Cerfificate Namber , |
| :---: | :---: |
| State of Alaska, DEC | CA413-02 |
| State of Arizons | AZ0639 |
| State of Arkansas, DEQ | 05-013-0 |
| Statc of Arkansas, DOH | Reciprocity through CA |
| Stat ${ }^{\text {c }}$ of California - NELAP Primary AA | 02102CA |
| State of Colorado |  |
| State of Connecticut | PH-0182 |
| State of Florida, DEP | E87777 |
| Commonwealth of Kentucky | 90063 |
| State of Louisiana, Health and Hospitals | LA050001 |
| State of Louisiana, DEQ | 01977 |
| State of Maine | CA0413 |
| Stat of Michigan | 81178087 |
| State of Mississippi | Reciprocity through CA |
| Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center |  |
| State of Nevada | CA413 |
| State of New Jersey | CA003 |
| State of New Mexico | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of New York, DOH | 11411 |
| State of North Carolina | 06700 |
| State of North Dakota, DOH | R-078 |
| State of Oklahoma | D9919 |
| State of Oregon | CA200001-002 |
| State of Pennsylvania | 68-00490 |
| State of South Carolina | 87002001 |
| State of Tennessee | 02996 |
| State of Texas | TX247-2005A |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |  |
| State of Utah | 9169330940 |
| Commonwealth of Virginia | 00013 |
| State of Washington | C1285 |
| State of Wisconsin | 998036160 |
| State of Wyoming | 8TMS-Q |
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SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOK0902

| SENDING LABORATORY: | RECEIVING LABORATORY: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine | Alta Analytical - SUB 7078 |
| 17461 Derian Avenue: Suite 100 | 1104 Windfield Way |
| Irvine, CA 92614 | El Dorado Hilis, CA. 95762 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 | Phone : 916 ) 933-1640 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 | Fax: (916) 673-0106 |
| Project Manager: Michele Chamberiin |  |

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $m$ Due Dates $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$

| Annlysis |  | Expiration | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample D: 10k0902-01 | Water | Sampled: 11/09/15 12:40 | Instant Noficatiom |
| 1613-Dioxin-HR |  | 11/1605 12:40 | J flage, 17 congeners, no TEQ ,ugh,subuPace-MN |
| EDD + Level 4 |  | 120705 12:40 | Excel EnD email to pminclude Sta logs for Lvi IV |
| Contriners Supplied: |  |  |  |
| 1 L Amber (10K0902-01C) |  |  |  |
| 1 L Amber (10\%0902-01D) |  |  |  |


$=-1$ $\qquad$
$\square$

## SAMPLE LOGIN CHECKLIST

Alta Project \#:

## 27028




Comments:

## APPENDIX G

Section 12

Outfall 005, November 09, 2005

## AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1186, IOJ1232, IOK0899, IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: SDO Na: Amalymis: | NPDE <br> Multipl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#. Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: SDG Na: Axnlyair: | NPDES Multiple DF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATIONREPORT |  |  |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 009 | IOJ1232-01 | $26994-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | IOI1186-01 | $26993-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 018 | IOK0899-01 | $27025-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOK0900-01 | $27026-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOK0901-01 | $27027-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOK0902-01 | $27028-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOK0903-01 | $27029-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | $10 K 0904-01$ | $27030-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: SDG No.: | npdes Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VNLDATION REPORT | Analyis: | DF |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or slightly below for some of the samples. As none of the samples was noted to be damaged or frozen, no qualifications were required. According to the case narratives and laboratory login sheets, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Alta had no custody seals. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG No: Anslyaire | npdes <br> Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALMATHON REPORT |  |  |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $6 / 06 / 2005$. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CSI through CS6) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibrations were acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( $0-7516-\mathrm{MB} 001$ ) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. No target compounds were detected in the method blank and no qualifications were required. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike (OPR 0-7516-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted with the exception of a false positive in Outfall 005 for $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$. The sample was a nondetect Confirmation for $2,3,7,8$-TCDF detected in samples Outfall 004, Outfall 005, and Outfall 006 was not performed; therefore, 2,3,7,8-TCDF was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, "J," by the laboratory. These "J" values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.

13C－OCDF
CRS $37 \mathrm{Cl}-2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$

| \％R | LCL－UCL | Oualifiers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 83.8 | $25-164$ |  |
| 84.8 | $25-181$ |  |
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$13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$
13C－1 $2,3,7,8 \mathrm{C}$ 13 C
$13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,7,3,7,8-\mathrm{PeCDD}$
Labeled Standard
Leblaratore Dinta
27028－001 $27028-001$
7516
10－Dec－05

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD} \\
& 13 \mathrm{C}-0 \mathrm{CDD} \\
& 13 \mathrm{C}-2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDF} \\
& 13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,7,8-\mathrm{PeCDF} \\
& 13 \mathrm{C}-2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{PeCDF}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fontnotes

## Footnote

$$
4.3 \quad 35-197
$$

$-197$
Footnoter

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 13 C-2,3,7,8-T C D F \\
& 13 C-1,2,3,7,8-P e C D F \\
& 13 C-2,3,4,7,8-P e C D F \\
& 13 C-1,2,3,4,7,8-H x C D F \\
& 13 C-1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{H} \times C D F \\
& 13 C-2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF} \\
& 13 C-1,2,3,7,8,9-\mathrm{Fx} C D F \\
& 13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDF} \\
& 13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-\mathrm{HpCDF}
\end{aligned}
$$

Project 27028

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar -Irvine
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Metals by 200.8/245.1

Package ID T711MT95
Task Order 313150010
SDG No Multiple
No of Analyses 5


## ACTION ITEMS*



# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Sampling

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS:<br>IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

## Prepared by

AMEC - Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street
Lakewood, CO 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDG: | Multiple |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Metais |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

| Task Order Titte: | NPDES Sampling |
| ---: | :--- |
| MEC ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Project Number: | 313150010 |
| Sample Delivery Group: | IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904 |
| Project Manager: | P. Costa |
| Matrix: | Water |
| Analysis: | Metals |
| QC Level: | Level IV |
| No. of Samples: | 5 |
| of Reanalyses/Dilutions: | 4 |
| Reviewer: | E. Wessling |
| Date of Review: | December 20,2005 |

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), US EPA Method 200.8 for ICP-MS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Propect NPDES |
| :--- | :--- |
| OATA VALIOATION REPORT | SOG: Muliphe |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOK0900-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 003RE1 | IOK0900-01RE1 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 004 | 10K0901-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 005 | 1OK0902-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 005RE1 | IOK0902-01RE1 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 006 | IOK0903-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 006REI | IOK0903-01RE1 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 006RE2 | IOK0903-01RE2 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 009 | IOK0904-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |


|  | Proiect: NPDES |
| :--- | :--- |
| OATA VALDATION REPORT | SDG: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples in these SDG were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs.

Antimony in Outfall 003, copper in Outfall 005, and antimony and mercury in Outfall 006 were reanalyzed to confirm the original results. The laboratory did not appended the client IDs with "RE" suffices; therefore, the reviewer added these to the Form Is. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP-MS metals and 28 -days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP-MS metals and 80 $120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with these SDGs and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

|  | Propect: NPDES |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SOG: |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Mercury was reported in method blank 5K17098-BLK1 at $-0.072 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, mercury in Outfall 003, Outfall 004, and Outfall 005 was qualified as estimated, "J," for detects and, "UJ," for nondetects. The remaining method blank and CCB results associated with the retained analyses were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in data qualification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS AAB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were performed in association with the Outfall 003 selenium analysis. The recoveries were within the control limits. No other ICSA or ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. No qualifications were required

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP-MS and mercury LCS sample results were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MSMSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKES

No MSIMSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Evaluation of laboratory accuracy was based on LCS results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 ICP-MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SOG: | Multiple |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Metals |

### 2.10 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS intemal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Some target analytes were reported from dilution analyses due to matrix interference. Reporting limits and MDLs were adjusted accordingly. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as estimated, " J ," with the annotation of " ONQ ," in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit.

Antimony in Outfall 003, copper in Outfall 005, and antimony and mercury in Outfall 006 were reanalyzed to confirm the original results. As the original results were all confirmed, the results for Outfall 003RE1, Outfall 005RE1, Outfall 006RE1, and Outfall 006RE2 were rejected, "R," in favor of the original results. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.12 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.12.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with these samples.
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Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Clumberlian
Project Manager
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## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES Sampling

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

Prepared by
AMEC - Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street
Lakewood, CO 80226

DATA VALIOATION REPORT \begin{tabular}{l}
Project <br>
SDG:

 

NPDES <br>
Multiple
\end{tabular}

## 1. INTRODUCTION

| Task Order Title: | NPDES Sampling |
| ---: | :--- |
| AMEC Project Number: | 313150010 |
| Sample Delivery Group: | IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904 |
| Project Manager: | P. Costa |
| Matrix: | Water |
| Analysis: | General Minerals |
| QC Level: | Level IV |
| No. of Samples: | 5 |
| of Reanalyses/Dilutions: | 0 |
| Reviewer: | E. Wessling |
| Date of Review: | December 20,2005 |

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for General Minerals (DVP-6, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Methods 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM5540-CMOD, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form is as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SOG: |
| NPDES |  |
| Multple |  |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Clien ID | Laboratory 10 | Matrix | Coc Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | $10 K 0900-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 004 | $10 K 0901-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 005 | $10 K 0902-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 006 | $10 K 0903-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 009 | $10 K 0904-01$ | Water | General Minerals |

DATA VALIDATION REPORT \begin{tabular}{r}

Project: | NPDES |
| ---: |
| Muniple | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handing, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handing, or transport problems were noted; and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times were met and no qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

The blank results associated with the analyses were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly less than the sample detects so as not to result in data qualification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: <br> DPDES <br> Multiple |
| :--- | :--- |
| SDALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: Gen Min. |

### 2.5 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

A laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on Outfall 009 for TDS. The \%D was less than the laboratory-established control limit of $10 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as estimated, " $J$," with the annotation of "DNQ." in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.







## INORGANICS

Metued Batch MDL Reporting Sampie Dintion Date Date Dath

Level IV

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvise

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

# APPENDIX G 

## Section 13

Outfall 006, October 18, 2005
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report 94B4 Chesapeake Dr., Suite B05, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 57 st St, Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 69120 (702) 798-3620 FAX $77021798-3621$

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 006

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05
Issued: 01/20/06 15:13

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, I page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOJ1180-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 006

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sampled | 10/18/05 |  |
|  | Report Number: |  | 10.1180 |  |  |  | Received: | 10/18/05 |  |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 1OJ1180-01 (Outfall 006 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.42 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 | J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5 J 19098 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.47 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 | B, J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5 J 19098 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 16 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5119098 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 12 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5 J 19052 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 | J |
| Sample ID: 1OJ1180-01RE1 (Outfall 006 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 16 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/24/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1OJ1180 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OJ1180-01 (Outfall 006 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5J18042 | 0.52 | 1.0 | 41 | 2 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5J18042 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 7.9 | 2 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 J 24050 | 0.90 | 4.8 | ND | 1 | 10/24/05 | 10/24/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 J 18042 | 0.36 | 1.0 | 23 | 2 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5 J 19123 | 10 | 10 | 480 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5 J 20118 | 10 | 10 | 520 | 1 | 10/20/05 | 10/20/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-7022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1OI1180 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 006 (IOJ1180-01)-Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $10 / 18 / 200509: 19$ | $10 / 18 / 200514: 20$ | $10 / 18 / 2005$ | $16: 30$ | $10 / 18 / 200517: 52$ |

[^19]| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 10J1180 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS



## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 0.109 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| Lead | 0.0450 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |

LCS Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BS1)

| Antimony | 77.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 97 | 85-115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 81.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 102 | 85-115 |
| Copper | 77.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 97 | 85-115 |
| Lead | 81.2 | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 |  | 102 | 85-115 |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5119098-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 10J1156-01 |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 84.7 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ugl | 80.0 | 0.18 | 106 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 84.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 83.0 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 79.1 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.32 | 98 | 70-130 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave., Sute 100, Iwine, CA 92674 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) $370-1046$ 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) $505-8596$ FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51 st St. Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-6043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. *3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Report Number: 1011180 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ <br> Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting | MDL | Units | Spike | Source | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result |  | MDL | Units |  |  | \%REC |  |  |  |  |

Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MS2) |  |  | 59.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 86.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.29 | 108 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cadmium | 84.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.072 | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 84.8 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 4.8 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 80.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.53 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 1OJ1156-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 85.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.18 | 107 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 84.4 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 83.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.9 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.32 | 99 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOJ1180 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1011180 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101  <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly  |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5J20118 Extracted: 10/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 993 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 99 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: $10 J 1$ | 175-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 344 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 340 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5J24050 Extracted: 10/24/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 16.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | mg l | 20.0 |  | 80 | $65-120$ |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 16.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 | 0 | 20 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager
17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297
1014 E. Cooley Dt., Sute A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046
9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX ( 858 ) 505-9689
9830 South 51st St, Suite 8-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851
2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 99120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Jl180}$ | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOI1180-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | mg/l | 0.29 | 4.8 | 15 |
| 1OII180-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ug/ | 0.42 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| IOJ1180-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | ug/ | 0.47 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| IOJ1180-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 41 | 1.0 | 150 |
| 1OJ1180-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/ | 16 | 2.0 | 14 |
| IOJ1180-01 | Mercury - 245.1 | Mercury | ug/l | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 1OJ1180-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 3+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 7.90 | 0.52 | 10.00 |
| IOJ1180-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 23 | 1.0 | 250 |
| 1O11180-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 480 | 10 | 850 |
| IOJ1180-01RE1 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/l | 16 | 2.0 | 14 |

[^20]| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Report Number: 1011180 | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: 1011180

# Certification Summary 

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Pace Analytical, MN- SUB
1700 Elm Street, Ste 200 - Minneapolis, MN 55414
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOJ1180-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 1OI1180-01

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager
199


1700 Elm Street
Minneapolis, MN 55414
Phone: 612.607.1700 Fax: 612.607.6444


The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report.

Project: Chemical Analysis

PROJECT: PCDD/PCDF ANALYSES
ISSUED TO: Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Attn: Michele Harper
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763 1021765, 1021766, 1021907. 1021908, 1021910, 1021911. 1021912, 1021959

## INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results from the analyses performed on twelve samples submitted by a representative of Del Mar Analytical, Irvine. The samples were analyzed for the presence or absence of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs) using a modified version of USEPA Method 1613B

## SAMPLEIDENTIFICATION

| Client ID | Sample Type | Date Received | PACE ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1OJ1181-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021758001 |
| 10J1176-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021760001 |
| 1OJ1186-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021761001 |
| 10J1180-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021763001 |
| 10J1184-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021765001 |
| IOJ1177-01 | Water | 10/19/05 | 1021766001 |
| 1OJ1234-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021907001 |
| 1OJ1232-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021908001 |
| 1OJ1231-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021910001 |
| 1OJ1235-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021911001 |
| 1OJ1236-01 | Water | 10/20/05 | 1021912001 |
| 10J1337-01 | Water | 10/21/05 | 1021959001 |

## RESULTS

The results are included in the following:

> Appendix A - Documentation Appendix B - Sample Analysis Results Appendix C - QC and Calibration Results Appendix D - Sample Chromatograms and Raw Data Appendix E - Calibration Chromatograms and Raw Data Appendix F - QC Chromatograms and Raw Data $$
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DATE: November 17, 2005
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## DISCUSSION

Two sets of results were provided, at the request of Del Mar Analytical, for sample 1OJ1337-01. In the initial (11/03/2005) extraction batch for this sample, elevated recoveries were obtained for selected native congeners in the associated lab spike samples, most likely due to contamination. The second (11/08/2005) extraction batch showed good recoveries for the native congeners in the lab spikes. However, the results obtained from the analyses of the two extracts of the field sample were dissimilar. The initial sample results, associated with the contaminated lab spikes, were significantly lower than the repeat sample results, those associated with the compliant lab spikes samples.

The recoveries of the isotopically-labeled PCDD/PCDF internal standards in the sample extracts ranged from $34-108 \%$. All of the labeled standard recoveries obtained for these projects were within the target ranges specified in Method 1613B. Also, since the quantification of the native 2,3,7,8substituted congeners was based on isotope dilution, the data were automatically corrected for variation in recovery and accurate values were obtained.

In some cases, the presence of interfering substances impacted the determinations of PCDD or PCDF congeners. The affected values were flagged "l" where incorrect isotope ratios were obtianed, or " $E$ " where polychlorinated diphenyl ethers were present.

A laboratory method blank was prepared and analyzed with each sample batch as part of our routine quality control procedures. The results, found at the beginning of Appendix C , show the blanks to contain trace levels of selected PCDD and PCDF congeners. These were below the calibration range of the method. Sample levels similar to the corresponding blank levels were flagged " B " and may be, at least partially, attributed to the background. In general, levels less than ten times the background are not considered to be statistically different from the background.

Laboratory spike samples were also prepared with the sample batches using clean water that had been fortified with native standard materials. The results show the spiked native compounds in LCS8224 and LCSD-8225 were recovered at $88-109 \%$, with relative percent differences of $0.0-12.2 \%$. These results indicate high degrees of accuracy and precision for these determinations. Four native recovery values LCS-8209 and LCSD-8210 were above the target ranges; the affected values were flagged " P " on the results tables and may indicate high biases for these congeners in the associated sample (the initial extract of IOJ1337-01).
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## REMARKS

The sample extracts will be retained for a period of 15 days from the date of this report and then discarded unless other arrangements are made. The raw mass spectral data will be archived on magnetic tape for a period of not less than one year. Questions regarding the data contained in this report may be directed to the author at the number provided below.

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

(612) 607-6383
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Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
1700 Elm Street - Suite 200 Minneapolis, MN 55414

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results <br> Client - Del Mar Analytical

Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracred
ICAL Date
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID
LCS-8224
F51109C_03
1050 mL
$10 / 22 / 2005$
F51109C 02
BLANK-8223

| Matrix | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 2005 \quad 00: 34$ |
| injected By | BAL |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rec. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 50.6 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 101 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 45.9 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 43.9 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 94 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 94 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 48.1 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 48.2 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.5 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.3 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 46.2 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 50.2 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 100 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 52.6 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 105 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 44.9 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 90 |
| OCDF | 100 | 92.1 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 92 |
| OCDD | 100 | 93.3 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 93 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37Cl4 | 10 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 71 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 100 | 60.6 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 61 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 68.3 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 64.1 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 62.8 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 81.7 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 82 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.6 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 64 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.7 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.8 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.7 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 65.7 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 66 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 67.5 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 68.4 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 62.9 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 76.3 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 76 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 117.9 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 59 |

$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked (ng/mL)
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$.)
Rec. $=$ Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, 10/94 Revision
$\mathrm{X}=$ Background subtracted value
$P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis Report No..... 1021758

* $=$ See Discussion
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Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 1700 Em Street - Suite 200 Minneapolis. MN 55414

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Client - Del Mar Analytical

| Lab Sample ID | LCSD-8225 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Filename | F51109C_04 |
| Total Amount Extracted | 1040 mL |
| ICAL Date | $10 / 22 / 2005$ |
| CCal Filename | F51109C 02 |
| Method Blank ID | BLANK-8223 |


| Matrix | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 2005 \quad 01: 21$ |
| Injected By | BAL |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower <br> Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rec. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 91 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 101 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 51.1 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 102 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 51.8 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 46.1 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 49.5 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 99 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 49.5 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 99 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 50.6 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 101 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 48.0 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 52.0 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 54.3 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 109 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 51.8 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 51.9 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 54.5 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 109 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 47.3 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 95 |
| OCDF | 100 | 93.1 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 93 |
| OCDD | 100 | 97.2 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 97 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37C14 | 10 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 69 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 100 | 55.7 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 56 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 62.3 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 62 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 57.8 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 58 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 54.6 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 55 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 68.6 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 69 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 61.8 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 62 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.8 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 59.4 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 59 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 61.4 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 58.6 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 59 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 67.0 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 66.7 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 62.2 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 62 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 74.8 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 75 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 122.3 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 61 |

$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. $=$ Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, $10 / 94$ Revision
$X=$ Background subtracted value
$P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis Report No..... 1021758

* $=$ See Discussion
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Client. $\qquad$ Del Mar Analytical

| SPIKE 1 ID................. ........... LCS-8224 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPIKE 1 Filename.......................F51109C_03SPIKE 2 ID............................. LCSD-8225SPIKE 2 Filename..................F51109C_04 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lccc} & \text { SPIKE 1 } & \text { SPIKE 2 } \\ \text { COMPOUND } & \text { REC,\% } & \\ & \text { REC,\% }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 2378-TCDF | 95 | 91 | 4.3 |
| 2378-TCDD | 95 | 101 | 6.1 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 101 | 102 | 1.0 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 12378-PeCDD | 88 | 92 | 4.4 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 123678-HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 96 | 101 | 5.1 |
| 123789-HxCDF | 96 | 96 | 0.0 |
| 123478-HxCDD | 97 | 104 | 7.0 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 97 | 109 | 11.7 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 100 | 104 | 3.9 |
| 1234789-HipCDF | 105 | 109 | 3.7 |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 90 | 95 | 5.4 |
| OCDF | 92 | 93 | 1.1 |
| OCDD | 93 | 97 | 4.2 |

REC $=$ Percent Recovered
$R P D=$ The difference between the two values divided by the average.
NA $=$ Not Applicable
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## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOJ1180

| SENDING LABORATORY: |
| :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |
| 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 |
| Irvine, CA 92614 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 |
| Project Manager. Michele Harper |

## RECEIVING LABORATORY:

Pace Analytical, MN- SUB
1700 Elm Street, Ste 200
Minneapolis, MN 55414
Phone :(612) 607-1700
Fax: (612) 607-6444

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $=>$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$

| Analysis | Expiration | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sample ID: IOJ1180-01 | Water | Sampled: 10/18/05 09:19 |
| 1613-Dioxin-HR | 10/25/0509:19 | Instant Nofication |
| EDD + Level 4 | $11 / 15 / 0509: 19$ | J flags, 17 congeners,no TEQ,ugh, sub=Pace-MN |

## Containers Supplied:

1 L Amber (1011180-01C)
IL Amber (JOII 180-01D)
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## Section 14

Outfall 006, October 18, 2005
AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA
AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method General Minerals

Package ID T711WCI78
Task Order 313150010 SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analvses 5


ACTION ITEMS*
Case Narrative
Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables

${ }^{4}$ Subcoukracted analytical laborutory ir not mesting contruct and/or method recpuinements.
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## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS

# SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1231, IOJ1232, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1186 

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street, Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method $S M 2540 C$, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procediures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R^{\prime}$ data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Project: NPDES Monitoring SDG No.: Multiple Anniysis: General Minerals

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory DD | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOI1231-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 010 | IOI1232-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 006 | IOI1180-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 007 | IOI1184-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Ouffall 009 | IOI1186-01 | Water | General Minerals |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory persomel and accounted for the samples and all analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Tinses

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times for all analyses were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Target compounds were not detected in the associated method blanks. Raw data was reviewed to verify the blank data. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in these SDGs.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for analyses without an MS/MSD. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as " J " values and amnotated with the qualification code of "BNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.
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Level IV Validated
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CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA AMEC Earth \& Enviroumental

## 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard

Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Pace - Minneapolis
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Dioxins/Furans by Method 1613B

Package ID T711DF50
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 8
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# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS
SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1181, IOJ1176, IOJ1186, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1177, IOJ1232, IOJ1231


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review. November 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Valdation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ " data quatifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID ( ${ }^{\text {ace }}$ ) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 008 | IOIL181-01 | 1021758001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 005 | $1011176-01$ | 1021760001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOII186-01 | 1021761001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOII180-01 | 1021763001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | $10 \mathrm{Il184-01}$ | 1021765001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | $1011177-01$ | 1021766001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | $1011232-01$ | 1021908001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | 1011231-01 | 1021910001 | water | 1613 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Pace for dioxin/firan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the case narrative and laboratory login sheet, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Pace had no custody seals present for samples IOJ1232-01 and 10I1231-01. All other samples had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ENSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Cohumn Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

| DUEA VILIRATKON RAPORE | Project: SDG Na: Anmyinis | NPDES Muluple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $10 / 22 / 05$ for instrument $F$. The calibration consisted of five concentration level standards (CS1 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (Blank 8223) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. Target compounds $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD}$ and OCDF were reported in method blank 8223 at concentrations of 0.0000041 and $0.0000068 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. An interference with OCDD was also reported in method blank 8223. Any detects for these target compounds $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UI," in the site samples of this SDG. Detects for total dioxin and furan isomers at concentrations $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "U,", in the associated samples. In instances where the total concentration included peaks not present in the method blank as well as the method blank contamination, the total concentration was considered estimated, " $\mathrm{J}_{2}$ " as a portion of the total concentration was considered blank contamination. There were no other target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (LCS/LCSD 8224/8225) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

| DIEA WELDITHONREPORT | Pruject: SDONE: Amalyits: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND DENTIIICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. However, the laboratory was experiencing sporadic cross-contamination problems which they attributed to incomplete glassware cleaning procedures. Two samples, Outfall 009 and outfall 010, exhibited atypical target compound detects. These samples were rejected in favor of a reanalysis at another laboratory that was not experiencing contamination problems. This was done to ensure the target compound detects were representative of site conditions and not laboratory cross-contamination. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTHFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, "J ${ }_{3}$ " by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.
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# DATA VALDDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: METALS

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS IOJ1231, IOJ1232, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1186

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street, Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT Monitoring |  |
| DAT | SDG No: <br> Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Program Contrat Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: Multiple<br>Project Manager. P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 3<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 18, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procechure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods 200.8 for ICP. MS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Projerct | NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALDATION REPORT | SDG No: Analysis: | Multiple <br> METALS |

Table 1. Sample identification

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clien ID | Laboratory D | Matrix | COC Method |
| Outfall 003 | IOn1231-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 010 | ION1232-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 006 | IOn1180-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 007 | ION1184-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 009 | 10N1186-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |


| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: SDG No.: Analysis: | NPDES Moaitoring Multiple MEIALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 2. DATA VALDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLEMANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28-days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required for ICP-MS tuming.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with this SDG and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results were nondetects at the reporting linit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in qualification of the data with the exception of cadmium in the method blank. Cadmium was qualified as a nondetect, "U," in the sample from Outfall 006. No firther qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG Na.: <br> Analysis: | Multiple <br> METALS |

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP/MS analyses. The recoveries were within the control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples and mercury LCS samples as reported on the LCS on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs. No qualification was required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for all analyses. No qualification was required.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION



### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALDDATION REPORT | NPDES Monitoring <br> SDGNo: |
| Multiple |  |

of the original analysis. Resalts reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as "J" values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.




Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

# APPENDIX G 

## Section 15

Outfall 006, November 09, 2005
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (859) 505-9596 fAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51st St, Suite 8-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. 43, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 006

Sampled: 11/09/05
Received: 11/09/05
Issued: 01/20/06 17:37

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, I page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

| LABORATORY ID | CLIENT ID | MATRIX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOK0903-01 | Outfall 006 | Water |

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

7461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, INine, CA 92614 (949) 267-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX $\{909) 370$-1046
 9830 South Sist St., Sulte B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-6857 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 IOK0903 | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |


| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 1OK0903-01 (Outfall 006 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.36 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 2 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 | RL-1, J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.030 | 2.0 | 0.91 | 2 | 11/16/05 | 11/17/05 | RL-1, J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.98 | 4.0 | 34 | 2 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.080 | 2.0 | 29 | 2 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5K17098 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.89 | 1 | 11/17/05 | 11/17/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 1OK0903-01RE1 (Outfall 006 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5K19049 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 28 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/21/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5K22081 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.90 | 1 | 11/17/05 | 11/22/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 K 0903$ | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOK0903-01 (Outfall 006 - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 49 | 5 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |
| Nitrate/Nitrite- $\mathbf{N}$ | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.072 | 0.26 | 4.9 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5K14056 | 0.99 | 5.3 | ND | 1 | 11/14/05 | 11/14/05 |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 31 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5K16116 | 10 | 10 | 550 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5K10088 | 10 | 10 | 710 | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/10/05 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006

Report Number: 10 K 0903

Sampled: 11/09/05
Received: 11/09/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $11 / 09 / 200513: 06$ | $11 / 09 / 2005$ | $18: 00$ | $11 / 09 / 2005$ | $23: 30$ |$\quad 11 / 10 / 200501: 30$

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: IOK0903 Received: 11/09/05

Sampled: 11/09/05

## METHOD BLANKOCDATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5K16096 Extracted: 11/16/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.025 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | 1.20 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Lead | 0.129 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |

LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-BS1)

| Antimony | 75.0 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ugh | 80.0 | 94 | $85-115$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 85.7 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ugh | 80.0 | 107 | $85-115$ |
| Copper | 82.7 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugd | 80.0 | 103 | $85-115$ |
| Lead | 82.4 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 103 | $85-115$ |


| Matrix Sp |  | MS |  |  |  | e: IOK | 18-0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 76.3 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.060 | 95 | 70-130 |
| Cadmiam | 86.0 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ugd | 80.0 | ND | 108 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 80.0 | 2.7 | 96 | 70.130 |
| Lead | 79.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.070 | 100 | 70-130 |


| Matrix S | 005 | S |  |  |  | : 10K | 2-03 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 75.0 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.096 | 94 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 86.5 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.11 | 108 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 107 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 80.0 | 34 | 91 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 77.7 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80,0 | 0.22 | 97 | 70-130 |

## Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 11/16/2005-1 1/17/2005 (5K16096-MSD1)

| Antimony | 75.6 | 2.0 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 0.060 | 94 | $70-130$ | 1 | 20 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 86.4 | 1.0 | 0.025 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | ND | 108 | $70-130$ | 1 | 20 |
| Copper | 78.0 | 2.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 80.0 | 2.7 | 94 | $70-130$ | 2 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.7 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.070 | 100 | $70-130$ | 0 | 20 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200  Sampled: 11/09/05 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 Report Number: IOK0903 Received: 11/09/05 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly   |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQCDATA

## METALS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 | Sampled: 11/09/05 <br> Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

## METHOD BLANKQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Data | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5K22081 Extracted: 11/22/05

LCS Analyzed: 11/22/2005 (5K22081-BS1)


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Invine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cootey Dr, Sutue A, Cotor, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) $370-1046$

## Del Mar Analytical

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 K 0903$ | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## MEIHOD BLANKIOC BATA

## INORGANICS



[^22]| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOK0903 | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | $\mathbf{R P D}$ <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5K14056 Extracted: 11/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 17.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 86 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 17.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 87 | 65-120 | 2 | 20 |  |

Batch: 5K16116 Extracted: 11/16/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BLK1)

| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / l$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BS1)

| Total Dissolved Solids | 988 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 | 99 | $90-110$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Duplicate Analyzed: $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 6 / 2 0 0 5}$ | (5K16116-DUP1) |  |  |  | Source: $\mathbf{1 0 K 0 9 0 4 - 0 1}$ |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 196 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 200 | 10 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: $10 K 0903$ Received: 11/09/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte |  |  | Compliance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit |  |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: $10 K 0903$ Received: 11/09/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
RL-1 Reporting limit raised due to sample matrix effects.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

[^23]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: 10 K 0903 | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |  |

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1613A1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD+Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

```
Alta Analytical NELAC Cert \#02102CA, California Cert \#1640, Nevada Cert \#CA-413
    1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
        Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
        Samples: 1OK0903-01
    Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
        Samples: FOK0903-01
```


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager
Del Mar Analytical varionorytros CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM


## ALTA

December 11, 2005

## Alta Project I.D.: 27029

Ms. Michele Chamberlin
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Chamberlin,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on December 08, 2005 under your Project Name "IOK0903". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section I) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.


# Martha M. Maier <br> Director of HRMS Services 




## Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

# Section I: Sample Inventory Report Date Received: $\quad 12 / 8 / 2005$ 

## Alta Lab, ID

27029-001

Client Sample ID
IOK0903-01

## SECTION II

Method Blank
EPA Method 1613

| Matrix: Aqueous <br> Sample Size: 1.000 L |  | QC Batch No.: <br> Date Extracted: |  |  |  | ample: <br> Analyzed DB-5: | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text {-MB001 } \\ & \text { 9-Dec-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Date | alyzed DB-225: | : NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte Con | g/L) | DL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Quali |  | Labeled Standa |  | \%R | LCLUCL ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Oualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | 0.00000105 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TC |  | 79.8 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.000000893 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8- | DD | 81.3 | 25-181 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.00000158 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8 | $\times C D D$ | 75.1 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.00000149 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8 | xCDD | 77.1 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.00000154 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7 | HpCDD | 70.9 | 23-140 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | 0.00000172 |  |  |  | 13C-OCDD |  | 56.0 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDD | ND | 0.00000585 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TC | , | 79.9 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.000000899 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8- | CDF | 73.7 | 24-185 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.00000135 |  | \% |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{P}$ | CD | 76.2 | 21-178 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.00000117 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8 | xCDF | 70.8 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.000000723 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8 | $\times \mathrm{CDF}$ | 74.2 | 26.123 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.000000682 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8 | xCDF | 73.5 | 28-136 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.000000824 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9 | xCDF | 76.6 | 29-147 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.00000132 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6, | HpCDF | 68.4 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | 0.000000743 |  |  |  | 13C-1, 2,3,4,7,8 | HpCDF | 72.8 | 26-138 | \% \% |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.000000947 |  |  |  | 13C-OCDF |  | 59.0 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDF | ND | 0.00000230 |  |  | CRS | 37C1-2,3,7,8-TC | ${ }^{4}$ | 97.0 | 35-197 |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | Footnotes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total TCDD | ND | 0.00000105 |  |  | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. <br> b. Estimated maximum possible corcentration. <br> c. Method detection limit. <br> d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDD * | ND | 0.000000893 |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | 0.00000154 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | ND | 0.00000172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total TCDF | ND | 0.000000899 | 54\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDF | ND | 0.000000593 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDF | ND | 0.000000861 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | 0.000000833 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.

D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

E The reported value exceeds the calibration range of the instrument.
H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.

I
Chemical interference

J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration

DL Sample-specific estimated Detection Limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration

NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point

ND Not Detected

TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

## CERTIFICATIONS

| Accrediting Authority | Certificate Number |
| :--- | :--- |
| State of Alaska, DEC | CA413-02 |
| State of Arizona | AZ0639 |
| State of Arkansas, DEQ | $05-013-0$ |
| State of Arkansas, DOH | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of California - NELAP Primary AA | 02102 CA |
| State of Colorado |  |
| State of Connecticut | PH-0182 |
| State of Florida, DEP | E87777 |
| Commonwealth of Kentucky | 90063 |
| State of Louisiana, Health and Hospitals | LA050001 |
| State of Louisiana, DEQ | 01977 |
| State of Maine | CA0413 |
| State of Michigan | 81178087 |
| State of Mississippi | Reciprocity through CA |
| Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center |  |
| State of Nevada | CA413 |
| State of New Jersey | CA003 |
| State of New Mexico | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of New York, DOH | 11411 |
| State of North Carolina | 06700 |
| State of North Dakota, DOH | R-078 |
| State of Oklahoma | D9919 |
| State of Oregon | CA200001-002 |
| State of Pennsylvania | $68-00490$ |
| State of South Carolina | 87002001 |
| State of Tennessee | 02996 |
| State of Texas | TX247-2005A |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |  |
| State of Utah | Commonwealth of Virginia |
| State of Washington | 00013 |
| State of Wisconsin | C1285 |
| State of Wyoming | 898036160 |
|  | 8 MS |

 *




SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOK0903

| SENDING LABORATORY: | RECEIVINGLABORATORY: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine | Aita Analytical - SUB |
| 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 | 1104 Windield Way |
| Irvine, CA 92614 | E1 Dorado Fills, CA 95762 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 | Phone: :(916) 933-1640 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 | Fax: (916) 673-0106 |
| Project Manager: Michele Chambertin |  |

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $m$ Dae Date: $\qquad$ Initiale:

| Analysis |  | 1 xpiration | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample m: 10K0903-01 | Water | Sampled: 1109/05 13:06 | Instant Nofication |
| 1613-Dioxin-HR |  | 11/1605 13.06 | I flage, 17 congeners,no TEQug/L,subwPace-MN |
| $\mathrm{EDD}+$ Level 4 |  | 12/07\%05 13:06 | Excel EDD email to ym, include Std logs for Lvi IV |
| Containers Supplied: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 11. Amber (10K0903-01D) |  |  |  |



Alta Project \#:




Comments:

# APPENDIX G 

Section 16<br>Outfall 006, November 09, 2005<br>AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA
AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 Sourth Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Dioxins/Furans by 1613

Package ID T711DE51
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Mulitple
No. of Analyses 8
Date: December 22, 2005


## ACTION ITMMS"

| Case Narrative |
| :--- | :--- |
| Deficiencies |

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables $\qquad$


# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1186, IOJ1232, IOK0899, IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

## Prepared by

|  | Project: SDO Na: | NPDES Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DUTA VALIDITIONREPOR | Analyair | DF |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project SDONa: Anslysiar | NPDES Multiple DF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DALA VILIDATIONREPORT |  |  |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Lahoratory ID (Del Mar) | Laboratory 1 D <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ontfall 009 | 1011232-01 | 26994-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 010 | 10n186-01 | 26993-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 018 | 10K0899-01 | 27025-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 003 | 10K0900-01 | 27026-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | 10K0901-01 | 27027-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 005 | IOK0902-01 | 27028-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 006 | 10K0903-01 | 27029-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | 10K0904-01 | 27030-001 | water | 1613 |


|  |  | NPDES <br> Mulliple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DUTA VALIMATION REPORT | Anmyeis |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/firan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or slightly below for some of the samples. As none of the samples was noted to be damaged or frozen, no qualifications were required. According to the case narratives and laboratory login sheets, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Alta had no custody seals. The EPA Ds were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.


### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $6 / 06 / 2005$. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS1 through CS6) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibrations were acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( $0-7516-\mathrm{MB} 001$ ) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. No target compounds were detected in the method blank and no qualifications were required. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike (OPR 0-7516-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: SDGNa: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPDES } \\ & \text { Multiople } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATI VALIDATTONREPORT | Anlyitr | DF |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted with the exception of a false positive in Outfall 005 for $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$. The sample was a nondetect Conifrmation for $2,3,7,8$-TCDF detected in samples Outfall 004, Outfall 005, and Outfall 006 was not performed; therefore, $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDF}$ was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, " J, " by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "U." No further qualifications were required.

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


# amec ${ }^{9}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Sampling

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS:<br>IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

Prepared by<br>AMEC - Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street<br>Lakewood, CO 80226

|  | Project: <br> OATA VALIOATIONREPORT |
| :--- | :--- |
| SDG: | Mulfiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Titte: NPDES Sampling<br>MEC ${ }^{\text {X }}$ Project Number: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group: IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 4<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 20, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), US EPA Method 200.8 for ICP-MS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form 1 as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Propect <br> DATA VALDATION REPORT <br> SDOES <br> Mutliple |
| :--- | :--- |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | lOK0900-01 | Water | 200.8245 .1 |
| Outfall 003RE1 | IOK0900-01RE1 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 004 | IOK0901-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 005 | loK0902-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 005RE1 | IOK0902-01RE1 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 008 | IOK0903-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 000RE | 1 OK0903-01RE1 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 006RE2 | IOK0903-01RE2 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 009 | IOK0904-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |


| NPDES |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VAIDATHON REPORI | Propect: |
| SDG: | Nuliple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples in these SDG were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs.

Antimony in Outfall 003, copper in Outfall 005, and antimony and mercury in Outfall 006 were reanalyzed to confirm the original results. The laboratory did not appended the client IDs with "RE" suffices; therefore, the reviewer added these to the Form is. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP-MS metals and 28 -days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP-MS metals and 80 120\% for mercury The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with these SDGs and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

|  | Propec: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALDATION REPORT | SOG: Nulighe |  |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Mercury was reported in method blank 5K17098-BLK1 at $-0.072 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$; therefore, mercury in Outfall 003, Outfall 004, and Outfall 005 was qualified as estimated, "J," for detects and, "UJ," for nondetects. The remaining method blank and CCB results associated with the retained analyses were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in data qualification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS AAB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were performed in association with the Outfall 003 selenium analysis. The recoveries were within the control limits. No other ICSA or ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. No qualifications were required

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP-MS and mercury LCS sample results were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MSMSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Evaluation of laboratory accuracy was based on LCS results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 ICPMS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

| NPDES |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA V/AIDATION REPORT | Project: |
| SDG: Muliple |  |

### 2.10 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICPMS, the ICP/MS intemal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Some target analytes were reported from dilution analyses due to matrix interference. Reporting limits and MDLs were adjusted accordingly. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J," with the annotation of "DNQ," in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit.

Antimony in Outfall 003, copper in Outfall 005, and antimony and mercury in Outfall 006 were reanalyzed to confirm the original results. As the original results were all confirmed, the results for Outfall 003RE1, Outfall 005RE1, Outfall 006RE1, and Outfall 006RE2 were rejected, "R," in favor of the original results. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.12 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.12.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with these samples.
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# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Sampling

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS:<br>IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street
Lakewood, CO 80226

DATA VALIDATON REPORT \begin{tabular}{l}

Project | NPDES |
| :---: |
| NuLliple | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Sampling<br>AMEC Project Number:<br>Sample Delivery Group:<br>Project Manager:<br>Matrix:<br>Analysis:<br>QC Level:<br>313150010<br>IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904<br>P. Costa<br>Water<br>General Minerals<br>Level IV<br>No of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions:<br>0<br>Reviewer:<br>Date of Review:<br>E. Wessling<br>December 20, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for General Minerals (DVP-6, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Methods 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM5540-CMOD, and validation guidelines outined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form is as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Clien ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | CoC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOK0900-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 004 | $10 K 0901-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 005 | $10 K 0902-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 006 | $10 k 0903-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 009 | IOK0904-01 | Water | General Minerals |


| DATA VALDATION REPORT |
| :--- |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ No sample preservation, handing, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times were met and no qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

The blank results associated with the analyses were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly less than the sample detects so as not to result in data qualification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project:NPDES <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT |
| :--- | :--- |

### 2.5 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

A laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on Outfall 009 for TDS. The \%D was less than the laboratory-established control limit of $10 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as estimated, " $J_{2}$ " with the annotation of "DNQ." in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit .No further qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.

## Del Mar Analytical


Lever IV

Del Mar Analytical Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

## APPENDIX G

## Section 17

Outfall 007, October 18, 2005
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

17467 Durian Ave., Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr, Suite A, Cotton, CA 92324 (90913 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 007

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05
Issued: 01/20/06 15:33

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOJ1184-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 007

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager
17467 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297
1014 E . Cooley Dr., Suree A, Colton, CA $92324\{909) 370-4667$ fAX $\{909\}$ 370-1046
9484 Chesapeake Dr., Stite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689
9830 South 51st St, Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851
2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Report Number: 1011184

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05

| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 1OJ1184-01 (Outfall 007 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.050 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | $5 J 19098$ | 0.025 | 1.0 | 0.80 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 | B, J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5 J 19098 | 0.25 | 2.0 | 19 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 20 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5 J 9052 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 | J |
| Sample ID: 1OJ1184-01RE1 (Outfall 007 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.050 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 11/07/05 |  |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.25 | 2.0 | 20 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 11/07/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: Routine Outfall 007 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Report Number: IOJ1184 | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |

Report Number: IOII184

## INORGANICS

|  |  |  | MDL | Reporting | Sample | Dilution | Date | Date | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | Limit | Limit | Result | Factor | Extracted | Analyzed | Qualifiers |

Sample ID: IOJ1184-01 (Outfall 007 - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/l

| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | $5 J 18043$ | 0.75 | 2.5 | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 5 | $10 / 18 / 05$ | $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | $5 J 18043$ | 0.080 | 0.15 | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | 1 | $10 / 18 / 05$ | $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 J 24050 | 0.89 | 4.7 | ND | 1 | $10 / 24 / 05$ | $10 / 24 / 05$ |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | $5 J 18043$ | 0.45 | 0.50 | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 1 | $10 / 18 / 05$ | $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5 J 19123 | 10 | 10 | $\mathbf{4 3 0}$ | 1 | $10 / 19 / 05$ | $10 / 19 / 05$ |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | $5 J 20118$ | 10 | 10 | $\mathbf{6 7 0}$ | 1 | $10 / 20 / 05$ | $10 / 20 / 05$ |

17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Cotron, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) $370-1046$ 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Sutte 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 fAX (858i 505-9689 9830 South 51se St, Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 fAX (480) 785 -0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. *3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798. 3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 007 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOJ1184 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101  <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly  |  |  |

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 007 (IOJ1184-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $10 / 18 / 200508: 56$ | $10 / 18 / 200514: 20$ | $10 / 18 / 2005$ | $16: 30$ | $10 / 18 / 2005$ |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 007 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 10J1184 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKQC DATA

## METALS



## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BLK1)


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261 -1022 FAX (949) 260.3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suute A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (258) 505-8596 FAX $\{8589$ 505-9689 9830 South 57 st St, Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Surset Rd. *3, Las Vegas, NV 99120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 007 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1OJ1184 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source |  | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MS2) |  |  | Source: 1OJ1159-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 86.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.29 | 108 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cadmium | 84.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.072 | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 84.8 | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 80.0 | 4.8 | 100 | $70-130$ |  |  |
| Lead | 80.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.53 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10J1156-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 85.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.18 | 107 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 84.4 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 83.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.9 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.32 | 99 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 007 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 Report Number: $10 J 1184$ | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



Batch: 5J19123 Extracted: 10/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19123-BLK1)

| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

LCS Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19123-BS1)
Total Dissolved Solids 1000

Duplicate Analyzed: 10/19/200S (5J19123-DUP1)
Total Dissolved Solids
289
$10 \quad 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager
17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, ifvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX 9949 260-3297
1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Cotton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX $\{909$ ) $370-1046$
9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 \&AX (858) 505-9689
9830 South 51st St, Suite B-720, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851
2520 E. Sunset (9. *3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 007 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200  Sampled: <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 Report Number: IOJ1184 Received: <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly   |  |  |

## MEIHOD BLANKICC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | $\% \text { REC }$ | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5, 20118 Extracted: 10/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 993 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 99 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: $10 J$ | 175-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 344 | 10 | 10 | mg/ |  | 340 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5J24050 Extracted: 10/24/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5324050-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease $\quad 16.1$ | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 16.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 | 0 | 20 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager
17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, Ivine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297
1014 E Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909\} 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046
9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 80S, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689
9830 South 51s St, Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-085
2520 E. Sunset Rd. *3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX ${ }^{(702)} 798-3621$

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Report Number: IOJ1184
Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1O1184-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 0.38 | 4.7 | 15 |
| 10J1184-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ug/ | 6.20 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| IOJ1184-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | ug/ | 0.80 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| 1OJ1184-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 51 | 2.5 | 150 |
| 10J1184-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/ | 19 | 2.0 | 14 |
| IOII184-01 | Mercury -245.1 | Mercury | ug/ | 0.100 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| IOI1184-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 3+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 7.40 | 0.15 | 10.00 |
| [OI1184-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 33 | 0.50 | 250 |
| IOJ1184-01 | TDS - SM 2540 C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 430 | 10 | 850 |
| IOJ1184-01RE1 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ug/ | 6.20 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| 1OJ1184-01RE1 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/ | 20 | 2.0 | 14 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Ill84} \quad$ Received: 10/18/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, Invine, CA 92614 (9497) 251-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., 5ute A, Colkon, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite B05, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (850) 505 m 968 9830 South 51st St., Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0951 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \%3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 007

Sampled: 10/18/05
Report Number: IOJ1184 Received: 10/18/05

# Certification Summary 

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Pace Analytical, MN- SUB
1700 Elm Street, Ste 200 - Minneapolis, MN 55414
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: 1OII184-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: $10 \mathrm{I} 1184-01$

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager


Pace Antilytiv/ Sarvicas, inc.
1700 Em Streat
Minneapolis, MN 55414
Phone: 612.607.1700
Fax: 612.607.6444


The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report.

Project: Chemical Analysis
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PROJECT: PCDDIPCDF ANALYSES
ISSUED TO: Del Mar Analytical, Invine
Attn: Michele Harper
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763 1021765, 1021766, 1021907, 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results from the analyses performed on twelve samples submitted by a representative of Del Mar Analytical, Irvine. The samples were analyzed for the presence or absence of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs) using a modified version of USEPA Method 1613B

## SAMPLEIDENTIFICATION

## Client ID

1OJ1181-01
1OJ1176-01
IOJ1186-01
1OJ1180-01
1OJ1184-01
1OJ1177-01
IOJ1234-01
IOJ1232-01
IOJ1231-01
IOJ1235-01
1OJ1236-01
1OJ1337-01

Sample Type
Water
Water
Water
Water
Water
Water
Water
Water
Water
Water
Water
Water

Date Received
10/19/05
10/19/05
10/19/05
10/19/05
10/19/05
10/19/05
10/20/05
10/20/05
10/20/05
10/20/05
10/20/05
10/21/05

PACE ID
1021758001
1021760001
1021761001
1021763001
1021765001
1021766001
1021907001
1021908001
1021910001
1021911001
1021912001
1021959001

## RESULTS

The results are included in the following:

> Appendix A - Documentation Appendix B - Sample Analysis Results Appendix C - QC and Calibration Results Appendix D - Sample Chromatograms and Raw Data Appendix E - Calibration Chromatograms and Raw Data Appendix F - QC Chromatograms and Raw Data $$
\quad \text { REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS }
$$

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full. without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

REPORT OF: CHEMICAL ANALYSES

PROJECT: PCDDIPCDF ANALYSES
PAGE: 2

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763, 1021765, 1021766, 1021907, 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## DISCUSSION

Two sets of results were provided, at the request of Del Mar Analytical, for sample 1OJ1337-01. In the initial (11/03/2005) extraction batch for this sample, elevated recoveries were obtained for selected native congeners in the associated lab spike samples, most likely due to contamination. The second (11/08/2005) extraction batch showed good recoveries for the native congeners in the lab spikes. However, the results obtained from the analyses of the two extracts of the field sample were dissimilar. The initial sample results, associated with the contaminated lab spikes, were significantly lower than the repeat sample results, those associated with the compliant lab spikes samples.

The recoveries of the isotopically-labeled PCDD/PCDF internal standards in the sample extracts ranged from $34-108 \%$. All of the labeled standard recoveries obtained for these projects were within the target ranges specified in Method 1613B. Also, since the quantification of the native 2,3,7,8substituted congeners was based on isotope dilution, the data were automatically corrected for variation in recovery and accurate values were obtained.

In some cases, the presence of interfering substances impacted the determinations of PCDD or PCDF congeners. The affected values were flagged "I" where incorrect isotope ratios were obtianed, or "E" where polychlorinated diphenyl ethers were present.

A laboratory method blank was prepared and analyzed with each sample batch as part of our routine quality control procedures. The results, found at the beginning of Appendix $C$, show the blanks to contain trace levels of selected PCDD and PCDF congeners. These were below the calibration range of the method. Sample levels similar to the corresponding blank levels were flagged " $B$ " and may be, at least partially, attributed to the background. In general, levels less than ten times the background are not considered to be statistically different from the background.

Laboratory spike samples were also prepared with the sample batches using clean water that had been fortified with native standard materials. The results show the spiked native compounds in LCS8224 and LCSD-8225 were recovered at $88-109 \%$, with relative percent differences of $0.0-12.2 \%$. These results indicate high degrees of accuracy and precision for these determinations. Four native recovery values LCS-8209 and LCSD-8210 were above the target ranges; the affected values were flagged " $P$ " on the results tables and may indicate high biases for these congeners in the associated sample (the initial extract of IOJ1337-01).
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PAGE: 3

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763, 1021765, 1021766, 1021907. 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## REMARKS

The sample extracts will be retained for a period of 15 days from the date of this report and then discarded unless other arrangements are made. The raw mass spectral data will be archived on magnetic tape for a period of not less than one year. Questions regarding the data contained in this report may be directed to the author at the number provided below.

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
Scott 0. Unze


Project Manager, HRMS (612) 607-6383

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS
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Conc $=$ Concontration (Totals include 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers).
EMPC = Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
LOO = Limit of Detection. Totals are averages of individual tsomer LODs.
$\mathrm{D}=$ Result obtained from analysis of ciluted sample
$\mathrm{B}=$ Less than 10 times higner than method blank level
$P=$ Recovery outside of method 1813 control limits
$J=$ Concentration detected is below the callbration range
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis

I = interference $E=P C D E$ Interference ND $=$ Not Detected NA = Not Applicable NC = Not Calculated - $=$ See Discussion

Report No..... 1021765

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in fill, without the wrtten consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.
Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted
ICAL Date
CCal Filename(s)
BLANK-8223
F51109C_06
1030 mL
$10 / 22 / 2005$
F51109C_02

| Matrix | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 2005 \quad 02: 58$ |
| Injected By | BAL |


| Native Isomers | Cone $u g / L$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { EMPC } & \text { LOD } \\ u g h & u g h \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Internal Standards | ng's Added | Percent Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | $-0.0000023$ | 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 2.00 | 60 |
| Total TCDF | ND | - - - | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | 67 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 66 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | --0.0000021 | 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 71 |
| Total TCDD | ND | --- --. | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 2.00 | 87 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 69 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | $-0.0000031$ | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 69 |
| 2,3,4,7,8 PeCDF | ND | - 0.0000013 | 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 67 |
| Total PeCDF | ND | -- -- | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 68 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | $-0.0000018$ | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 73 |
| Total PeCDD | ND | - - - | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 66 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 60 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | $-0.0000016$ | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 2.00 | 78 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | - 0.0000016 | OCDD-13C | 4.00 | 62 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | --0.0000015 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | --0.0000024 | 1,2,3,4-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| Total HxCDF | ND | - - - | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | $-0.0000030$ | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37Cl4 | 0.20 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | --0.0000031 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | $-0.0000025$ |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | -- - |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | - 0.0000018 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | -0.0000023 |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | - - |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 0.0000041 | $-0.0000026$ |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | 0.0000041 | - - - |  |  |  |
| OCDF | 0.0000068 | -0.0000027 |  |  |  |
| OCDD | - | 00190.0000025 |  |  |  |
| Conc $=$ Concentration (Totals include 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers). |  |  |  | I = Interference |  |
| EMPC = Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration |  |  |  | $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{PCDE}$ Interference |  |
| LOD = Limit of Detection. Totals are averages of individual isomer LODs. NA |  |  |  | ND $=$ Not Delected |  |
| $A=$ Limit of Detection based on signal to noise |  |  |  | $N A=$ Not Applicable |  |
| $P=$ Recovery outside of method 1613 control limits |  |  |  | NC = Not Calculated |  |
| $\mathrm{N}=$ = Value obtained from additional analysis ** |  |  |  | * $=$ See Discussion |  |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Client - Del Mar Analytical
Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted
ICAL Date
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID

LCS-8224
F51109C_03 1050 mL 10/22/2005 F51109C 02 BLANK-8223

| Matrix | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 200500: 34$ |
| Injected By | BAL |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | \% <br> Rec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 50.6 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 101 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 45.9 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 43.9 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 94 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 94 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 48.1 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 48.2 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.5 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.3 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 46.2 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 50.2 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 100 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 52.6 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 105 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 44.9 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 90 |
| OCDF | 100 | 92.1 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 92 |
| OCDD | 100 | 93.3 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 93 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37C14 | 10 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 71 |
| $2,3,7,8-T C D F-13 C$ | 100 | 60.6 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 61 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 68.3 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 64.1 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 62.8 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 81.7 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 82 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.6 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 64 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.7 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.8 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.7 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 65.7 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 66 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 67.5 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 68.4 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 62.9 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 76.3 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 76 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 117.9 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 59 |

$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked (ng/mL)
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. $=$ Recovery (Exprissed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, $10 / 94$ Revision
$X=$ Background subtracted value
$P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{N} \mathrm{n}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis Report No..... 1021758

* $=$ See Discussion
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| PaceAnaly |  |  |  |  | tytical <br> m Stre neapo <br> Tel: <br> Fax: 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method 16138 Laboratory Control Spike Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client - Del Mar Analytical |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lab Sample ID <br> Filename <br> Total Amount Extrac:ed ICAL Date CCal Filename Method Blank ID | LCSD-82 <br> F51109C 1040 mL <br> 10/22/20 <br> F51109C <br> BLANK-8 |  | Matri <br> Dilutio <br> Extra <br> Analy <br> Injec | Wat <br> NA <br> 11/0 <br> 11/1 <br> BAL |  |
| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rec. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 91 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 101 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 51.1 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 102 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 51.8 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 46.1 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 49.5 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 99 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HXCDF | 50 | 49.5 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 99 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 50.6 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 101 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 48.0 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 52.0 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 54.3 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 109 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 51.8 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 51.9 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 104 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-4pCDF | 50 | 54.5 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 109 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 47.3 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 95 |
| OCDF | 100 | 93.1 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 93 |
| OCDD | 100 | 97.2 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 97 |
| 2,3,7,8TCDD-37C14 | $10$ | 6.9 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 69 |
| $2,3,7,8-T C D F-13 C$ | $100$ | $55.7$ | $220$ | $152.0$ | 56 |
| $2,3,7,8-T C D D-13 C$ | 100 | $62.3$ | 20.0 | $175.0$ | 62 |
| $1,2,3,7,8-\mathrm{PeCDF}-13 \mathrm{C}$ | 100 | 57.8 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 58 |
| $2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{PeCDF}-13 \mathrm{C}$ | 100 | 54.6 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 55 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 68.6 | 21.0 | $227.0$ | 69 |
| $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{CDF}-13 \mathrm{C}$ | 100 | 61.8 | 19.0 | $202.0$ | 62 |
| $1,2,3,6,7,8-H \times C D F-13 C$ | 100 | 63.8 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 59.4 61.4 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 59 |
| $1,2,3,4,7,8-H \times C D D-13 C$ | 100 | 61.4 58.6 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 51 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDO-13C | 100 | 67.0 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 66.7 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 62.2 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 62 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 74.8 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 75 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 122.3 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 61 |

$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked (ng/mL)
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered (ng/mL)
Rec. $=$ Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6. $10 / 94$ Revision
$X=$ Background subtracted value
$P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained frem additional analysis
Report No.... 1021758

* $=$ See Discussion
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Client $\qquad$ Del Mar Analytical

| SPIKE 1 ID............................. LCS-8224 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPIKE 1 Filename....................F51109C_03 |  |  |  |
| SPIKE 2 ID............................. LCSD-8225 |  |  |  |
| SPIKE 2 Filename....................F51109C_04 |  |  |  |
| COMPOUND | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPIKE } 1 \\ & \text { REC,\% } \end{aligned}$ | SPIKE 2 REC. \% | RPD,\% |
| 2378-TCDF | 95 | 91 | 4.3 |
| 2378-TCDD | 95 | 101 | 6.1 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 101 | 102 | 1.0 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 12378-PeCDD | 88 | 92 | 4.4 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 123678 -HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 96 | 101 | 5.1 |
| 123789-HxCDF | 96 | 96 | 0.0 |
| 123478-HxCDD | 97 | 104 | 7.0 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 97 | 109 | 11.7 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 100 | 104 | 3.9 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | 105 | 109 | 3.7 |
| 1234678 -HpCDD | 90 | 95 | 5.4 |
| OCDF | 92 | 93 | 1.1 |
| OCDD | 93 | 97 | 4.2 |

REC $=$ Percent Recovered
RPD $=$ The difference between the two values divided by the average.
$N A=\operatorname{Not}$ Applicable
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NPDES - 431

17461 Derfan Ave. Sulm ICG, Hvina, CA 92614




(4. (949) 201-4002 P牛 (900) 370-4607 (7n (619) $505-959$ P\% (480) 705-0003


## SENDING LAKORATORY:

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: (949) 261-1022
Fax: (949) 261-1228
Project Manager: Michele Harper

## RECEIVING LABORATORY:

Pace Analytical, MN- SUB
1700 Elm Street, Ste 200
Minneapolis, MN 55414
Phone :(612) 607-1700
Fax: (612) 607-6444

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Dae Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$


## Contuiners Supplied:

1 L Amber (IOII184-01C)
1 L Amber (1OII184-01D)


## APPENDIX G

## Section 18

Outfall 007, October 18, 2005
AMEC Data Validation Reports

## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA



# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS

# SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1231, IOJ1232, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1186 

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM2540C, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorgantc Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procediures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory DD | Matrix | COCMethod |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOI1231-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 010 | IOI1232-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 006 | IOI1180-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 007 | IOI1184-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 009 | IOI1186-01 | Water | General Minerals |


|  | Project: NPDES Monitoring |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALDATION REPORT | SDG No | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handing, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and all analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times for all analyses were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Target compounds were not detected in the associated method blanks. Raw data was reviewed to verify the blank data. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in these SDGs.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for analyses without an MS/MSD. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as "J" values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No firther qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Bianks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.

Project D: Routine Outfall 007
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## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS
SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1181, IOJ1176, IOJ1186, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1177, IOJ1232, IOJ1231

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Projex: SDONa.: | NPDES Mukiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALMATTONREPORT | Anatyis: | DF |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: Novernber 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

| DITA VALTMITON REPORT | Project: SDCNa: Analyali: | NPDES Multiple DF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID (Pace) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 008 | 1011181-01 | 1021758001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 005 | 10n1176-01 | 1021760001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | 1011186-01 | 1021761001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | $101180-01$ | 1021763001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | 1011184-01 | 1021765001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | 1011177-01 | 1021766001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfill 010 | 10n1232-01 | 1021908001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | 1011231-01 | 1021910001 | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: SDGNa: | npdes Mulitiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA WALIDITIONREPORT | Anclyit | DF |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handing, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Pace for dioxinffuran analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the case narrative and laboratory login sheet, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Pace had no custody seals present for samples IOI1232-01 and 1OI1231-01. All other samples had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

| DITK VALIDATYON REPORE | Project: SDCNo: Analyis: | npdes Mulitple $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $10 / 22 / 05$ for instrument $F$. The calibration consisted of five concentration level standards (CS1 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (Blank 8223) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. Target compounds $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD}$ and OCDF were reported in method blank 8223 at concentrations of 0.0000041 and $0.0000068 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$, raspectively. An interference with OCDD was also reported in method blank 8223. Any detects for these target compounds $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in the site samples of this SDG. Detects for total dioxin and furan isomers at concentrations $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UU," in the associated samples. In instances where the total concentration included peaks not present in the method blank as well as the method blank contamination, the total concentration was considered estimated, " J ," as a portion of the total concentration was considered blank contamination. There were no other target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (LCS/LCSD 8224/8225) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.


### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MSMSD analyses were not performed in this. SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND DENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/firans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. However, the laboratory was experiencing sporadic cross-contamination problems which they attributed to incomplete glassware cleaning procedures. Two samples, Outfall 009 and outfall 010, exhibited atypical target compound detects. These samples were rejected in favor of a reanalysis at another laboratory that was not experiencing contamination problems. This was done to ensure the target compound detects were representative of site conditions and not laboratory cross-contamination. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, " $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ " by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.
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NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: METALS

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS IOJ1231, IOJ1232, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1186

Prepared by

AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street, Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES Moniloring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDO No.: <br> Analysis: | Multiple <br> METALS |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Program Contrat Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dịutions: 3<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 18, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procechure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods 200.8 for ICPMS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP Nattonal Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Projest. NPDES Monitoring SDGNo.: Multiple Analysis: METALS

Table 1. Sample identification

| Clientid | Laboratory D | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | 1011231-01 | Water | 200.8/245.1 |
| Outfall 010 | IOII232-01 | Water | 200.8/245.1 |
| Outfall 006 | IOII $180-01$ | Water | 200.8/245.1 |
| Outail 007 | ronl184-01 | Water | 200.8/245.1 |
| Outfall 009 | $10 \mathrm{In} 186-01$ | Water | 200.8/245.1 |


| DATA YALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> SDG No.: <br> Analyeis: | NPDES Monitoring Multiple METALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 -days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required for ICP-MS tuming.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with this SDG and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in qualification of the data with the exception of cadmium in the method blank. Cadmium was qualified as a nondetect, "U," in the sample from Outfall 006. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALDATIONREPORT | SDGNo: |
| Multiple |  |

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CEECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP/MS analyses. The recoveries were within the control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples and mercury LCS samples as reported on the LCS on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs. No qualification was required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for all analyses. No qualification was required.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION



### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :---: |
| DPDES Monitaring |  |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDGNo.: |
| Maltiple |  |

of the original analysis. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as "J" values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.
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## APPENDIX G

## Section 19

Outfall 008, October 18, 2005

## Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 008

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05
Issued: 01/20/06 15:17

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, I page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
1OJ1181-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 008

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager


## Del Mar Analytical

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 008 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 J 1181$ | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OJ1181-01 (Outfall 008 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5118042 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 4.6 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5118042 | 0.072 | 0.26 | 0.95 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | $5 J 21043$ | 0.89 | 4.7 | ND | 1 | 10/21/05 | 10/21/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 J 18042 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 14 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5119123 | 10 | 10 | 270 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5 J 20118 | 10 | 10 | 1300 | 1 | 10/20/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Sample ID: IOJ1181-01 (Outfall 008-Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate | EPA 314.0 | 5 S 19053 | 0.80 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number. $10 \mathrm{Jll181}$. Received: 10/18/05

Sampled: 10/18/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 008 (IOJ1181-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $10 / 18 / 2005$ | $09: 41$ | $10 / 18 / 2005$ | $14: 20$ |

17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, invine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX 8858 ) 505-4589 9830 South 51st St, Suite 8-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E . Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) $798-3621$

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 008 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: IOJ1181 | Received: 10/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## MIETHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

# 17461 Derian Ave, Sutte 100, invine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046 <br> 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51st St, Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset fd. F3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621 

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 008 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOJ1181 |

## METHOD BLANKGC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Oualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result |  | MDL | Units |  |  | \%REC |  | RPD |  |  |

Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5.119098-MS2) |  |  | 9-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 86.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.29 | 108 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cadmium | 84.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.072 | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 84.8 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 4.8 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 80.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.53 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 1OJ1156-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 85.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.18 | 107 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 84.4 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 83.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.9 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.32 | 99 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS



Batch: 5J18042 Extracted: 10/18/05
Blank Analyzed: 10/18/2005 (5J18042-BLK1)


Batch: 5J19053. Extracted: 10/19/05
Blank Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19053-BLK1)


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

# 17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, Ifvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX 9099 ) $370-1046$ 

Del Mar Analytical

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 008 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOJ1181 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit |

Batch: 5J19123 Extracted: 10/19/05
Blank Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19123-BLK1)
Total Dissolved Solids ND

LCS Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19123-BS1)
Total Dissolved Solids 1000

Duplicate Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19123-DUP1)
Total Dissolved Solids 289
Batch: 5J20118 Extracted: 10/20/05
Blank Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-BLK1)
Total Suspended Solids ND

LCS Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-BS1)
Total Susperided Solids 993

Duplicate Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-DUP1)
Total Suspended Solids 344
$10 \quad 10$
mg/
$10 \quad 10$

| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 | 100 | $90-110$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Source: $\mathbf{\text { IOJ0932-01 }}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 280 |  |  |

3
10

## Batch: 5J21043 Extracted: 10/21/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/08/2005 (5J21043-BLK1)
Oil \& Grease ND

LCS Analyzed: 11/08/2005 (5J21043-BS1)
Oil \& Grease 14.5
$5.0 \quad 0.94 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

484

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 008 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1011181 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## INORGANICS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5.J21043 Extracted: 10/21/05

LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/08/2005 (5J21043-BSD1)

| Oil \& Grease | 14.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 | 70 | $65-120$ | 3 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 008 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Report Number: 1OJ1181 Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ <br> Pasadena, CA 91101  Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |  |  |

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

|  |  |  | Compliance |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Limit |
| IOI1181-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.47 | 4.7 | 15 |
| IOI1181-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 4.60 | 0.50 | 150 |
| IOI1181-01 | Nitrogen, NO3+NO2-N | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.95 | 0.26 | 8.00 |
| IOI1181-01 | Perchlorate 314.0 | Perchlorate | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 0 | 4.0 | 6.00 |
| IOJ1181-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 14 | 0.50 | 300 |
| IOJ181-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 270 | 10 | 950 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number: 10 Jl 181

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit ( RL ) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008

|  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: 1011181 | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 314.0 | Water | N/A | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

## Pace Analytical, MN- SUB

1700 Elm Street, Ste 200 - Minneapolis, MN 55414
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: $101181-01$
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: $10 \mathrm{In} 181-01$
1

$$
\begin{array}{c|}
\hline \text { Bottic * } \\
\hline 1 \\
\hline 1 \mathrm{~A} \\
\hline 1 \mathrm{~B} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline 3 A, 3 B \\
\hline 4 A, 4 B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 4 A, 4 B \\
\hline 5 A, 5 B \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
5 A, 5 B
$$
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| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Description }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Matrix }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Container } \\ \text { Type }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Eof } \\ \text { Cont. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outaill 008 | W | Poly-1L | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Ouffal } \\ \text { Dup }\end{array}$ | W | Poly-1L | 1 |
| Outfall 008 | W | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Glass- } \\ \text { Amber }\end{array}$ | 2 |
| Outfall 008 | W | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Poly-500 } \\ \text { ml }\end{array}$ | 2 |
| Outfall 008 | W | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Poly-500 } \\ \text { ml }\end{array}$ | 2 |
| Outfall 008 | W | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Class- } \\ \text { Amber }\end{array}$ | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |

$+$

Pace Anatylical Services, me. 1700 Em Street Minneapolis, MN 55414


The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report.

Project: Chemical Analysis

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full. without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.


## PROJECT:

ISSUED TO: Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Attn: Michele Harper
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92614

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763 1021765, 1021766, 1021907. 1021908, 1021910, 1021911. 1021912, 1021959

## INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results from the analyses performed on twelve samples submitted by a representative of Del Mar Analytical, Ivine. The samples were analyzed for the presence or absence of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs) using a modified version of USEPA Method 1613B

## SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

Client ID
Sample Type
Date Received
PACE ID

| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021758001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021760001 |
| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021761001 |
| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021763001 |
| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021765001 |
| Water | $10 / 19 / 05$ | 1021766001 |
| Water | $10 / 20 / 05$ | 1021907001 |
| Water | $10 / 20 / 05$ | 1021908001 |
| Water | $10 / 20 / 05$ | 1021910001 |
| Water | $10 / 20 / 05$ | 1021911001 |
| Water | $10 / 20 / / 05$ | 1021912001 |
| Water | $10 / 21 / 05$ | 1021959001 |

## RESULTS

The results are included in the following:

```
Appendix A - Documentation
Appendix B - Sample Analysis Results
Appendix \(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{QC}\) and Calibration Results
Appendix D - Sample Chromatograms and Raw Data
Appendix E - Calibration Chromatograms and Raw Data
Appendix F-QC Chromatograms and Raw Data
REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS
```
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PROJECT:
PAGE: 2

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763, 1021765, 1021766, 1021907. 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## DISCUSSION

Two sets of results were provided, at the request of Del Mar Analytical, for sample IOJ1337-01. In the initial (11/03/2005) extraction batch for this sample, elevated recoveries were obtained for selected native congeners in the associated lab spike samples, most likely due to contamination. The second (11/08/2005) extraction batch showed good recoveries for the native congeners in the lab spikes. However, the results obtained from the analyses of the two extracts of the field sample were dissimilar. The initial sample results, associated with the contaminated lab spikes, were significantly lower than the repeat sample results, those associated with the compliant lab spikes samples.

The recoveries of the isotopically-labeled PCDD/PCDF internal standards in the sample extracts ranged from $34-108 \%$. All of the labeled standard recoveries obtained for these projects were within the target ranges specified in Method 1613B. Also, since the quantification of the native 2,3,7,8substituted congeners was based on isotope dilution, the data were automatically corrected for variation in recovery and accurate values were obtained.

In some cases, the presence of interfering substances impacted the determinations of PCDD or PCDF congeners. The affected values were flagged "l" where incorrect isotope ratios were obtianed, or " $E$ " where polychlorinated diphenyl ethers were present.

A laboratory method blank was prepared and analyzed with each sample batch as part of our routine quality control procedures. The results, found at the beginning of Appendix C, show the blanks to contain trace levels of selected PCDD and PCDF congeners. These were below the calibration range of the method. Sample levels similar to the corresponding blank levels were flagged " $B$ " and may be, at least partially, attributed to the background. In general, levels less than ten times the background are not considered to be statistically different from the background.

Laboratory spike samples were also prepared with the sample batches using clean water that had been fortified with native standard materials. The results show the spiked native compounds in LCS8224 and LCSD-8225 were recovered at 88-109\%, with relative percent differences of 0.0-12.2\%. These results indicate high degrees of accuracy and precision for these determinations. Four native recovery values LCS-8209 and LCSD-8210 were above the target ranges; the affected values were flagged " P " on the results tables and may indicate high biases for these congeners in the associated sample (the initial extract of IOJ1337-01).
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Pace Analytical Services, the
1700 Em Street Minneapolis, MN 55414 Phone: 612.607.1700 Fax: 612.607.6444

PROJECT: PCDD/PCDF ANALYSES
PAGE: 3

DATE: November 17, 2005
REPORT NO: 05-1021758, 1021760, 1021761, 1021763, 1021765, 1021766, 1021907, 1021908, 1021910, 1021911, 1021912, 1021959

## REMARKS

The sample extracts will be retained for a period of 15 days from the date of this report and then discarded unless other arrangements are made. The raw mass spectral data will be archived on magnetic tape for a period of not less than one year. Questions regarding the data contained in this report may be directed to the author at the number provided below.

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.


Project Manager, HRMS
(612) 607-6383
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Conc $=$ Concentration (Totals incuxde 2,3,7,8-substiuted isomers).
EMPC = Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
$L O D=$ Limft of Detection. Totals are averages of individual isomer LODs.
$D=$ Result obtained from analysis of diluted sample
$\mathrm{B}=$ Less than 10 times higher than method blank level
$P=$ Recovery outside of mathod 1613 control limits $J=$ Concentration detectec is below the calibration range $\mathrm{N} n=$ Value obtained from additional analysis
$1=$ interference
$E=P C D E$ interference
ND $=$ Not Detected
NA = Not Applicable
NC = Not Calculated

* = See Discussion

Report No..... 1021758
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## Method 1613B Blank Analysis Results

Client - Del Mar Analytical

Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracied
ICAL Date
CCal Filename(s)
BLANK-8223
F51109C_06
1030 mL
$10 / 22 / 2005$
F51109C_02

| Matrix | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |  |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 2005$ | $02: 58$ |
| Injected By | BAL |  |


| Native Isomers | Conc ugh | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { EMPC } & \text { LOD } \\ u g h & u g / L \end{array}$ | Internal Standards | ng's Added | Percent Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | -0.0000023 | 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 2.00 | 60 |
| Total TCDF | ND | --- - - - | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | 67 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 66 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | $-0.0000021$ | 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 71 |
| Total TCDD | ND | --. -- | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 2.00 | 87 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 69 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | $-0.0000031$ | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 69 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | ----0.0000013 | 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 67 |
| Total PeCDF | ND | -- -- | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 68 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | $-0.0000018$ | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 73 |
| Total PeCDD | ND | - - - | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 68 |
|  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 60 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | -0.0000016 | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 2.00 | 78 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | --0.0000016 | OCDD-13C | 4.00 | 62 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | --0.0000015 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | $-0.0000024$ | 1,2,3,4-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| Total HxCDF | ND | - -- | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | -0.0000030 | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37C14 | 0.20 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,67,8-HxCDD | ND | - 0.0000031 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | -0.0000025 |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | - - |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | $-0.0000018$ |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | -0.0000023 |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | - - - |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 0.0000041 | - 0.0000026 |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | 0.0000041 | - -- |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCDF } \\ & \text { OCDD } \end{aligned}$ | $0.0000068$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000027 \\ 000190.0000025 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Conc $=$ Concentration (Totals include 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers). $\quad 1=$ |  |  |  | I = Interference <br> E = PCDE Interference |  |
| EMPC = Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration |  |  |  |  |  |
| $L O D=$ Limit of Detection. Totals are averages of individual isomer LODs. ND |  |  |  | ND $=$ Not Detected |  |
| $A=$ Limit of Detection based on signal to noise NA |  |  |  | NA = Not Applicable |  |
| $P=$ Recovery outside of method 1613 control limits$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis |  |  |  | NC = Not Calculated |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis $\quad *=$ See Discussion |  |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS
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Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
1700 Em Street - Suite 200 Minneapolis, MN 55414

Tel: 612-607-1700
Eax: 612-607-6444

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Client - Del Mar Analytical
Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracied
ICAL Date
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID

LCS-8224
F51109C_03 1050 mL . 10/22/2005 F51109C 02 BLANK-8223

| Matrix | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diution | NA |
| Extracted | $11 / 08 / 2005$ |
| Analyzed | $11 / 10 / 200500: 34$ |
| Injected By | BAL |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Rec. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 95 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 50.6 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 101 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 45.9 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 43.9 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 94 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47.2 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 94 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 48.1 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 48.2 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.5 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 48.3 | 38.0 | 87.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 46.2 | 32.0 | 81.0 | ${ }_{100}^{92}$ |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 50.2 | 41.0 | 61.0 690 | 105 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 52.6 | 39.0 35.0 | 69.0 70.0 | 90 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HPCDD | 50 100 | 44.9 92.1 | 35.0 63.0 | 170.0 | 92 |
| OCDF | 100 100 | 92.1 93.3 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 93 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37C14 | 10 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 71 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C. | 100 | 60.6 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 61. |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 68.3 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 68. |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 64.1 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 62.8 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 81.7 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 82 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.6 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 64 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 63.7 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 64 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HXCDF-13C | 100 | 60.8 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 60.7 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 65.7 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 66 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDO-13C | 100 | 67.5 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 68 |
| $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDF}-13 \mathrm{C}$ | 100 | 68.4 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 68 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 62.9 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 63 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 76.3 1179 | 26.0 | 166.0 397.0 | 76 59 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 117.9 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 5 |

$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked (ng/mL)
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. = Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit References: Method 1613, Table 6, $10 / 94$ Revision
$x=$ Background subtracted value
$P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{N} n=$ Value obtained from additional analysis Report No..... 1021758

* $=$ See Discussion
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$\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. $=$ Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, 10/94 Revision
$X=$ Background subtracted value
$P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis Report No..... 1021758

* $=$ See Discussion


## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the witten consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.

Client. $\qquad$ Del Mar Analytical

| Client............ Del Mar Analytical |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPIKE 1 ID............................ LCS-8224 |  |  |  |
| SPIKE 1 Filename......... ............F51109C_03 |  |  |  |
| SPIKE 2 ID............................. LCSD-8225 |  |  |  |
| SPIKE 2 Filename........ ............F51109C_04 |  |  |  |
| COMPOUND | SPIKE 1 REC.\% | SPIKE 2 REC, \% | RPD,\% |
| 2378-TCDF | 95 | 91 | 4.3 |
| 2378-TCDD | 95 | 101 | 6.1 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 101 | 102 | 1.0 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 12378-PeCDD | 88 | 92 | 4.4 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 123678-HxCDF | 94 | 99 | 5.2 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 96 | 101 | 5.1 |
| 123789-HxCDF | 96 | 96 | 0.0 |
| 123478-HxCDD | 97 | 104 | 7.0 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 97 | 109 | 11.7 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 92 | 104 | 12.2 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 100 | 104 | 3.9 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | 105 | 109 | 3.7 |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 90 | 95 | 5.4 |
| OCDF | 92 | 93 | 1.1 |
| OCDD | 93 | 97 | 4.2 |

REC = Percent Recovered
RPD $=$ The difference between the two values divided by the average.
$N A=$ Not Applicable

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in tull, wifhout the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, inc.

47451 Define Ave Sulla 100，in vine，CA 92844
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## SUBCONTRACT ORDER－PROJECT \＃IOJ1181



Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date： $\qquad$ Initials： $\qquad$


## Containers Supplied：

1 L Amber（IOJ1181－01C）
IL Amber（1011181－01D）


## APPENDIX G

## Section 20

Outfall 008, October 18, 2005
AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID T711MT94
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 3
Date: December 18. 2005


## ACTIONITEMS ${ }^{-}$

- Case Narrative
Deficiencies

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis Qualifications were assigned for the following:

Protocol, eg.,

- Blank contamination

Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/hast. Performance

- Sample resulls betiween the MDL and RL were estimated

Calibration
Method blanks
Surrognter
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Stmodard Performance
Compound Identification
Quantiation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{6}$

[^25]
# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: METALS

# SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS IOJ1176, IOJ1177, IOJ1181 

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street, Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: NPDES Monitaring |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Multiple |
| DATA VALDATION REPORT | SDGNO: | Analysis: |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Titte: NPDES Monitoring Program<br>Coutrat Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Leval IV<br>No. of Samples: 3<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 2<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review. December 18, 2005

Thie samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procechure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods 200.8 for ICPMS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DATA YALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> NPDES Monitoring <br> SDG No: |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory DD | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 005 | IOI1176-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 004 | IOI1177-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 008 | IOI1181-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |


|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT |
| :--- | :--- |
| SDG No.: Monitoring |  |
| Multiple |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28-days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 1CPMS TUNIING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required for ICP-MS tuning.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with this SDG and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in qualification of the data with the exception of cadmium in the method blank. Cadmium was qualified as a nondetect, "U," in the sample from Outfall 004. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA YALDATHON REPORT Monitaing |  |
| SDG No: | Multiple |

### 2.5 ICP INTERKERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP/MS analyses. The recoveries were within the control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICPMS LCS samples and mercury LCS samples as reported on the LCS on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs. No qualification was required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for all analyses. No qualification was required.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, fiumace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10ICPMMS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Reanalyses were performed for copper and or mercury in some site samples. In all cases the reanalyses confirmed the original analysis. The reanalyses were rejected in favor

Project NPDES Monitoring SDG No: $\quad$ Multiple Annlysis: METALS
of the original analysis. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as "J" values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Enviroumental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Perchlorate
ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Package ID T711WC180
Task Order 313150010 SDG No. 1011181
No. of Analyses 1
Date: December 12, 2005
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Deliverables
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Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
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System Performance


- Subcontracted analytical laboratiory is not meeting contract and/or method requiramemits.
- Differences in protocol have been ndopted by the laberatory bur no action appinat the tabortory is recuirad


## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALDDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: PERCHLORATE

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOJ1181

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street, Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOJ1181<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Perchlorate<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 3<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 314.0, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 008 | IOJ1181-01 | Water | Perchlorate |


|  | Project: NPDES Monitoring |
| :--- | ---: |
| D.TTA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: |
|  | IOIII8le |
| Analysis: | Perchlornte |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the sample and analysis presented in this SDG. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The 28 -day analytical holding time was met for the perchlorate analysis. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were
required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

 blank data. No qualifications were required.
### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in this SDG.
DATA VALIDATIONREPORT
SDG No.:
IOIL181e
Perchlorate

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in association with this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in association with this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results since there was no MS/MSD analyses. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of this sample; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analysis presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample result reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with this SDG.

MWH-Pasadena/Bocing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number: 1011181

Sampled: 1018/05
Received: 10/18/05

## INORGANIC

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data Batch Limit Limit Result Factor Extracted Analyzed Qualifiers

Sample ID: 1OJI181-01 (Outfall 008 - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg $h$
Chloride
Nitrate/Nitrite-N
Oil \& Grease
Sulfate
Total Dissolved Solids
Total Suspended Solids

| EPA 300.0 | $5 J 18042$ | 0.15 | 0.50 | 4.6 | 1 | $10 / 18 / 05$ | $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 300.0 | $5 J 18042$ | 0.072 | 0.26 | 0.95 | 1 | $10 / 18 / 105$ | $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| EPA 413.1 | $5 J 21043$ | 0.89 | 4.7 | ND | 1 | $10 / 21 / 05$ | $10 / 21 / 05$ |
| EPA 300.0 | 5118042 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 14 | 1 | $10 / 18 / 05$ | $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| SM2540C | $5 J 19123$ | 10 | 10 | 270 | 1 | $10 / 19 / 05$ | $10 / 19 / 05$ |
| EPA 160.2 | $5 J 20118$ | 10 | 10 | 1300 | 1 | $10 / 20 / 05$ | $10 / 20 / 05$ |

Sample D: 1OJ1181-01 (Outfall 008 - Water)
Reporting Units: ugh
Perchlorate
EPA 314.0
5119053
0.80
4.0

ND
$10 / 19 / 05 \quad 10 / 19 / 05$



* analysis not ralidoter.

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1181, IOJ1176, IOJ1186, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1177, IOJ1232, IOJ1231

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

| DAEA VALIDITION REPORT | Project: SDG Na: Analytur: | NPDEs DF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 1. INTRODUCIION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: November 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the Nattonal Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.


Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Pace) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 008 | IOI1181-01 | 1021758001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOI1176-01 | 1021760001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOI1186-01 | 1021761001 | water | 1613 |
| Outtall 006 | IOI1180-01 | 1021763001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | IOn1184-01 | 1021765001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 004 | IOI1177-01 | 1021766001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | $1011232-01$ | 1021908001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOJ1231-01 | 1021910001 | water | 1613 |


| DATA VALIDITIONREPORT | Project: SDCNa: Anabyis: | NPDES Multiple DF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Pace for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the case narrative and laboratory login sheet, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Pace had no custody seals present for samples IOJ1232-01 and 10I1231-01. All other samples had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Cohumn Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.


### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed 10/22/05 for instrument F. The calibration consisted of five concentration level standards (CSI through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequenco. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times ware within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2 .1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (Blank 8223) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. Target compounds $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD}$ and OCDF were reported in method blank 8223 at concentrations of 0.0000041 and $0.0000068 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. An interference with OCDD was also reported in method blank 8223. Any detects for these target compounds $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in the site samples of this SDG. Detects for total dioxin and furan isomers at concentrations $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in the associated samples. In instances where the total concentration included peaks not present in the method blank as well as the method blank contamination, the total concentration was considered estimated, "J ${ }_{n}$ " as a portion of the total concentration was considered blank contamination. There were no other target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were
required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (LCS/LCSD 8224/8225) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.


### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND DENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. However, the laboratory was experiencing sporadic cross-contamination problems which they attributed to incomplete glassware cleaning procedures. Two samples, Outfall 009 and outfall 010, exhibited atypical target compound detects. Thase samples were rejected in favor of a reanalysis at another laboratory that was not experiencing contamination problems. This was done to ensure the target compound detects were representative of site conditions and not laboratory cross-contamination. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, " J " by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.


Conc $=$ Concuntralion (Totats inctude 2,3,7,8-schasithtad lisomars).
$E M P C=$ Estifntad Maximum Posulte Concentration




$\mathrm{MD}=\mathrm{F}$ Not Doilectad NA $=$ No A Applitable
 NC = Nat Criteulatiad
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CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method General Minerals

Package ID T711WC179
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 3
Date: December 12. 2005
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# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ 1176, IOJ1177, IOJ1181

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street, Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: NPDES Monitoring |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: <br> Analysis: | Multiple General Minernis |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#\#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 3<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM2540C, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Project: NPDES Monitoring

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory DD | Matrix | COCMethod |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 005 | IOI1176-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 004 | IOI1177-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfal 008 | IOI1181-01 | Water | General Minerals |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and all analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times for all analyses were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Target compounds were not detected in the associated method blanks. Raw data was reviewed to verify the blank data. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in these SDGs.

|  | Project: NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDGNo.: |
| Multiple |  |
| Analy |  |

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for analyses without an MS/MSD: No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.






analysis not validated
Level IV Valiclaters

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

## APPENDIX G

## Section 21

Outfall 009, October 17, 2005
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 009

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05
Issued: 01/20/06 15:53

NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117
The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain(s) of Custody, 2 pages, are included and are an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
1OI1186-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 009

## MATRIX

Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: IOJ1186 Received: 10/18/05

Sampled: 10/18/05

## METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOJ1186-01 (Outfall 009 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | $5 J 19098$ | 0.050 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5J19098 | 0.025 | 1.0 | 9.2 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5119098 | 0.25 | 2.0 | 39 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5119098 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 260 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5119052 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 1011186 | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OJ1186-01 (Outfall 009 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/tR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5118042 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 7.5 |  | $10 / 8$ | 10/805 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5118042 | 0.080 | 0.15 | 1.1 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 J 24050 | 0.89 | 4.7 | ND | 1 | 10/24/05 | 10/24/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 J 18042 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 41 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5119123 | 10 | 10 | 260 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | $5 J 20118$ | 10 | 10 | 4000 | 1 | 10/20/05 | 10/20/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: IOJ1186
Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 009 (IOJ1186-01) -Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $10 / 8 / 200513: 17$ | $10 / 18 / 200514: 20$ | $10 / 18 / 2005$ | $16: 30$ | $10 / 18 / 2005$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 10/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 1011186 | Received: 10/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS



## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted; 10/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5119098-BLK1)

| Antimony | $\therefore \mathrm{ND}$ | 2.0 | 0.18 | ugh |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium |  | 0.109 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper |  | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| Lead |  | 0.0450 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |

LCS Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BS1)

| Antimony | 77.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 80.0 |  | 97 | 85-115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 81.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 102 | 85-115 |
| Copper | 77.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 97 | 85-115 |
| Lead | 81.2 | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 |  | 102 | 85-115 |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: IOJ1156-01 |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 84.7 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.18 | 106 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 84.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 83.0 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 79.1 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.32 | 98 | 70-130 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 10 II186. | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 |
| Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MS2) |  |  | Source: 10J1159-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 86.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.29 | 108 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cadmium | 84.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.072 | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 84.8 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 4.8 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 80.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.53 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10.11156-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 85.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.18 | 107 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 84.4 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 83.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.9 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ugl | 80.0 | 0.32 | 99 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1011186 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  |  |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHOD BLAVKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project LD: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $1011186 \ldots$ | Sampled: 10/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5320118 Extracted: 10/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J20118-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 993 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 99 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5320118-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: IOJ1 | 175-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 344 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 340 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5324050 Extracted: 10/24/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 16.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 16.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 | 0 | 20 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101. | Report Number: IOJ1186 | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

|  |  |  |  | Compliance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Limit |
| IOI1186-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.38 | 4.7 | 15 |
| IOI1186-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 7.50 | 0.50 | 150 |
| IOI186-01 | Nitrogen, NO3+NO2-N | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1.10 | 0.15 | 10.00 |
| IOJ1186-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 41 | 0.50 | 250 |
| IOJ1186-01 | TDS - SM 2540 C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 260 | 10 | 850 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 009

Report Number: 1011186

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

17461 Derian Ave, Sute 100, Invine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX $\{909) 370-1046$ 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 98.30 South 51st St., Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ, 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. *3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 1OII 186 | Received: |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

```
Alta Analytical NELAC Cert #02102CA, California Cert #1640, Nevada Cert #CA-413
    1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA }9576
    Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR-Alta
    Samples: 1ON186-01
    Analysis Performed: Level 4 + EDD
    Samples: FOJ186-01
```

ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS REQUEST FORM

Today's Date: $\qquad$ $11 / 29$ Del Mar Analytical Project Manager: $\qquad$ MC

Request via: $\qquad$ telephone: $\qquad$ chain of custody form $\qquad$ fax transmission $\qquad$ Email $\qquad$ other

Client: Mwh-pasadena/Boeing_Contact:Bronwunklly Project: Routine outfall 009
Date Sampled: $\qquad$ $10 / 18.105$

Date Received: $\qquad$
Status: $\qquad$ in progress $\qquad$ completed $\qquad$ received today $\qquad$ received yesterday $\qquad$ on hold $\qquad$ other

SAMPLE
NUMBER

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

ANALYSIS REQUESTED

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS
$1031186-01$ Outfulloo9 $1613-H R$ to Alta
$\qquad$

- ubcentract 11 comber presenied w/tc1, sund"1zalos
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

TURNAROUND STATUS: $\qquad$ Same Day $\qquad$ 24 hr $\qquad$ 48 hr $\qquad$ 3days
$\qquad$ 5days $\qquad$ Standard $\qquad$ No Rush Charge
CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM
Page 1 of 1


December 12, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 26994
Ms. Michele Chambertin
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Chambertin,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on November 30, 2005 under your Project Name "1011186". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was requested on December 06, 2005.

The results flagged with an asterisk were taken from a 1:10 dilution of the extract.
The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Mayer
Director of HRMS Services

# Section I: Sample Inventory Report Date Received: $\quad 11 / 30 / 2005$ 

Alta Lab. ID
26994-001

## Client Sample 1D

1OJ1186-01

## SECTION II



Analys: WJL


## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.

D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

E The reported value exceeds the calibration range of the instrument.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.

I Chemical interference

J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration

DL Sample-specific estimated Detection Limit

MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration

NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point

ND Not Detected

TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

## CERTIFICATIONS

|  | Certificte Numtry |
| :---: | :---: |
| State of Alaska, DEC | CA413-02 |
| State of Arizona | AZ0639 |
| State of Arkansas, DEQ | 05-013-0 |
| State of Arkansas, DOH | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of California - NELAP Primary AA | 02102CA |
| State of Colorado |  |
| State of Connecticut | PH-0182 |
| State of Florida, DEP | E87777 |
| Commonwealth of Kentucky | 90063 |
| State of Louisiana, Health and Hospitals | LA050001 |
| State of Louisiana, DEQ | 01977 |
| State of Maine | CA0413 |
| State of Michigan | 81178087 |
| State of Mississippi | Reciprocity through CA |
| Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center |  |
| State of Nevada | CA413 |
| State of New Jersey | CA003 |
| State of New Mexico | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of New York, DOH | 11411 |
| State of North Carolina | 06700 |
| State of North Dakota, DOH | R-078 |
| State of Oklahoma | D9919 |
| State of Oregon | CA200001-002 |
| State of Pennsylvania | 68-00490 |
| State of South Carolina | 87002001 |
| State of Tennessee | 02996 |
| State of Texas | TX247-2005A |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. |  |
| State of Utah | 9169330940 |
| Commonwealth of Virginia | 00013 |
| State of Washington | C1285 |
| State of Wisconsin | 998036160 |
| State of Wyoming | 8TMS-Q |

 t014 E Coomay De, Sum A, Cumen, CA ReSR4




## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOJ1186




## SAMPLE LOGIN CHECKLIST

Alta Project \#:
26994



| Preservation Info | (cos $)$ | Sample <br> Container | None |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shipping Container | Alta | Client | Retain | Return |

Comments:

## Section 22

## Outfall 009, October 17, 2005 AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS
SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1181, IOJ1176, IOJ1186, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1177, IOJ1232, IOJ1231

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCIION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: November 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Finnctional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

| DATA VALILMTON REPORT | Praject: SDG No: Analysis: | NPDES <br> Muliple D/F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client D | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Pace) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 008 | IOI1181-01 | 1021758001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | $1011176-01$ | 1021760001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | $101186-01$ | 1021761001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOI1180-01 | 1021763001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | IOI1184-01 | 1021765001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | $101177-01$ | 1021766001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | $1011232-01$ | 1021908001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOI1231-01 | 1021910001 | water | 1613 |


| Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: |
| SDONo.: | Mulitiole |
| Analysir: | D/F |
|  |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Pace for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the case narrative and laboratory login sheet, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Pace had no custody seals present for samples IOJ1232-01 and IOI1231-01. All other samples had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDCT No.: | NPDES <br> Multipie |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATTONREPORT | Analysis; | DFF |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $10 / 22 / 05$ for instrument $F$. The calibration consisted of five concentration level standards (CS1 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (Blank 8223) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. Target compounds $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD}$ and OCDF were reported in method blank 8223 at concentrations of 0.0000041 and $0.0000068 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. An interference with OCDD was also reported in method blank 8223. Any detects for these target compounds $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in the site samples of this SDG. Detects for total dioxin and furan isomers at concentrations sfive times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "U,, ${ }^{\text {" }}$ in the associated samples. In instances where the total concentration included peaks not present in the method blank as well as the method blank contamination, the total concentration was considered estimated "JJ" as a portion of the total concentration was considered blank contamination. There were no other target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (LCS/LCSD 8224/8225) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

## 2:8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND DENIIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. However, the laboratory was experiencing sporadic cross-contamination problems which they attributed to incomplete glassware cleaning procedures. Two samples, Outfall 009 and outfall 010, exhibited atypical target compound detects. These samples were rejected in favor of a reanalysis at another laboratory that was not experiencing contamination problems. This was done to ensure the target compound detects were representative of site conditions and not laboratory cross-contamination. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, "JJ" by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.
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CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1186, IOJ1232, IOK0899; IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

## Prepared by

AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.


Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client D | Laboratory D <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory D <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 009 | 1OI1232-01 | $26994-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | $101186-01$ | $26993-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 018 | 10K0899-01 | $27025-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOK0900-01 | $27026-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | 1OK0901-01 | $27027-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOK0902-01 | $27028-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | 1OK0903-01 | $27029-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOK0904-01 | $27030-001$ | water | 1613 |


| Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: |
| SDGNo.: | Multiple |
| Analysis: | DFF |
|  |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or slightly below for some of the samples. As none of the samples was noted to be damaged or frozen, no qualifications were required. According to the case narratives and laboratory login sheets, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Alta had no custody seals. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG No.: | NPDES Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA WALIDATHON REPORT | Andyuir: | D/F |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed 6/06/2005. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS1 tbrough CS6) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibrations were acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds. were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (0-7516-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. No target compounds were detected in the method blank and no qualifications were required. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike (OPR 0-7516-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: SDG No. Analyis: | NPDES Multiple D/F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATTON REPORT |  |  |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted with the exception of a false positive in Outfall 005 for $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$. The sample was a nondetect Confirmation for 2,3,7,8-TCDF detected in samples Outfall 004, Outfall 005, and Outfall 006 was not performed; therefore, 2,3,7,8-TCDF was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, "J," by the laboratory. These "J" values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.


CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


# $a m e c^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: METALS

# SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS IOJ1231, IOJ1232, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1186 

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street, Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Program<br>Contrat Task Order \# 313150010<br>SDG\#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 3<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 18, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods 200.8 for ICPMS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for horganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDG No.: | Multiple |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | METALS |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory D | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOI1231-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 010 | IOI1232-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 006 | IOI1180-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 007 | IOI1184-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 009 | 1OI1186-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 -days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 1CP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required for ICP-MS tuning.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with this SDG and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in qualification of the data with the exception of cadmuium in the method blank. Cadmium was qualified as a nondetect, " U ," in the sample from Outfall 006. No firther qualifications were required.

|  | Project: <br>  <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES Monitoring <br> SDGNo.: |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multiple |  |  |

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP/MS analyses. The recoveries were within the control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples and mercury LCS samples as reported on the LCS on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs. No qualification was required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for all analyses. No qualification was required.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furmace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS; the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION



### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project | NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDG No.: | Multiple |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Anulysis: | METALS |

of the original analysis. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as "J" values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.





| MWH-Pasadena/Bocing | Praject ID: Routive Ouffall 009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Averue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 10/1810S <br> Received: 10/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA. 91101 | Report Number: IOII186 | Received: 10/18, |
| Atuention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

METALS
Analyte Method

Sample ID: IOIL186-01 (Outfall 009 - Water) Reparting Units: $\mathrm{gg} / \mathrm{I}$
Antimony
Cedminm
EPA 200.8

Copper
Leai
EPA 200.8
EPA 200.8
EPA 200.8
Mercury
EPA. 245.1
METALS
Sampled: 10/18105

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date
Batch

Date Data Analyzed Quallifiers

| 5119098 | 0.050 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 1 | $10 / 19 / 05$ | $10 / 20 / 05$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5119098 | 0.025 | 1.0 | 9.2 | 1 | $10 / 19 / 05$ | $10 / 20 / 05$ |  |
| 5119098 | 0.25 | 2.0 | 39 | 1 | $10 / 19 / 05$ | $10 / 20 / 05$ |  |
| 5119098 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 260 | 1 | $10 / 19 / 05$ | $10 / 20 / 05$ |  |
| 5119052 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 1 | $10 / 19 / 05$ | $10 / 19 / 05$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lexel IV Valiclatad

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS

# SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1231, IOJ1232, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1186 

[^26]
## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM2540C, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOI1231-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 010 | IOI1232-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 006 | IOI1180-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 007 | IOI1184-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outall 009 | IOJ1186-01 | Water | General Minerals |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and all analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times for all analyses were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Target compounds were not detected in the associated method blanks. Raw data was reviewed to verify the blank data. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in these SDGs.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDG; therefore, no. assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for analyses without an MS/MSD. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as " $J$ " values and amnotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.

17401 Dean Ave, Suite 100, Imine, CA 22614 (949: 261-t022 FAX 1949; 260-329,


MWh-Pasadens/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: 1011186

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

## APPENDIX G

## Section 23

Outfall 009, November 09, 2005
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

# LABORATORY REPORT 

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 009

Sampled: 11/09/05
Received: 11/09/05
Issued: 12/07/05 20:03

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, I page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOK0904-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 009

## MATRIX

Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, truine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 fAX 909$) 370$-1046 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-9596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51 st St, Suite 8-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-9043 FAX (480) 785-8851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 K 0904$ | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |  |

METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1D: IOK0904-01 (Outfall 009 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.050 | 2.0 | 0.74 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 | J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | SK16096 | 0.025 | 1.0 | 0.071 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/17/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.25 | 2.0 | 6.4 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 | B |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5K17098 | 0.050 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 11/17/05 | 11/17/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin

484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51st St, Sufte E-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Surset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 790-3621

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009

|  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Report Number: $10 K 0904$ | Received: |
| $11 / 09 / 05$ |  |

Received: 11/09/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OK0904-01 (Ontfall 009 - Water) - cont.Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 11 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.080 | 0.15 | 0.90 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5K14056 | 0.89 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 1 | 11/14/05 | 11/14/05 | J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 38 | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5K16116 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5K10088 | 10 | 10 | 19 | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/10/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| $\qquad$ Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: 10 K 0904 | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Received: | $11 / 09 / 05$ |

Sampled: 11/09/05
Res.

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 009 (IOK0904-01)- Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $11 / 09 / 200513: 46$ | $11 / 09 / 200518: 00$ | $11 / 09 / 2005$ | $23: 30$ | 11/10/2005 02:00 |

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Inine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (999) 370-1046


## MEYLOD BLANHKOC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5K16096 Extracted: 11/16/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.025 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | 1.20 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugl |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Lead | 0.129 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |

LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005-11/17/2005 (5K16096-BS1)

|  | 75.0 | 2.0 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 94 | $85-115$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 85.7 | 1.0 | 0.025 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | $85-115$ |  |
| Cadmium | 82.7 | 2.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 107 | 103 |
| Copper | 82.4 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | $85-115$ |  |
| Lead |  |  |  |  |  | $85-115$ |  |


| Matrix S |  | M |  |  |  | : 10 K | 18-0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 76.3 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.060 | 95 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 86.0 | 1.0 | 0.025 | ug/1 | 80.0 | ND | 108 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 80.0 | 2.7 | 96 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 79.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.070 | 100 | 70-130 |



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number 10 K 0904 | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA




| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 10K0904 | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101. |  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Batch: 5K10088 Extracted: 11/10/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-BLK1)

| Total Suspended Solids | ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | 970 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 1000 | 97 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | Source: IOK0617~01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | 440 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  | 2 | 10 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 KO 0904 | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLIANKIOCDATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5K14056 Extracted: 11/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 17.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 20.0 |  | 86 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 17.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 87 | 65-120 | 2 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5K16116 Extracted: 11/16/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids : 988 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 99 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: IOK0 | 904-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 196 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 200 |  |  | 2 | 10 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave. Suite 100, trine, CA 92614 ( 949 ) 261-1022 fAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Sute A, Cotton, CA 92324 \{909) 370-4667 FAX 9009 370-1046 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite B05, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX $\{858\}$ 505-9689 9830 South 51st SL, Suite 8-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 795-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: IOK0904 | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

|  |  |  | Compliance |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Limit |
| IOK0904-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1.10 | 4.7 | 15 |
| IOK0904-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 11 | 0.50 | 150 |
| IOK0904-01 | Nitrogen, NO3+NO2 -N | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.90 | 0.15 | 10.00 |
| IOK0904-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 38 | 0.50 | 250 |
| IOK0904-01 | TDS - SM 2540 C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 200 | 10 | 850 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: IOK0904 Received: 11/09/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> Michele Chamberlin <br> Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009

|  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: 10 KO 004 | Received: |
|  | $11 / 09 / 05$ |

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | Califernia |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical NELAC Cert \#02102CA, California Cert \#1640, Nevada Cert \#CA-413
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOK0904-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 1OK0904-01

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager


December 11, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 27030
Ms. Michele Chambertin
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Chamberlin,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on December 08, 2005 under your Project Name "IOK0904". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

An " $A$ " qualifier indicates that the result is greater than the low point in the calibration curve, but lower than the EPA Method 1613 Minimum Level.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maser
Director of HRMS Services


Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

## Section I: Sample Inventory Report

 Date Received: 12/8/2005Alta Lab. ID

Client Sample ID
IOK0904-01

## SECTION II



| OPR Results |  |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix | Aqueous |  | QC Batch No.: | 7516 | Lah Sample: 0 -OPR001 <br> Date Analyzed DB-5: 9-Dec-05 |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: |  |  |
| Sample Size: | 1.000 L |  | Date Extracted: | 8-Dec-05 |  |  | NA |
| Analyte |  | Spike Conc. | Conc. ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | OPR Limits | Labeled Standard |  |  |  | \%R | LCLUCL |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD |  | $\therefore 10.0$ | . 10.0 | 6.7-15.8 | IS | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 81.6 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-P |  | 50.0 | 45.0 | 35-71 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 74.5 | 25-181 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8 | DD | 50.0 | \% 48.5 | 4\% $35-82$ | , is. | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 68.8 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8- | DD | 50.0 | 49.9 | 38-67 |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 69.2 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9 | DD | 50.0 | + 49.9 | 32-81 | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD |  | - 65.1 | 23-140 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7, | CDD | 50.0 | 50.6 | 35-70 | $13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCDD}$ |  | 51.0 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDD |  | 100 | 99.8 | 78-144 | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF |  | 85.7 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCD |  | 10.0 | 9.96 | 7.5-15.8 | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF |  | 74.5 | 24-185 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-P | 的爯 | 50.0 | 52.7 | 40-67 | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF |  | 72.8 | 21-178 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-P |  | 50.0 | 53.8 | 34-80 | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF |  | 63.4 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8 | F | 50.0 | 50.9 | , 36.67 | 13C-1, 2, 3,6,7,8-HxCDF |  | 60.1 | 26-123 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8 | CDF | 50.0 | 51.5 | 42-65 | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF |  | 68.0 | 28-136 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8 | DF | - 50.0 | - 50.7 | - $35-78$ | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,7,8,9-\mathrm{HxCDF}$. |  | 69.4 | 29.147 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9 | CDF | 50.0 | 49.6 | 39-65 | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDF}$ |  | 60.4 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7, | CDF ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - 50.0 | - 50.1 | 41-61 | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF |  | 65.4 | 26-138 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8, | PCDF | 50.0 | 51.4 | 39-69 | 13C-OCDF |  | 53.9 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDF | , | \% 100 | -98.6 | 63.170 | CRS $37 \mathrm{Cl}-2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ |  | 99.0 | 35-197 |  |

## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

E The reported value exceeds the calibration range of the instrument.
H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
1 Chemical interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated Detection Limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

## CERTIFICATIONS

| Accrediting Authorty | Certicate Namber |
| :--- | :--- |
| State of Alaska, DEC | CA413-02 |
| State of Arizona | AZ0639 |
| State of Arkansas, DEQ | $05-013-0$ |
| State of Arkansas, DOH | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of California - NELAP Primary AA | 02102 CA |
| State of Colorado |  |
| State of Connecticut | PH-0182 |
| State of Florida, DEP | E87777 |
| Commonwealth of Kentucky | 90063 |
| State of Louisiana, Health and Hospitals | LA050001 |
| State of Louisiana, DEQ | 01977 |
| State of Maine | CA0413 |
| State of Michigan | 81178087 |
| State of Mississippi | Reciprocity through CA |
| Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center |  |
| State of Nevada | CA413 |
| State of New Jersey | CA003 |
| State of New Mexico | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of New York, DOH | 11411 |
| State of North Carolina | 06700 |
| State of North Dakota, DOH | R-078 |
| State of Oklahoma | D9919 |
| State of Oregon | CA200001-002 |
| State of Pennsylvania | $68-00490$ |
| State of South Carolina | 87002001 |
| State of Tennessee | 02996 |
| State of Texas | TX247-2005A |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |  |
| State of Utah | Commonwealth of Virginia |
| State of Washington | 00013 |
| State of Wisconsin | C1285 |
| State of Wyoming | 898036160 |
|  |  |



## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOK0904



SAMPLE WTEGRIX:



Alta Project \#:
20030



Comments:

## APPENDIX G

## Section 24

Outfall 009, November 09, 2005
AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA
AMEC Earth \% Envirommental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Dioxins/Furans by 1613

## ACTIONITCMMS

## Package ID T711DF51

Task Order 313150010 SDG No. Mulitple
No. of Analyses 8


Case Narrative
Deffictencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Dellverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis Qualifications were assigned for the following:

Protocol, eg., -false positive
Holding Times -estimated values between the RL and MDL
OC/MS Tune/fist. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMEAYS'

* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting conatract and/or method requirrenentic



## amec ${ }^{\text {© }}$

# DATA VALDDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1186, IOJ1232, IOK0899, IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

## Prepared by

AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

| DATA VILIDITION REPORT | Projat: SDG Na: Analyuin: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Fiunctional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented hercin. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.


Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Man | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 009 | 1O11232-01 | $26994-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | $101186-01$ | $26993-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 018 | 10K0899-01 | $27025-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | 10K0900-01 | $27026-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | $10 K 0901-01$ | $27027-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | 10K0902-01 | $27028-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | 1OK0903-01 | $27029-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | 1OK0904-01 | $27030-001$ | water | 1613 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or slightly below for some of the samples. As none of the samples was noted to be damaged or frozen, no qualifications were required. According to the case narratives and laboratory login sheets, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Alta had no custody seals. The EPA Ds were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the begining of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC colunn performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDGNa: | NPDES <br> Mulliple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATHON RAPORT |  | DF |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $6 / 06 / 2005$. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS1 through CS6) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibrations were acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by intemal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds. were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( $0-7516$-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. No target compounds were detected in the method blank and no qualifications were required. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike (OPR 0-7516-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:


### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted with the exception of a false positive in Outfall 005 for $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$. The sample was a nondetect Confirmation for $2,3,7,8$-TCDF detected in samples Outfall 004, Outfall 005, and Outfall 006 was not performed; therefore, $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDF}$ was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, " J, " by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.


## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar-Irvine
Reviewer E Wessling
Analysis/Method Metals by $200.8 / 245.1$

Package ID T711MT9s
Task Order 313150010
SDG No Multiple
No of Analyses 5
Date Docenber 22, 2005


## ACTION ITEMS

Case Narrative
Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analysen Nol Conducted
4. Misting Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables

6 Deviations from Analysis
Protocol, eg,
Holding Times
GCMS Tunelmst Performance
callixation
Method Danks
Surrogates
Matrix SpikeDup LCS
Field OC
Intemal Standard Performance
Compound ldenitifation
Quantitation
sytem ferformance
comments',



## $a m e c^{\theta}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Sampling

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS:<br>IOK0900, ІOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

Prepared by<br>AMEC - Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street<br>Lakewood. CO 80226

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> SOG: |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

| Task Order Title: | NPDES Sampling |
| ---: | :--- |
| MEC ${ }^{\text {K }}$ Project Number: | 313150010 |
| Sample Delivery Group: | 1OK0900, IOK0901, 1OK0902, 1OK0903, 1OK0904 |
| Project Manager: | P. Costa |
| Matrix: | Water |
| Analysis: | Metals |
| QC Level: | Level IV |
| No. of Samples: | 5 |
| of Reanalyses/Dilutions: | 4 |
| Reviewer: | E. Wessling |
| Date of Review: | December 20, 2005 |

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), US EPA Method 200.8 for ICP-MS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form las having only the " $R^{\text {" }}$ data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.


Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client id | Laboratory io | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOK0900-01 | Water | 200.8/245. 1 |
| Outfall O03RE1 | IOK0900-01RE1 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 004 | 10K0901-01 | Water | 200.81245.1 |
| Outtall 005 | 10k0902-01 | Water | 200.8/245.1 |
| Outfall O05RE1 | 1OK0902-01RE1 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 006 | 10\%0903-01 | Water | 200.81245. |
| Outfall 006REI | IOK0903-01RE1 | Water | 200.8/245.1 |
| Outfall 006RE2 | IOK0903-01RE2 | Water | 200.8 |
| Outfall 009 | 10K0904-01 | water | 200.8/245.1 |


|  | Project: NPDES |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VAIDATTON REPORT | SDG: Muliple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples in these SDG were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs.

Antimony in Outfall 003, copper in Outfall 005, and antimony and mercury in Outfall 006 were reanalyzed to confirm the original results. The laboratory did not appended the client IDs with "RE" suffices; therefore, the reviewer added these to the Form Is. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.13 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP-MS metals and 28 -days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP-MS metals and 80 $120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with these SDGs and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

DATA VALIOAMON REPORT: \begin{tabular}{l}
Project: <br>
SDG:

 

NPDES <br>
Mulligie
\end{tabular}

### 2.4 BLANKS

Mercury was reported in method blank 5K17098-BLK1 at $-0.072 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, mercury in Outfall 003, Outfall 004, and Outfall 005 was qualified as estimated, "J," for detects and, "UJ," for nondetects. The remaining method blank and CCB results associated with the retained analyses were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in data qualification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS AAB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were performed in association with the Outfall 003 selenium analysis. The recoveries were within the control limits. No other ICSA or ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. No qualifications were required

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP-MS and mercury LCS sample results were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MSMSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Evaluation of laboratory accuracy was based on LCS results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 ICP-MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

|  | Profect: | npoes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SOG: | Multiple |
| DATA VALDATION REPORT | Analysis | Metals |

### 2.10 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICPMS; the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Some target analytes were reported from dilution analyses due to matrix interference. Reporting limits and MDLs were adjusted accordingly. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J," with the annotation of "DNQ, in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit:

Antimony in Outfall 003, copper in Outfall 005, and antimony and mercury in Outfall 006 were reanalyzed to confirm the original results. As the original results were all confirmed, the results for Outfall 003RE1, Outfall 005RE1, Outfall 006RE1, and Outfall 006RE2 were rejected, "R," in favor of the original results. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.12 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.12.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with these samples.








Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## $a m e c^{9}$

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES Sampling

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS:<br>IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

Prepared by<br>AMEC - Denver Operations 355 South Teller Street<br>Lakewood, CO 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Titte:
AMEC Project Number.
Sample Delivery Group:
Project Manager: Matrix:
Analysis:
QC Level:
No. of Samples:
No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions:
Reviewer:
Date of Review.

NPDES Sampling
313150010
1OK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904
P. Costa

Water
General Minerals
Level IV
5
0
E Wessling
December 20, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for General Minerals (DVP-6, Rev. 2), USEPA. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Methods 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM5540-CMOD, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form is as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | Coc Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | $10 K 0900-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 004 | $10 K 0901-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 005 | $10 K 0902-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 006 | $10 K 0903-01$ | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 009 | $10 K 0904-01$ | Water | General Minerals |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDC: | Mutiple |
| DAIA VALDATON REPORI | Analysis: | Gen. Min. |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times were met and no qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

The blank results associated with the analyses were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly less than the sample detects so as not to result in data qualification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project <br> DATA VALIOATION REPORT |
| :--- | :--- |
| MPDES |  |
| Mutiple |  |

### 2.5 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

A laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on Outfall 009 for TDS. The \%D was less than the laboratory-established control limit of $10 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results. No qualifications were required:

### 2.7 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level V review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as estimated, " $J_{3}$ " with the annotation of "DNQ." in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD OC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.







## INORGANICS




Del Mar Anilytical, lrvine
Michele Chanberlia
Project Manage

## APPENDIX G

## Section 25

## Outfall 010, October 18, 2005

## Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 010

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05
Issued: 01/20/06 15:57

NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117
The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chains) of Custody, 2 pages, are included and are an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
[OJ1232-01

## CLIENT ID

Outfall 010

## MATRIX

Water

## Reviewed By:



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 010 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOI1232 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |


| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: IOJ1232-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5 J 19098 | 0.050 | 2.0 | 20 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5119098 | 0.025 | 1.0 | 0.35 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 | I |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5119098 | 0.25 | 2.0 | 13 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 | J |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5119098 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 79 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/20/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5119052 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 0.097 | 1 | 10/19/05 | 10/19/05 | J |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17467 Denan Ave., Sulte 100, Inaine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Sule A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) $370-1046$

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |  | Sampled | 10/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | IOIL232 | Received | 10/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |  |

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOJ1232-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.Reporting Units: mg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5118042 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 45 | 10 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 J 18042 | 0.080 | 0.15 | 2.5 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 J 24050 | 0.89 | 4.7 | ND | 1 | 10/24/05 | 10/24/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5118042 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 50 | 1 | 10/18/05 | 10/18/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5524100 | 10 | 10 | 320 | 1 | 10/24/05 | 10/24/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5 J 21114 | 10 | 10 | 86 | 1 | 10/21/05 | 10/21/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 010 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 1OJ1232 | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 010 (IOJ1232-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $10 / 18 / 200512: 21$ | $10 / 18 / 200518: 00$ | $10 / 18 / 200521: 30$ | $10 / 18 / 2005$ | $22: 43$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
Report Number: IOH 232

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10/18/05

## METHOD BL ATIKICC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Batch: 5J19052 Extracted: 10/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/19/2005 (5J19052-BLK1)


## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 0.109 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |
| Lead | 0.0450 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug $/ 1$ |

LCS Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-BS1)

| Antimony | 77.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 87 | $85-115$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 81.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | $85-115$ |  |
| Copper | 77.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 102 | 97 |
| Lead | 81.2 | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | $85-115$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $85-115$ |  |  |

Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MS1)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 84.7 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.18 | 106 | $70-130$ |
| Cadmium | 84.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 83.0 | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | $70-130$ |
| Lead | 79.1 | 1.0 | 0.040 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.32 | 98 | $70-130$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 010 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Report Number: LOJ1232 Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ <br> Pasadena, CA 91101  Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |  |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly  |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result |  | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Resalt |  | MDL | Units |  |  | \%REC |  | RPD |  | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5J19098 Extracted: 10/19/05

Matrix Spike Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5J19098-MS2)

| Antimony | 86.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.29 | 108 | 70-130 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 84.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.072 | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 84.8 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 | 4.8 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 80.8 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.53 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 10/20/2005 (5.19098-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 10.J1156-01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 85.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.18 | 107 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 84.4 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.14 | 105 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 83.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.9 | 99 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Lead | 79.9 | 1.0 | 0.040 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.32 | 99 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing Project ID: Routine Outfall 010

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
Report Number: IOI1232 .... Received: 10/18/05

## MELHOLBLAMKOCDAMA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Oualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Batch: 5J18042 Extracted: 10/18/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/18/2005 (5J18042-BLK1)

| Chloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | ND | 0.26 | 0.072 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |
| Sulfate | ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |

LCS Analyzed: 10/18/2005 (5J18042-BS1)


## Batch: 5J24050 Extracted: 10/24/05

Blank Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BLK1)
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Oil \& Grease } & \text { ND } & 5.0 & 0.94 & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}\end{array}$

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 010 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOI1232 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101:  <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly  | Received: $10 / 18 / 05$ |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5J24050 Extracted: 10/24/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 16.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24050-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 16.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 | 0 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5J24100 Extracted: 10/24/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24100-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24100-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 998 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 1000 |  | 100 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 10/24/2005 (5J24100-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sour | C: IOJ02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 440 | 10 | 10 | mgh |  | 440 |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 10/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 JI 232 | Received: 10/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte |  | Compliance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOI1232-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | Units | Result | MRL | Limit |
| IOJ1232-01 | Chloride -300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.19 | 4.7 | 15 |
| IOI1232-01 | Nitrogen, NO3+NO2 -N | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 45 | 5.0 | 150 |
| IOI1232-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 2.50 | 0.15 | 10.00 |
| IOI1232-01 | TDS - SM 2540 C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 50 | 0.50 | 250 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 320 | 10 | 850 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010

Report Number: IOI1232 Received: 10/18/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.

## M-3

 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Report Number: $1011232 \quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Sampled: } \\ \text { Received: } \\ \text { 10/18/05 }\end{array}$
R

## Certification Summary

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD+Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical NELAC Cert \#02102CA, California Cert \#1640, Nevada Cert \#CA-413
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613 -Dioxin-HR-Alta
Samples: IOI1232-01
Analysis Performed: Level 4 + EDD
Samples: IOn232-01

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS REQUEST FORM

Today's Date: $\qquad$ 1129 Del Mar Analytical Project Manager: $\qquad$
Request via: $\qquad$ telephone $\qquad$ chain of custody form $\qquad$ fax transmission $\qquad$ Email $\qquad$ other

Client: Mu it - Pasadena /Boring Contact: $\qquad$ Boronweinvelly
Project: Fcutine cuifaldolo
Date Sampled: $\qquad$ 10118105 Date Received: $\qquad$
Status: $\qquad$ in progress X- completed $\qquad$ received today $\qquad$ received yesterday $\qquad$ on hold $\qquad$ other

SAMPLE NUMBER

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

ANALYSIS REQUESTED

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS
10) $1232-01$ Outfall $010 \quad 1613-+r^{+0} 414 a$

- subequtraet camber preserved w/ HAl, sind "halos normal TAT
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

TURNAROUND STATUS: $\qquad$ Same Day $\qquad$ 24 hr $\qquad$ 48 hr $\qquad$ 3days
$\qquad$ 5days $\qquad$ Standard $\qquad$ No Rush Charge


December 11, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 26993
Ms. Michele Chambertin
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Chambertin,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on November 30, 2005 under your Project Name "IOJ1232". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

An " A " qualifier indicates that the result is greater than the low point in the calibration curve, but lower than the EPA Method 1613 Minimum Level.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maser Director of HRMS Services



# Section I: Sample Inventory Report 

Date Received: $\quad 11 / 30 / 2005$

Alta Lab. ID
26993-001

Client Sample 1D
1OJ1232-01

## SECTION II





## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

E The reported value exceeds the calibration range of the instrument.
$\mathrm{H} \quad$ The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.

1 Chemical interference

J
The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration

DL Sample-specific estimated Detection Limit

MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration

NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point

ND Not Detected

TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

## CERTIFICATIONS

| Acerediting Authority | Certificate Nuphber |
| :--- | :--- |
| State of Alaska, DEC | CA413-02 |
| State of Arizona | AZ0639 |
| State of Arkansas, DEQ | $05-013-0$ |
| State of Arkansas, DOH | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of California - NELAP Primary AA | 02102 CA |
| State of Colorado |  |
| State of Connecticut | PH-0182 |
| State of Florida, DEP | E87777 |
| Commonwealth of Kentucky | 90063 |
| State of Louisiana, Health and Hospitals | LA050001 |
| State of Louisiana, DEQ | 01977 |
| Statc of Maine | CA0413 |
| State of Michigan | 81178087 |
| State of Mississippi | Reciprocity through CA |
| Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center |  |
| State of Nevada | CA413 |
| State of New Jersey | CA003 |
| State of New Mexico | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of New York, DOH | 11411 |
| State of North Carolina | 06700 |
| State of North Dakota, DOH | R-078 |
| State of Oklahoma | D9919 |
| State of Oregon | CA200001-002 |
| State of PennsyIvania | $68-00490$ |
| State of South Carolina | 87002001 |
| State of Tennessee | 02996 |
| State of Texas | TX247-2005A |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers | 9169330940 |
| State of Utah | 00013 |
| Commonwealth of Virginia | Washington |

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOJ1232

| SENDING LAHORATORY: <br> Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 <br> Irvine, CA 92614 <br> Phone: (949) 261-1022 <br> Fax: (949) 261-1228 <br> Project Manager: Michele Harper | RECEIVING LABORATORY: <br> Alta Analytical - SUB <br> 1104 Windfield Way <br> El Dorado Hills, CA 95762 <br> Phone: (916) 933-1640 <br> Fax: (916) 673-0106 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date:_______ Initials: |  |
| Analysis Expiration | Comments |
| Sample T: 10J1232-01 Water - Sampled: 10/18/05 12:21 | Instant Nofication |
| 1613-Dioxin-HR-Alta 10/25/05 12:21 | Ifiags, 17 congeners, no TEQ,ugh, sub=Alta |
| Level 4 + EDD-OUT 11/15/05 12:21 | Excel EDD email to pm, laclude Std logs for Lvi IV |
| Containars Supplied: |  |
| 1 L Amber w/HCl (10J1232-01F) |  |

SAMPLE NTIEGRIX:



## SAMPLE LOG-IN CHECKLIST

Alta Project \#: 26993



| Preservation Info |  | COL | Sample <br> Container | None |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shipping Container | Alta | Client | Retain | Return |
| Dispose |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX G

## Section 26

Outfall 010, October 18, 2005
AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALDDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1231, IOJ1232, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1186

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street, Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager. P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 160.2, 300.0, and 413.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM2540C, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorgantc Data Review (2194). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form 1 as having only the " R " data qualifior and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Project: NPDES Monitoring SDGNa.: Multiple

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOI1231-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 010 | IOI1232-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 006 | IOI1180-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 007 | IOI1184-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 009 | IOJ1186-01 | Water | General Minerals |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and all analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times for all analyses were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Target compounds were not detected in the associated method blanks. Raw data was reviewed to verify the blank data. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in these SDGs.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in association with these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for analyses without an MS/MSD. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furmace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIEICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as "J" values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No fiurther qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.

MWIH-Pasadean/Bocing 300 North Lake Avenue, Sure 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
Report Number: 1011232

Sampled: 10/18/05
Received: 10118/05

## INORGANIC




Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA
AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Pace - Minneapolis
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Dioxins/Furans by Method 1613B

## ACTION THEMS:

Package ID T711DF50
Task Order 313150010 SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 8


Case Narrative
Deficiencies
2. Out of Seope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysia

Protocol, cg,
Holding Times
GCMS Tume/nst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Intemal Standard Performance.
Compound Identification
Quantitation
System Peffarmance COMMENIS'

[^27]
## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS
SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1181, IOJ1176, IOJ1186, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1177, IOJ1232, 1OJ1231

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: November 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

| DITA VALIDATYONREPORT | Project: SDG No: Analyme: | nfies Mulkipla DF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory $\mathbf{D}$ (DelMar) | Laboratory ID (Pace) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 008 | $1011181-01$ | 1021758001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | $101176-01$ | 1021760001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOI1186-01 | 1021761001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | 1011180-01 | 1021763001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | IOS1184-01 | 1021765001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | $1011177-01$ | 1021766001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfill 010 | $1011232-01$ | 1021908001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | 1011231-01 | 1021910001 | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: SDC Na: | NPDES Mulliphe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDITIONREPORT | Analyiar | DF |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handing, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Pace for dioxin/firan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the case narrative and laboratory login sheet, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Pace had no custody seals present for samples IOI1232-01 and 10I1231-01. All other samples had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Folding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Cohumn Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last efuting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC colurm performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.


### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $10 / 22 / 05$ for instrument $F$. The calibration consisted of five concentration level standards (CS1 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (Blank 8223) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. Target compounds $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD}$ and OCDF were reported in method blank 8223 at concentrations of 0.0000041 and $0.0000068 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. An interference with OCDD was also reported in method blank 8223. Any detects for these target compounds $\leq$ five times the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in the site samples of this SDG. Detects for total dioxin and furan isomers at concentrations $\leq$ five timess the concentration reported in the method blank were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in the associated saruples. In instances where the total concentration included peaks not present in the method blank as well as the method blank contamination, the total concentration was considered estimated, " $\mathrm{J}_{2}{ }^{n}$ as a portion of the total concentration was considered blank contamination. There were no other target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (LCS/LCSD 8224/8225) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDGMa.: | NPDES Multaiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA DILIDATHONREPORT | Amalysis | DF |

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND DENIIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications wero verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. However, the laboratory was experiencing sporadic cross-contamination problems which they attributed to incomplete glassware cleaning procedures. Two samples, Outfall 009 and outfall 010, exhibited atypical target compound detects. These samples were rejected in favor of a reanalysis at another laboratory that was not experiencing contamination problems. This was done to ensure the target compound detects were representative of site conditions and not laboratory cross-contamination. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, "J." by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.


This repart shall not be raprocurad, axcapt in futi whoul the witan consent of Pace Aralytical Sarvices, inc.

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: METALS

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS IOJ1231, IOJ1232, IOJ1180, IOJ1184, IOJ1186

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street, Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALDES Monitoring |  |
| DATION REPORT | SDGNo: |
| Multiple |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Program Contrat Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 3<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review. December 18, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods 200.8 for ICPMS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R"data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDG No.: | Multiple |
| DATA VALDATION REPORT | Analysis: | METALS |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Clienilm | Laboratory D | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | IOI1231-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 010 | IOI1232-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 006 | IOI1180-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 007 | IOI1184-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |
| Outfall 009 | 10I1186-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |



## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 -days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 1CP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required for ICP-MS tuning.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with this SDG and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in qualification of the data with the exception of cadmium in the method blank. Cadmium was qualified as a nondetect, "U," in the sample from Outfall 006. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitaring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDGNo.: | Multiple |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Aralyeis: | METALS |

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP/MS analyses. The recoveries were within the control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples and mercury LCS samples as reported on the LCS on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs. No qualification was required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for all analyses. No qualification was required.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION



### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS intermal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: <br> DPDES Monitoring <br> DATA VALMAATION REPORT |
| :--- | :--- |
| SDGNo.: | Multiple |

of the original analysis. Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as. "J" values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No firther qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.






MWHE-Pusadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pessadens, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project D: Routine Outfall 010

| Project D: Routine Cutin 010 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Report Number: 10 n1233 | Sampled: $10 / 18 / 05$ |
|  |  |

METALS
MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Data Data


Level IV Validated
Deal Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA



## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1186, IOJ1232, IOK0899, IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

## Prepared by

AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Titte: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#. Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: SDG Na: | NPDES <br> Mulitiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DITA VALMdTYONREPORT | Analy | DF |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID (Det Man) | Laboratory ID (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 009 | $1011232-01$ | 26994-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 010 | 10n1186-01 | 26993-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 018 | 10K0899-01 | 27025-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 003 | 10K0900-01 | 27026-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outall 004 | 1OK0901-01 | 27027-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOK0902-01 | 27028-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | 10K0903-01 | 27029-001 | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | 10K0904-01 | 27030-001 | water | 1613 |


|  | Projeat: SDONa: | NPDES Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATHONREPORT |  |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analysis and were recaived within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or slightly below for some of the samples. As none of the samples was noted to be damaged or frozen, no qualifications were required. According to the case narratives and laboratory login sheets, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Afta had no custody seals. The EPA Ds were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last cluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDGNa: | NPDES <br> Muliiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAXA VALLDATRONREPORT | Analyits | DF |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed $6 / 06 / 2005$. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS1 through CS6) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibrations were acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by intermal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds. were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (0-7516-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. No target compounds were detected in the method blank and no qualifications were required. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike (OPR 0-7516-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MSMSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  |  | NPDES <br> Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATTON REPORT | Anlyit: | DF |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted with the exception of a false positive in Outfall 005 for $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$. The sample was a nondetect Confirmation for $2,3,7,8-T C D F$ detected in samples Outfall 004, Outfall 005, and Outfall 006 was not performed; therefore, $2,3,7,8$-TCDF was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, " J ," by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No farther qualifications were required.


## APPENDIX G

## Section 27

Outfall 018, November 09, 2005
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Report

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Quarterly Outfall 018

Sampled: 11/09/05
Received: 11/09/05
Issued: 01/20/06 17:22

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain(s) of Custody, 3 pages, are included and are an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOK0899-01
IOK0899-02

## CLIENT ID

Outfall 018
Trip Blank

MATRIX
Water
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chambertin
Project Manager

## Del Mar Analytical

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018
Sampled: 11/09/05
Report Number: IOK0899 Received: 11/09/05

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OK0899-01 (Outfall 018 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.28 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.42 | 3.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/18/05 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $107 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $106 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Surrogate, 4-Bromofluorobenzene ( $80-120 \%$ ). |  |  |  |  | 98\% |  |  |  |
| Sample ID: IOK0899-02 (Trip Blank - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.28 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.42 | 3.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 11/22/05 | 11/22/05 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 102\% |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 104\% |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

# Del Mar Analytical 

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 K0899 |

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10K0899-01 (Outfall 018 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | EPA 625 | 5K11061 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 0.962 | 11/11/05 | 11/15/05 | B, J |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5K11061 | 0.22 | 8.7 | ND | 0.962 | 11/11/05 | 11/15/05 |  |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | EPA 625 | 5K11061 | 0.21 | 7.7 | ND | 0.962 | 11/11/05 | 11/15/05 |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5K11061 | 0.75 | 7.7 | ND | 0.962 | 11/11/05 | 11/15/05 |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5K11061 | 0.096 | 5.8 | ND | 0.962 | 11/11/05 | 11/15/05 |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol (30-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $55 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 (35-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 67\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 65\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $73 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $87 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 87\% |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

9484 Chespeake Dr., Stute 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505.96.89 9830 South 51 st St, Sutite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0857 2520 E. Sunset Rd. : $\mathbf{= 1}$, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Report Number: $10 K 0899$ Sampled: 11/09/05 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101  Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly   |  |  |

ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOK0899-01 (Outfall 018 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug 1 alpha-BHC | EPA 608 | 5K11059 | 0.00096 | 0.0096 | ND | 0.962 | 11/11/05 | 11/12/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 69\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene (35-115\%) |  |  |  |  | $75 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 K 0899$ | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |


| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: IOK0899-01 (Outfall 018 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 | B, J |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.21 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 | B, J |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5K17098 | 0.050 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 11/17/05 | 11/17/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018

Report Number: $10 K 0899$ | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| ---: |
| Received: 11/09/05 |

Received: 11/09/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OK0899-01 (Outfall 018 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ammonia-N (Distilled) | EPA 350.2 | 5K11084 | 0.30 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 11/11/05 | 11/11/05 |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | EPA 405.1 | 5K10068 | 0.59 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/15/05 |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 36 | 5 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.080 | 0.15 | ND | 1 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5K14056 | 0.90 | 4.8 | ND | 1 | 11/14/05 | 11/14/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5K09130 | 0.90 | 2.5 | 89 | 5 | 11/09/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) | EPA 425.1 | 5K10122 | 0.044 | 0.10 | 0.089 | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/10/05 | J |
| Total Dissolved Solids | EPA 160.1 | 5K16116 | 10 | 10 | 420 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5K10088 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 1OK0899-01 (Outfall 018 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: m//hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Settleable Solids | EPA 160.5 | 5K10069 | 0.10 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Sample ID: IOK0899-01 (Outfall 018 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: NTU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity | EPA 180.1 | 5K10086 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Sample 1D: 1OK0899-01 (Outfall 018 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cyanide | EPA 335.2 | 5K11094 | 2.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/11/05 | 11/11/05 |  |
| Perchlorate | EPA 314.0 | 5K10063 | 0.80 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 11/10/05 | 11/10/05 |  |
| Sample ID: IOK0899-01 (Outfall 018 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: umhos/cm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance | EPA 120.1 | 5K30112 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 640 | 1 | 11/30/05 | 11/30/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Ivine, CA 92614 \{949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-329) 1014 E . Cooley Dr., Suite A, Cofton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX 909 ) 3701046 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego، CA 92123 (858) 505 -8596 FAX (858) 505 -9689

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018

Report Number. $10 K 0899 \ldots$| Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| ---: |
| Received. $11 / 09 / 05$ |

Received: 11/09/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 018 (IOK0899-01)- Water |  |  | $11 / 09 / 200511: 46$ | $11 / 09 / 200518: 00$ | $11 / 10 / 200509: 18$ |
| EPA 160.5 | 2 | 2 | $11 / 09 / 200511: 46$ | $11 / 09 / 200518: 00$ | $11 / 10 / 200511: 00$ |
| EPA 180.1 | 2 | $11 / 09 / 200511: 46$ | $11 / 09 / 200518: 00$ | $11 / 09 / 200523: 30$ | $11 / 10 / 200511: 20$ |
| EPA 300.0 | 2 | $11 / 09 / 200511: 46$ | $11 / 09 / 200518: 00$ | $11 / 10 / 200511: 00$ | $11 / 15 / 200511: 30$ |
| EPA 405.1 | 2 | $11 / 09 / 200511: 46$ | $11 / 09 / 200518: 00$ | $11 / 10 / 200517: 00$ | $11 / 10 / 200519: 06$ |
| EPA 425.1 |  |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018
Report Number: $10 K 0899$ Received: 11/09/05

## METHOD BLANKIOCDATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

## Analyte Result

## Batch: 5K18005 Extracted: 11/18/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/18/2005 (5K18005-BLK1)

| Benzene | ND |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | ND |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND |
| Chloroform | ND |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND |
| Ethylbenzene | ND |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND |
| Toluene | ND |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND |
| Trichloroethene | ND |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND |
| Vinyl chloride | ND |
| Xylenes, Total | ND |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 25.7 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.9 |
| Surrogate: 4 -Bromofluorobenzene | 24.1 |


| Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

LCS Analyzed: 11/18/2005 (5K18005-BS1)

| Benzene | 22.4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 26.3 |
| Chloroform | 23.5 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 21.0 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 24.0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 23.3 |
| Ethylbenzene | 23.9 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 24.1 |
| Toluene | 22.6 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 23.6 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 24.2 |
| Trichloroethene | 23.0 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 24.5 |
| Vinyl chloride | 21.3 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 25.6 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

# Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018

Report Number: IOK0899

Received: 11/09/05

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5K18005 Extracted: 11/18/05
LCS Analyzed: 11/18/2005 (5K18005-BS1)

| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 26.3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Surrogate: 4 -Bromofluorobenzene | 27.0 |

## Matrix Spike Analyzed: 11/18/2005 (5K18005-MS1)

| Benzene | 22.3 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 26.5 |
| Chloroform | 23.4 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 20.8 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 23.3 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 21.9 |
| Ethylbenzene | 23.0 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 22.8 |
| Toluene | 22.7 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 23.9 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 21.9 |
| Trichloroethene | 22.7 |
| Trichlorafluoromethane | 24.6 |
| Vinyl chloride | 21.1 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 25.5 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 26.4 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 26.2 |

Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 11/18/2005 (5K18005-MSD1)

| Benzene | 22.0 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 88 | 60-125 | 1 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 26.0 | 5.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 104 | 65-140 | 2 | 25 |
| Chloroform | 23.5 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 65-135 | 0 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 20.8 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 83 | 60-130 | 0 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 24.7 | 2.0 | 0.28 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 25.0 | ND | 99 | 60-140 | 6 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 22.9 | 3.0 | 0.42 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 60-135 | 4 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | 23.3 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 93 | $65+130$ | 1 | 20 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 24.0 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 60-130 | 5 | 20 |
| Toluene | 22.5 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 65-125 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 23.4 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 65-140 | 2 | 20 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 24.8 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 99 | 60-130 | 12 | 25 |
| Trichloroethene | 22.6 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 60-125 | 0 | 20 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 24.1 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 55-145 | 2 | 25 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Report Number: $10 \mathrm{K0899}$ | Sampled: <br> Received: |

## METHOD BLANKIC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Report Number: IOK0899 |  |
| METMOD BLANKIOC BATA: |  |


|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5K18005 Extracted: 11/18/05

| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 11/18/2005 (5K18005-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10K1167-10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vinyl chloride | 20.9 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 84 | 40-135 | 1 | 30 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.3 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 |  | 105 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 26.7 |  |  | ug/t | 25.0 |  | 107 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 27.3 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 |  | 109 | 80-120 |  |  |

Batch: 5K22008 Extracted: 11/22/05
Blank Analyzed: 11/22/2005 (5K22008-BLK1)

| Benzene | ND |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | ND |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND |
| Chloroform | ND |
| 1,1 -Dichloroethane | ND |
| 1,2 -Dichloroethane | ND |
| 1,1 -Dichloroethene | ND |
| Ethylbenzene | ND |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND |
| Toluene | ND |
| $1,1,1-T \mathrm{Tichloroethane}$ | ND |
| $1,1,2$-Trichloroethane | ND |
| Trichloroethene | ND |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND |
| Vinyl chloride | ND |
| Xylenes, Total | ND |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.0 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 24.0 |
| Surrogate: 4 -Bromofluorobenzene | 23.2 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Sutte 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) $505-9689$ 9830 South 51st St., Suite 8-120, Pkoenix, AZ 85044 (480] 795-0043 fAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, tas Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018<br>Report Number: IOK0899<br>Sampled: 11/09/05<br>Received: 11/09/05

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 K 0899 | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

Analyte Result

| Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5K22008 Extracted: 11/22/05
LCS Analyzed: 11/22/2005 (5K22008-BS1)

| Benzene | 24.5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 28.3 |
| Chloroform | 25.5 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 22.8 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 26.0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 25.5 |
| Ethylbenzene | 26.2 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 26.6 |
| Toluene | 25.0 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 25.4 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 26.7 |
| Trichloroethene | 25.9 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 26.2 |
| Vinyl chloride | 22.7 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 24.9 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 26.6 |
| Surrogate: 4 -Bromafluorobenzene | 26.4 |

Matrix Spike Analyzed: 11/22/2005 (5K22008-MS1)

| 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 98 | 65-120 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 113 | 65-140 |  |
| 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/l | 25.0 | 102 | 65-130 | M-3 |
| 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/l | 25.0 | 91 | 65-130 |  |
| 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/l | 25.0 | 104 | 60-140 |  |
| 3.0 | 0.42 | ug/ | 25.0 | 102 | 70-130 |  |
| 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 25.0 | 105 | 70-125 | M-3 |
| 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 106 | 65-125 |  |
| 2.0 | 0.36 | ugh | 25.0 | 100 | 70-125 |  |
| 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/l | 25.0 | 102 | 65-135 |  |
| 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 107 | 65-125 |  |
| 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/l | 25.0 | 104 | 70-125 | M-3 |
| 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/l | 25.0 | 105 | 60-140 |  |
| 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | 91 | 50-130 |  |
|  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 | 100 | 80-120 |  |
|  |  | $u g / 1$ | 25.0 | 106 | $80-120$ |  |
|  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 | 106 | 80-120 |  |


| Benzene | 30.8 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 33.1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 24.6 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 28.7 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 28.1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 30.6 |
| Toluene | 27.7 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 26.7 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 30.0 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 130 |
| Vinyl chloride | 24.9 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 25.1 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 26.5 |
| Surrogate: 4 -Bromofluorobenzene | 27.9 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

# 3461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, trine, CA 92614 (949) 20141022 FAX (949) 260-3207 1014 € Cooley Dr., Sutute A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046 

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA.91101 | Report Number: $10 K 0899$ | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METIOD BLANKIOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Seurce | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5K22008 Extracted: 11/22/05

| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 1 | 220 |  |  |  |  | : 10 | 25-02 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 28.8 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ugl | 25.0 | 3.4 | 102 | 60-125 | 7 | 20 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 30.2 | 5.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 2.0 | 113 | 65-140 | 9 | 25 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 23.5 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 60-130 | 5 | 20 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 26.2 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ugl | 25.0 | 0.55 | 103 | 60-140 | 9 | 20 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 26.8 | 3.0 | 0.42 | ugh | 25.0 | 0.55 | 105 | 60-135 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | 28.6 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 1.0 | 110 | 60-130 | 7 | 20 |  |
| Toluene | 26.3 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ugl | 25.0 | 0.57 | 103 | 65-125 | 5 | 20 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 24.6 | 2.0 | 0.30 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 65-140 | 8 | 20 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 28.2 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 113 | 60-130 | 6 | 25 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 119 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/l | 25.0 | 110 | 36 | 55-145 | 9 | 25 | M-HA |
| Vinyl chloride | 23.4 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 40-135 | 6 | 30 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 24.9 |  |  | wg/l | 25.0 |  | 100 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate Toluene-d8 | 26.2 |  |  | ug/t | 25.0 |  | 105 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 27.0 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 108 | 80-120 |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: IOK0899 | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLAANKIQC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5K11061 Extracted: 11/11/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K11061-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 1.82 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ND | 9.0 | 0.23 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | ND | 8.0 | 0.22 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | ND | 8.0 | 0.78 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ND | 6.0 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fhuorophenol | 12.7 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 20.0 |  | 64 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 14.4 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 20.0 |  | 72 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 12.7 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 20.0 |  | 64 | $45-120$ |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 7.86 |  |  | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 79 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 9.62 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 10.0 |  | 96 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 9.18 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 10.0 |  | 92 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 11.1 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 111 | 60-130 |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 8.60 | 9.0 | 0.23 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 86 | 60-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | 7.48 | 8.0 | 0.22 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 75 | 40-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Pentachlorophenol | 9.22 | 8.0 | 0.78 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 92 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 8.32 | 6.0 | 0.10 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 83 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.9 |  |  | $u g / 1$ | 20.0 |  | 70 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 15.1 |  |  | $u g / 1$ | 20.0 |  | 76 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 15.8 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 20.0 |  | 79 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 7.42 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 74 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.64 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 76 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-dl4 | 9.26 |  |  | $u g / 2$ | 10.0 |  | 93 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K11061-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 9.74 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 97 | 60-130 | 13 | 20 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 7.72 | 9.0 | 0.23 | ugl | 10.0 |  | 77 | 60-120 | 11 | 20 | $J$ |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | 6.92 | 8.0 | 0.22 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 69 | 40-120 | 8 | 20 | J |
| Pentachlorophenol | 8.28 | 8.0 | 0.78 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 83 | 50-120 | 11 | 25 |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 8.24 | 6.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 82 | 60-120 | 1 | 20 |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.7 |  |  | ug/l | 20.0 |  | 68 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 14.0 |  |  | $u \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 20.0 |  | 70 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 14.9 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 74 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.74 |  |  | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 67 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fhuorobiphenyl | 7.44 |  |  | $u \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 |  | 74 | 45-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: IOK0899 | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METIIOD BLANKIOC DAIA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Result

| Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | MDL | Units | Level Result \%REC Limits RPD Limit | Qualifiers |  |  |  |  |

Batch: 5K11061 Extracted: 11/11/05

LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K11061-BSD1)
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 8.00
$\begin{array}{llll}u g / 1 & 10.0 & 80 & 45-120\end{array}$

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92674 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Cotton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 Souh 51st St, Suite 8-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. *3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 K 0899$ | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5K11059 Extracted: 11/11/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 11/11/2005 (5K11059-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | ND | 0.010 | 0.0010 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.376 |  |  | $u g /$ | 0.500 |  | 75 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.251 |  |  | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 50 | 35-115 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed; 11/11/2005 (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| alpha-BHC | 0.447 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 89 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.403 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 0.500 |  | 81 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.400 |  |  | $u \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.500 |  | 80 | 35-115 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/11/2005 (5K11059-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | 0.438 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.500 |  | 88 | 45-120 | 2 | 30 |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.352 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 70 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate. Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.392 |  |  | $u g / 1$ | 0.500 |  | 78 | 35-115 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

17461 Detian Ave., Sutite 100, frine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX 1949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX 9009$) 370-1046$ 9484 Chesapeake $\mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{r}}$, Suite 805, San Diega, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51 st St., Suite E-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 7022 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 K0899 |

## METHOD BIANKIOC DATA



## Batch: 5K17098 Extracted: 11/17/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/17/2005 (5K17098-BLK1)

| Mercury | ND | 0.20 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

LCS Analyzed: 11/17/2005 (5K17098-BS1)

| Mercury | 8.09 | 0.20 | 0.050 | ugl | 8.00 | 101 | $85-115$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager
17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100 trine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297
1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046
9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Dieso, CA 92123 (B58) 505-8596 FAX (858) 50S-9689
9830 South 51 st St, Sulte B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851
2520 E. Surset led. *3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX 4702 ) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 K 0899$ | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101. |  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKGC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source |  | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5K17098 Extracted: 11/17/05

| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 11/17/2005 (5K17098-MS1) |  |  | Source: IOK0827-04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercury | 8.44 | 0.20 | 0.050 | ug/l | 8.00 | ND | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 11/17/2005 (5K17098-MSD1) |  |  | Source: IOK0827-04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.29 | 0.20 | 0.050 | ug/l | 8.00 | ND | 104 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200   <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 Report Number: $10 K 0899$ Sampled: 11/09/05 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly  Received: 11/09/05 |  |  |

## METHODBLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5K09130 Extracted: 11/09/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/09/2005 (5K09130-BLK1)


Batch: 5K10063 Extracted: 11/10/05

| Blank Analyzed: | $11 / 10 / 2005$ | $(5 K 10063-$ BLK1) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Perchlorate | ND | 4.0 | 0.80 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ |  |

LCS Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10063-BS1)

| Perchlorate | 54.8 | 4.0 | 0.80 | ugl | 50.0 | 110 | $85-115$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: | 11/10/2005 (5K10063-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: $\mathbf{1 O K 0 7 0 1 - 0 4}$ |  |  |
| Perchlorate | 63.2 | 4.0 | 0.80 | ugll | 50.0 | 11 | 104 | $80-120$ |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 10 K0899 | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwy Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source |  | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5K10063 Extracted: 11/10/05


Batch: 5K10068 Extracted: 11/10/05
Blank Analyzed: 11/15/2005 (5K10068-BLK1)
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ND

LCS Analyzed: 11/15/2005 (5K10068-BS1)

| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 206 | 100 | 30 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 198 | 104 | 85-115 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/15/2005 (5K10068-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 204 | 100 | 30 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 198 | 103 | 85-115 | 1 | 20 |

## Batch: 5K10086. Extracted: 11/10/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10086-BLK1)

| Turbidity | ND | 1.0 | 0.040 | NTU |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duplicate Analyzed: $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 0 / 2 0 0 5}(\mathbf{5 K 1 0 0 8 6}-$ DUP1) |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity | 0.650 | 1.0 | 0.040 | NTU |


| Source: IOK0921-01 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.62 | 5 | 20 |

## Batch: 5K10088 Extracted: 11/10/05

## Blank Analyzed: 11/10/2005 (5K10088-BLK1)

Total Suspended Solids
ND
$10 \quad 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

7461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Ivine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Sute A. Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (909) 370-1046

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 K 0899$ | Sampled: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $11 / 09 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 11/09/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 K 0899 | Received: 11/09/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKICC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

| Del Mar |  | 17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100, trine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colion, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 9484 Chesapeake Di., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505 -8596 9830 South 51 st S., Swite 8-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 |  | FAX (949) 260-3297 FAX (909) 370.1046 FAX ( 858 ) $505-9689$ FAX (480) 785-0851 FAX (702) 798-3621 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: |  |  |  |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: | 11/09/05 |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | Received: | 11/09/05 |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |  |

## MLIHOD BLANKIQCDATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5K14056 Extracted: 11/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 11/14/2005 (5K14056-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease | 17.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 20.0 |  | 87 | 65-120 | 2 | 20 |

Batch: 5K16116 Extracted: 11/16/05

Blank Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BLK1)
Total Dissolved Solids ND

LCS Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-BS1)
Total Dissolved Solids 988

Duplicate Analyzed: 11/16/2005 (5K16116-DUP1)
Total Dissolved Solids 196
Batch: $5 K 21086$ Extracted: $11 / 19 / 05$

Blank Analyzed: 11/19/2005 (5K21086-BLK1)
Total Dissolved Solids ND
LCS Analyzed: 11/19/2005 (5K21086-BS1)
Total Dissolved Solids 1010
$10 \quad 10$
Duplicate Analyzed: 11/19/2005 (5K21086-DUP1)
Total Dissolved Solids 380
80
$10 \quad 10 \quad \mathrm{mg} /$
Source: 1OK0899-01

Batch: 5K30112 Extracted: 11/30/05

Duplicate Analyzed: 11/30/2005 (5K30112-DUP1)
Specific Conductance
641
1.0
1.0 umhos/cm

Source: IOK0899-01
640
5

# Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{~K} 0899 \quad$ Received: $11 / 09 / 05$

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-HA Due to high levels of analyte in the sample, the MS/MSD calculation does not provide useful spike recovery information. See Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

[^28]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018
Report Number: IOK0899 . . Received: 11/09/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1613A/1613B | Water |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | Water |  |  |
| EPA 120.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 160.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 160.5 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 180.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 314.0 | Water | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | X |
| EPA 335.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 350.2 | Water |  | X |
| EPA 405.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 425.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 608 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 624. | Water | X | X |
| EPA 625 | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical NELAC Cert \#02IO2CA, California Cert \#1640, Nevada Cert \#CA-413
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOK0899-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 1OK0899-01

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager



December 10, 2005

## Alta Project I.D.: 27025

Ms. Michele Chambertin
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Chambertin,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on December 08, 2005 under your Project Name "IOK0899". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


## Martha M. Maser

Director of HRMS Services


# Section I: Sample Inventory Report 

Date Received: 12/8/2005

Alta Lab. ID
27025-001

Client Sample 1D
IOK0899-01

## SECTION II




[^29]

## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.

D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

E The reported value exceeds the calibration range of the instrument.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
1 Chemical interference

J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration

DL Sample-specific estimated Detection Limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration

NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point

ND Not Detected

TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Uniess otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

## CERTIFICATIONS

| Accrediting Authority ${ }^{\text {V }}$, | Certifgte Vimber |
| :---: | :---: |
| State of Alaska, DEC | CA413-02 |
| State of Arizona | AZ0639 |
| State of Arkansas, DEQ | 05-013-0 |
| State of Arkansas, DOH | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of California - NELAP Primary AA | 02102CA |
| State of Colorado |  |
| State of Connecticut | PH-0182 |
| State of Florida, DEP | E87777 |
| Commonwealth of Kentucky | 90063 |
| State of Louisiana, Health and Hospitals | LA050001 |
| State of Louisiana, DEQ | 01977 |
| State of Maine | CA0413 |
| State of Michigan | 81178087 |
| State of Mississippi | Reciprocity through CA |
| Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center |  |
| State of Nevada | CA413 |
| State of New Jersey | CA003 |
| State of New Mexico | Reciprocity through CA |
| State of New York, DOH | 11411 |
| State of North Carolina | 06700 |
| State of North Dakota, DOH | R-078 |
| State of Oklahoma | D9919 |
| State of Oregon | CA200001-002 |
| State of Pennsylvania | 68-00490 |
| State of South Carolina | 87002001 |
| State of Tennessee | 02996 |
| State of Texas | TX247-2005A |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |  |
| State of Utah | 9169330940 |
| Commonwealth of Virginia | 00013 |
| State of Washington | C1285 |
| State of Wisconsin | 998036160 |
| State of Wyoming | 8TMS-Q |



SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOK0899



|  | WC Mel'd via email Bettrond-Beneakit $101 / 15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Released By | Date | Time | Received By | Date | Time |  |
| $\overline{\text { Released By }}$ | Date | Time | Received By | Date | Time |  |

SAMPLE LOG-IN CHECKLIST
Alta Project \#: 27025



Comments:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
10 K 0899-01 & \text { Outfall 018 } & 11 / 8165 & 00: 00 \\
10 K 0900-01 & \text { Outfall } 003 & 11 / 4 / 05 & 13: 38 \\
10 K 0901-01 & \text { outfall } 004 & 1 / 4 / 05 & 13: 52 \\
10 K 0902-01 & \text { ouffall } 005 & 11 / 9105 & 12: 40 \\
10 K 0903-01 & \text { Ouffall } 006 & 119 / 105 & 13: 66 \\
10 K 0904-01 & \text { Outfall } 009 & 1 / 9 / 65 & 13: 46
\end{array}
$$

## APPENDIX G

Section 28
Outfall 018, November 09, 2005
AMEC Data Validation Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOJ1186, IOJ1232, IOK0899, IOK0900, IOK0901, IOK0902, IOK0903, IOK0904

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  |  | NPDEs Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DITA VALIDITION REPORT | Analysix: | DF |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#. 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 8<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review. December 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project <br> DATA VALIDATIONREPORT |
| :--- | ---: |
| SDG No: | NPDSS <br> Multiple |
| DFF |  |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory D <br> Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 009 | IOI1232-01 | $26994-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 010 | IOI1186-01 | $26993-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 018 | IOK0899-01 | $27025-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOK0900-01 | $27026-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOK0901-01 | $27027-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOK0902-01 | $27028-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOK0903-01 | $27029-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOK0904-01 | $27030-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: SDG Na: | NPDES Muhtiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Anulyair |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Fandling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or slightly below for some of the samples. As none of the samples was noted to be damaged or frozen, no qualifications were required. According to the case narratives and laboratory login sheets, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. The cooler received by Alta had no custody seals. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Praject: SDGNa: | NPDES <br> Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analyis | D/F |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed 6/06/2005. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS1 through CS6) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibrations were acceptable with \%RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by intemal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of $\%$ RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( $0-7516$-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. No target compounds were detected in the method blank and no qualifications were required. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike (OPR 0-7516-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: SDONa: | NPDES Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATTONREPORT | Analyin: | D/ |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted with the exception of a false positive in Outfall 005 for $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$. The sample was a nondetect Confirmation for $2,3,7,8$-TCDF detected in samples Outfall 004, Outfall 005, and Outfall 006 was not performed; therefore, $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDF}$ was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, " J " by the laboratory. These " J " values were annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.

Project 27025

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method General Minerals

Package ID T711WC182
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. $10 K 0899$
No. of Analyses 1
Date: December 22. 2005


## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{\boldsymbol{n}}$

Case Narrative
Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

| 6. Deviations from Analysis | Qualifications were assigned for the following: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protocol, eg., | -estimated data between the RL and MDL |
| Holding Times | -- actual sample weights not being used for MBAS analysis |
| GCMS Tune/Inst Performance |  |
| Calibration |  |
| Method blarks |  |
| Surrogates |  |
| Matrix Spike/Dap LCS |  |
| Field QC |  |
| Internal Stundard Performance |  |
| Compound Identification |  |
| Quantitation |  |
| System Performance |  |
| COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |

*Subconfructed annlytieal laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
${ }^{*}$ Differences in protocol have beern adopled by the laboratory but no nction against the taboratory is recuired

# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

# DATA VALDDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS And PERCHLORATE

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>355 South Teller Street, Suite 300<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#:<br>Project Manager:<br>Matrix:<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 22, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 160.1, 160.2, 160.5, 180.1, 300.0, 335.2, 350.2, 405.1, 425.1, 314.0, and 413.1, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Laboratory DD | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall O18 | IOK0899-01 | Water | General Minerals |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the sample and all analyses presented in this SDG. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analysis. The analytical holding times for all analyses were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Target compounds were not detected in the associated method blanks. Raw data was reviewed to verify the blank data. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recoveries were within the laboratory-established control limits. Raw data was reviewed to verify the values reported for the LCS recoveries. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in this SDG.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for analyses without an MS/MSD. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of this sample; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. . Results reported by the laboratory between the MDL and reporting limit were qualified as " J " values and annotated with the qualification code of "DNQ" to comply with the reporting requirements of the NPDES permit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with this SDG.

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA
AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
$\quad$ Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine
$\quad$ Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Metals by 200.8 and 245.1

Package ID T711MT96
Task Order 313150010 SDG No. IOK0899
No. of Analyses 1
Date: December 22.2005
ACTION TTEMS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

- Case Narrative

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables

|  | Deviations from Analysis | Qualifications were assi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protocol, e.g., | -blank contamination |
|  | Holding Times |  |
|  | GC/MS Tume/nst. Performence |  |
|  | Calibration |  |
|  | Method blanks |  |
|  | Surrogates |  |
|  | Matrix Spike/Dup LCS |  |
|  | Field QC |  |
|  | Internal Standard Performance |  |
|  | Compound Identification |  |
|  | Quantitation |  |
|  | System Performance |  |
| COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ( Subcontracted annlytical labortary is not mecting contract and/or method requirements |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# $a m e c^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: METALS

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP IOK0899

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street, Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIMATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOK0899 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Program<br>Contrat Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOK0899<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: I<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 22, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 2), USEPA Methods 200.8 for ICPMS and 245.1 for Mercury, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOKO899 |
| METALS |  |  |

Table 1. Sample identification

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client D | Laboratory D | Matrix | COC Method |
| Outfall 018 | IOK0899-01 | Water | $200.8 / 245.1$ |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, docurnented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 -days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

The ICP-MS met the method specified tune criteria; therefore, no qualifications were required for ICP-MS tuming.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The laboratory analyzed reporting limit check standards in association with this SDG and all recoveries were acceptable. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results were nondetects at the reporting limit or were significantly below the sample detects so as not to result in qualification of the data with the exception of copper and lead in the method blank. Copper and lead were qualified as a nondetect, " U ," in the sample from Outfall 018. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES Monitoring |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOKO899 |
| MEIALS |  |  |

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP/MS analyses. The recoveries were within the control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples and mercury LCS samples as reported on the LCS on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG. No qualification was required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was based on LCS results for all analyses. No qualification was required.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

For the target compounds analyzed by ICP/MS, the ICP/MS internal standards were within established control limits. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIIICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site sample.
D. Del Mar Analytical

MWH-Pasadena/Bocing
300 North Lake Avemue, Suite 1200 Pasadea, CA 91101 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018
Report Number: IOK0899

Sampled: 11/09/05
Received: 11/09/05


Method
Sample ID: 1OK0899-61 (Outfall 018 - Water) - cont.

| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  | 0.49 | 2.0 | ND 1.5 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Copper | $U$ | B | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~J}$ |
| Lead | u | $B$ | EPA 200.8 | 5K16096 | 0.13 | 1.0 | NO- 0.21 | 1 | 11/16/05 | 11/16/05 | B, J |
| Mercury | $u$ | O | EPA 245.1 | 5K17098 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 11/17/05 | 11/17/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine Michele Chamberlin

## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar - Irvine
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Semivolatiles by 625
ACTION TTEMS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Package ID T711SV68
Task Order 313150010 SDG No. $10 K 0899$
No. of Analvses 1


Case Narrative
Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables $\qquad$

| 6. Deviations from Analysis | Qualifications were assigned for the following: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protacol, e.g.s, | -blank contamination |
| Holding Times |  |
| GCMS Tune/Inst. Performance |  |
| Calibration |  |
| Method blanks |  |
| Surrogates |  |
| Matrix Spike/Dup LCS |  |
| Field QC |  |
| Internal Standard Performance |  |
| Compound Identification |  |
| Quantitation |  |
| System Performance |  |
| COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |

[^30]- Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action agninst the laboralory is recuired


# amec $^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring Program

## ANALYSIS: SEMIVOLATILES

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOK0899

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street, Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

DATA VALIDATION REPORT $\quad$| Project: |
| ---: |
| SDG: |
| Topanga Fire |
| TOR0899 |
| SVOC |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Program Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOK0899<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Semivolatiles<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 22, 2005

The samples listed in Table I were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Semivolatile Organics (DVP-3, Rev. 2), EPA Method 625, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: SDG: | Topangn Fite 10K0899 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analyais: | svoc |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 018 | IOK0899-01 | water | 625 |


| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: SDG: | Topange Fire 10K0899 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analysis: |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the analyses presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water sample was extracted within seven days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

The DFTPP tunes met the criteria specified in Method 625, and the sample was analyzed within 12 hours of the DFTPP injection times. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

There was one initial calibration associated with this SDG dated 11/14/2005. The average RRFs were $\geq 0.05$ in both initial calibrations. The $\%$ RSDs were $\leq 35 \%$ or $r^{2}$ values were $\geq 0.995$ for the target compounds listed on the sample summary forms. A representative number of average RRFs and \%RSDs were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted.

The continuing calibrations associated with the sample analysis was analyzed 11/15/05. The RRFs for the target compounds were $\geq 0.05$, and the $\%$ Ds were $\leq 20 \%$. A representative number of average RRFs and \%RSDs in the initial calibrations and RRFs and \%Ds in the continuing calibrations were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (SK11061-BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with these SDGs. Target compound butyl benzyl phthalate was reported at a concentration of $1.82 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ in the method blank. The target compound was also reported at concentrations between the MDL and the reporting limit in the samples of these SDGs. The results for butyl benzyl phthalate were qualified as nondetects " $\mathrm{U}_{3}$ " and raised to the reporting limits for the sample in this SDG. Review of the raw data indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Praject: Topanga Fire |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDC: | IOK0899 |
| SVOC |  |  |

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair ( 5 K 11061 -BSI/BSDI) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. For blank spike/blank spike duplicate pairs, qualifications are applied, if necessary, to the associated samples based on those recoveries consistently outside of the laboratoryestablished QC limits in both the blank spike and blank spike duplicate. Results for those compounds with recoveries not consistent within the pair, with RPDs above the QC limit, are qualified as estimated, "U" for nondetects and "J" for detects, in the associated samples. A representative number of recoveries and RPDs were calculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The surrogate recoveries reported on the sample result summaries were within the laboratory QC limits. A representative number of recoveries were calculated from the raw data, and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were associated with this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy and precision was based on blank spike/blank spike duplicate results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The internal standard area counts and retention times were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $-50 \% /+100 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 30$ seconds for retention times. A representative number of recoveries were checked from the raw data, and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG: | Topanga Fire IOK0899 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Annlvsis: | SVOC |

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for five semivolatile compounds by EPA Method 625. Review of the sample chromatograms, retention times, and spectra indicated no problems with target compound identification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. No calculation or transcription errors were found. The reporting limits were supported by the low point of the initial calibration and the laboratory MDL. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

TICs were not reported by the laboratory for this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

Review of the raw data indicated no problems with system performance. No qualifications were required.

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018
Report Number: IOK0899

Sampled: 11/09/05
Received: 11/09/05

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine Michele Chamberlin
Project Manager

## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar-Irvine
Reviewer E. Wessling
Analysis/Method Pesticides by 608

Package ID T711PP37)X
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOK0899
No. of Analyses 1
Date: December 22, 2005
Reviener's Signatur $\square$


## ACTION ITEMS* <br> Case Narrative <br> Deficiencies

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis
Qualifications were assigned for the following:

Protocol, e.g.
-acceptable as reviewed

GC/MS TuneInst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field CC
Internal Standard Pefformance Compound Identification Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS"
*Subcoutracted analytical laboratory is now mestrag enotract andior nethod requirements.


# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: PESTICIDES/PCBs

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOK0899

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
355 Soath Teller Street, Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOK0899<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Pesticides/PCBs<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: I<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review, December 22, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the general guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures (DVP-4, Rev. 2), EPA Method 608, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the summary form as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.


Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outall 018 | Outall 018 | IOK0899-01 | water | 608 |


|  | Project: SDC: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPDES } \\ & \text { OKO899 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA YALIDATONREPORT | Anulysix | PexpCB |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not require preservation, and no preservation was noted in the field. The COCs noted that the sample was received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the sample was couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water sample was extracted within seven days of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 PESTICIDES INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

No resolution check standards or breakdown check standards are required by Method 608 for pesticides, and according to the raw data provided, a resolution check standard was not analyzed by the laboratory. The laboratory did analyze a breakdown check standard with a breakdown of $\leq 20 \%$ for individual components (4,4-DDT and endrin) and $\leq 30 \%$ for the total, as suggested in the National Functional Guidelines. A review of the raw data indicated that the analytical run time was of sufficient length to provide adequate standard separation. The two analytical columns used in the analyses were within the guidelines specified in the methods.

According to the laboratory SOP and the initial calibration raw data, the retention time windows are $\pm 0.10$ minutes for both surrogates and target compound calibration standards. A review of the raw data indicated that the laboratory retention time criteria were met for the surrogates and pesticide calibration standards. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Analytical Sequence

Based on the data provided, the analytical sequences were in accordance with the requirements of Method 608 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Froject: SDC: | NPDES 10K0899 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA HALHATMONREPORT | Analysis: | Pextfct |

### 2.3.2 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration dated 11/11/05 associated with the pesticide analyses of the samples, which consisted of six point calibrations for all pesticide target compounds on two analytical columns. The \%RSDs were within the EPA Method 608 QC limit of $\leq 10 \%$ or the $r^{2}$ values were $\geq 0.995$ on both analytical columns. An ICV was analyzed immediately following the initial calibration. The \%Ds for all target compounds were within the QC limits of $15 \%$ on both analytical columns. A representative number of \%RSDs and ICV \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.3 Continuing Calibration

In the continuing calibrations bracketing the pesticide analyses of the sample, all \%Ds were $\leq 15 \%$. A representative number of \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

## 2,4.1 Instrument Blanks

An instrument blank was analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. Crosscontamination was not evident in the samples. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.4.2 Method Blanks

One water method blank (5K11059-BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. There were no pesticide target compounds or Aroclors detected in the method blank. Review of the chromatograms showed no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (5K11059-BS1/BSD1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. The recoveries for all spiked pesticide target compounds were within the laboratory-established QC limits and the RPDs were $\leq 30 \%$. A representative number of recoveries were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The sample and all QC samples were fortified with the surrogate compounds decachlorobiphenyl and tetrachloro-m-xylene. Surrogate recoveries for the pesticide were below withinQC limits. The recoveries were calculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

|  | Projec: SDO: | NPDES 10K0899 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA LALIDATOU RELDOET | Andysix: | Penteb |

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

There were no MS/MSD analyses associated with this SDG. Method accuracy and precision were assessed based on the blank spike/blank spike duplicate results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 SAMPLE CLEANUP PERFORMANCE

According to the laboratory extraction benchsheets, no cleanups were performed on this water sample. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and laboratory QC samples for usability. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.9.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with the sample in this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with the sample in this SDG.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for pesticide target compound alpha-BHC by EPA Method 608. Compound identification is verified at a Level IV validation. Review of chromatograms and retention times indicated no problems with compound identification for the sample in this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification was verified for this SDG by recalculating a representative number of blank spike and surrogate recoveries since there were no target compounds detected in the site sample. Reporting limits were supported by the low level standard of the initial calibration and the laboratory MDL studies. The water reporting limits were not adjusted for sample amounts on the result summanies; however, the dilution factors listed on the summaries reflected the sample volume extracted. Results were reported in ugl (ppb). No qualifications were required.

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Quarterly Outfall 018
Report Number: IOK0899

Sampled: 11/0905
Received: 11/09105

ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine Michele Chamberlin
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Task Order 313150010
SDG No. 10K0899
No. of Analyses 2


| ACTION ITEMS* |
| :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Case Narrativ } \\ \text { Deficiencies }\end{array}$ |
| 2. Out of Scope |


|  | Analyses |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3. | Analyses Not Cond |
| 4. | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Missing Hardcopy } \\ \text { Deliverables }\end{array}$ |

5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.
Hokling Times
GCMS Tunelast. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix SpikeTDup LCS
Field $9 C$
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification
Quantitation
System Performance

## COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

* Subcoutracted analytical latoratory y one mexting contract andor methow requirements.



# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: VOLATILES SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOK0899

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Vam | Analysis: | voc |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOK0899<br>Project Manager: P. Costa<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Volatiles<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: E. Wessling<br>Date of Review: December 22, 2005

The samples listed in Table I were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Volatile Organics (DVP-2, Rev. 2), EPA Method 624, 5W846 Method 8260B, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the summary forms as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: SDO: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA FLIDATONREPORT | Andysis: | Yoc |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 018 | Outall 018 | $10 K 0899-01$ | water | 624 |
| Trip Blank | Trip Blank | $10 K 0899-02$ | water | 624 |


|  | Projert: SDG: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPDES } \\ & \text { roxese9 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E4TA HUTDATHY REPORT | Analysis | VOC |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were properly preserved. The COC noted that the samples were received intact, however, information regarding absence of headspace was not provided. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the analyses presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were analyzed within 14 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

The ion abundance windows shown on the quantitation reports were consistent with those specified in EPA Method 624, and all ion abundances were within the established windows. The samples and associated QC were analyzed within 12 hours of the BFB injection time. The BFB summary report was verified from the raw data and no discrepancies between the summary report and the raw data were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

One initial calibration dated $10 / 05 / 05$ was associated with this SDG. The average RRFs were 20.05 for the target compounds listed on the sample result summaries. The \%RSDs were $\leq 35 \%$ for all applicable target compounds. Two continuing calibrations dated 11/18/05 and 11/22/05were associated with the sample analyses in this SDG. The \%Ds were less than $20 \%$ in the continuing calibrations dated $11 / 18 / 05$ and $11 / 22 / 05$; therefore, no qualifications were required. The RRFs were $\geq 0.05$ for the target compounds listed on the sample result summaries. A representative number of \%RSDs and average RRFs from the initial calibration, and \%Ds and RRFs from the continuing calibrations were recalculated from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.


### 2.4 BLANKS

Two water method blanks ( 5 K 18007 -BLK1 and 5 K 22008 -BLK1) were associated with the sample analyses. There were no detects above the MDLs for the target compounds listed on the sample result summaries. The method blank raw data showed no evidence of false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

Two water blank spikes (5K18005-BS1 and 5K22008-BS1) were associated with the sample analyses. All recoveries were within the laboratory established $Q C$ limits. A representative number of recoveries were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The surrogates were recovered within the QC limits of $80-120 \%$ in the samples and associated QC. A representative number of surrogate recoveries were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKEMATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed for this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on blank spike results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site sample. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Trip Blanks

Sample Trip Blank was the trip blank associated with this SDG. There were no target compounds detected above the MDLs in the trip blank. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field blank or equipment rinsate samples associated with this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.3 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with this SDG.

|  | Project: SDO: | NPDES ORKOX99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DLT YMLDATOV PERORT | Anatyis | voc |

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

Internal standard area counts and retention times for the samples in this SDG were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $+100 \%-50 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 0.50$ minutes for retention times. A representative number of internal standard areas and retention times were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Target compound identification was verified at a Level IV data validation. The laboratory analyzed the volatile target compounds by EPA Method 624. Chromatograms, retention times, and spectra for the samples and QC were examined and no target compound identification problems were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. The reporting limits were supported by the lowest concentrations of the initial calibration standard and by the MDL study. As there were no sample detects in this SDG, compound quantitation was verified by recalculating a representative number of blank spike and surrogate recoveries from the raw data. Results were reported in $\mu g /$ (ppb). No calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

The laboratory did not provide TICs for this SDG. No qualifications were required

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

A review of the chromatograms and other raw data showed no identifiable problems with system performance. No qualifications were required.






| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project 1D: Quarterly Outfall 018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Averuc, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled 11/0905 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Repon Number: 10K0899 | Recrived 110905 |
| Attention: Eronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Smaple Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date <br> Analyze | Data Qualifiers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OK0899-nt (Outfa Reporting Livis: wy | Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\infty$ |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 111805 | 11/1805 | 4 |  |
| Trichlorotrituorechane (Frem 113) | EPA 624 | 5K1800S | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | , | 11/1805 | $11 / 1805$ | 4 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.28 | 5.0 | No | 1 | 11/1805 | 111805 |  |  |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 111805 | 11/18/05 |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichlorcethane | EPA 624 | SK18005 | 0.27 | 20 | ND | 1 | 111805 | 11/1805 |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | . | 1111805 | 117805 | , |  |
| 1,1-Dichlormethene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.42 | 3.0 | ND | 1 | 11/18/05 | 11/1805 |  |  |
| Ethylbenzese | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/8105 | 11/8005 |  |  |
| Tetrachtorechene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | $11 / 1805$ | 11/1805 |  |  |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/8805 | 11/805 |  |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichlorwethane | EPA 624 | SK18005 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | Hases | 11/1805 |  |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichlorothane | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 111805 | 11/805 |  |  |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | H/1805 | 11/1805 |  |  |
| Trichlorofuromethane | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/1805 | 11/1805 |  |  |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.26 | 50 | ND | 1 | 11/1805 | 11/1805 |  |  |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5K18005 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 1118805 | 1118005 | , |  |
| Surrogate; Dibrowolturomethan | 20\%) |  |  |  | 107\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sorrogate: Toluene-ds (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $106 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofuorobensen | 20\% |  |  |  | 98\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample ID: IOK0899-02 (Trip Reporting Uitits ugl | Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | Cade |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5 K 22008 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/2205 | 4 |  |
| Trictlororifuorothane (Frem 113) | EPA 624 | SK22008 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/2205 |  |  |
| Carbon terrachloride | EPA 624 | SK22008 | 0.28 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/22005 |  |  |
| Chlorofom | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | , | 11/2205 | 11/2205 |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroedane | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | , | 11/2205 | 112205 |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.28 | 20 | ND | 1 | 1/2205 | 112205 |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.42 | 3.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/2205 |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5 K 22008 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/2205 |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/2205 |  |  |
| Tohaene | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/2205 |  |  |
| 1,1,1.-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 K 22008 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/2205 |  |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichtoroethane | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | , | 11/2205 | 11/2205 |  |  |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5R22008 | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/220s |  |  |
| Trichorofluoromethanc | EPA 624 | 5K22008 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/2205 |  |  |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5 K 22008 | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 112205 | 112205 |  |  |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5 K 22008 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 11/2205 | 11/2205 | V |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofworomethan | 2054 |  |  |  | 102 \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sturrogate Tolume-d8 (80.120\%) |  |  |  |  | 104\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surogate: 4-Bromoluorobentene | 20\%\% |  |  |  | 95\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine Michele Chamberlin Project Manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Project Manager
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[^6]:    $\mathrm{Cs}=$ Concentration Spiked (ng/nL)
    $\mathrm{C} t=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
    Rec. $=$ Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
    Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, 10194 Revision
    $X=$ Background subtracted value
    $P=$ Recovery outside of control limits
    $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{V}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis

    * $=$ See Discussion
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[^13]:    Conc $=$ Concentration (Totals include 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers).
    EMPC $=$ Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
    $L O D=$ Limit of Detection. Totals are averages of individual isomer LODs.
    $D=$ Result obtained from analysis of diluted sample
    $B=$ Less than 10 times higher than method blank level
    $P=$ Recovery outside of method 1813 contral limits
    $\mathrm{J}=$ Concentration detected is below the calibration range
    $\mathrm{N} n=$ Value obtained from additional analysis
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[^26]:    Prepared by
    AMEC-Denver Operations
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