

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth & Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226

Package ID T713DF4

Task Order 313150010

SDG No. IOJ1122

No. of Analyses 5

Laboratory Alta

Reviewer E. Wessling

Analysis/Method Dioxins by 1613

Date: December 20, 2005

Reviewer's Signature 

ACTION ITEMS*

1. Case Narrative
Deficiencies

2. Out of Scope
Analyses

3. Analyses Not Conducted

4. Missing Hardcopy
Deliverables

5. Incorrect Hardcopy
Deliverables

6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.,

Holding Times

GC/MS Tune/Inst. Performance

Calibration

Method blanks

Surrogates

Matrix Spike/Dup LCS

Field QC

Internal Standard Performance

Compound Identification

Quantitation

System Performance

Qualifications were assigned for the following:

-- estimated maximum possible concentration interferences

COMMENTS*

* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

^b Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.



DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Topanga Fire Surface Samples

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOJ1122

Prepared by

AMEC—Denver Operations
355 South Teller Street Suite 300
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: Topanga Fire Ash Samples
Contract Task Order #: 313150010
Sample Delivery Group #: IOJ1122
Project Manager: A. Lenox
Matrix: Solid
Analysis: Dioxins/Furans
QC Level: Level IV
No. of Samples: 5
No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0
Reviewer: E. Wessling
Date of Review: December 20, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1)*, *EPA Method 1613*, and the *National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02)*. Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample Identification

EPA ID	MWH ID	Laboratory ID (Del Mar)	Laboratory ID (Alta)	Matrix	COC Method
WL024	BCSS09S01	IOJ1122-01	26821-001	Ash	1613
WL025	BCSS09S01	IOJ1122-02	26821-002	Soil	1613
WL026	BZSS05S01	IOJ1122-03	26821-003	Soil	1613
WL027	BZSS06S01	IOJ1122-04	26821-004	Soil	1613
WL028	BZSS05S01	IOJ1122-05	26821-005	Ash	1613

2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of 4°C \pm 2°C. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analysis and were received within temperature limits of 4°C \pm 2°C. No qualifications were required. According to the case narrative and laboratory login sheet, the samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC and transfer COC were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical-Irvine, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than 25%. No qualifications were required.

2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000. No qualifications were required.

2.3 CALIBRATION

2.3.1 Initial Calibration

The initial calibration was analyzed 6/06/2005. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS1 through CS6) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibrations were acceptable with %RSDs $\leq 20\%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35\%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of %RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VER was acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of %Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standard instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (Blank 7352-0-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. No target or total compounds were reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike (7352-0-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in this SDG. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. A review of the raw data and chromatograms indicated no transcription or calculation errors. No qualifications were required.

2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in this SDG had no identified field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for this SDG.

2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No further qualifications were required.

2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the laboratory lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, "J," by the laboratory. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Confirmation for 2,3,7,8-TCDF detected in samples WL024 and WL028 was not performed; therefore, 2,3,7,8-TCDF was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.



Sample ID: IOJ1122-01				EPA Method 1613			
Client Data		Sample Data		Laboratory Data			
Name:	Del Mar Analytical, Irvine	Matrix:	Solid	Lab Sample:	26821-001	Date Received:	19-Oct-05
Project:	IOJ1122	Sample Size:	10.53 g	QC Batch No.:	7352	Date Extracted:	26-Oct-05
Date Collected:	14-Oct-05	%Solids:	95.4	Date Analyzed DB-5:	30-Oct-05	Date Analyzed DB-225:	N/A
Time Collected:	1015						
Analyte	Conc. (pg/g)	DL ^a	EMPC ^b	Qualifiers	Labeled Standard	%R	LCL-UCL ^d Qualifiers
2,3,7,8-TCDD	0.134			J	13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD	83.8	25 - 164
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	0.289			J	13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	84.6	25 - 181
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	ND	0.328			13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	89.1	32 - 141
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	ND	0.303			13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	87.2	28 - 130
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	0.378			J	13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	91.3	23 - 140
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	3.27				13C-OCDD	60.6	17 - 157
OCDD	9.35				13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF	81.2	24 - 169
2,3,7,8-TCDF	0.389			J	13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	83.2	24 - 185
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	0.206			J	13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	81.0	21 - 178
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	ND		0.174		13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	84.0	26 - 152
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	0.167			J	13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	82.4	26 - 123
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.148			J	13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	87.1	28 - 136
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.115			J	13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	88.0	29 - 147
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	ND	0.0797			13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	79.2	28 - 143
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	0.320			J	13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	79.4	26 - 138
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	ND	0.152			13C-OCDF	61.1	17 - 157
OCDF	ND	0.469			CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD	89.5	35 - 197
Totals				Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e			
Total TCDD	2.72		3.27	TEQ (Min): 0.453			
Total PeCDD	4.15		4.33				
Total HxCDD	5.54						
Total HpCDD	7.28						
Total TCDF	4.37		4.60				
Total PeCDF	1.20		1.84				
Total HxCDF	0.661		0.989				
Total HpCDF	0.320						

a. Sample specific estimated detection limit.

b. Estimated maximum possible concentration.

c. Method detection limit.

d. Lower control limit - upper control limit.

e. Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) based on International Toxic Equivalent Factors (ITEF).

a. Sample specific estimated detection limit.
b. Estimated maximum possible concentration.
c. Method detection limit.
d. Lower control limit - upper control limit.
e. Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) based on International Toxic Equivalent Factors (ITEF).

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Martha M. Maier 02-Nov-2005 14:42



Sample ID: IOJ1122-02				EPA Method 1613			
Client Data			Sample Data		Laboratory Data		
Name:	Del Mar Analytical, Irvine		Matrix:	Soil	Lab Sample:	26821-002	Date Received:
Project:	IOJ1122		Sample Size:	9.85 g	QC Batch No.:	7352	Date Extracted:
Date Collected:	14-Oct-05		%Solids:	99.4	Date Analyzed DB-5:	30-Oct-05	Date Analyzed DB-25:
Time Collected:	0958						NA
Analyte	Conc. (pg/g)	DL ^a	EMPC ^b	Qualifiers	Labeled Standard	%R	LCL-UCL ^d Qualifiers
2,3,7,8-TCDD	ND	0.109			13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD	97.8	25 - 164
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	ND	0.0826			13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	97.1	25 - 181
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	ND	0.118			13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	96.9	32 - 141
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	ND	0.115			13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	92.5	28 - 130
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	ND	0.117			13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	96.6	23 - 140
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	ND		0.686		13C-OCDD	74.8	17 - 157
OCDD	4.23			J	13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF	95.6	24 - 169
2,3,7,8-TCDF	0.279			J	13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	96.7	24 - 185
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	ND		0.291		13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	93.8	21 - 178
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	0.197			J	13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	89.8	26 - 152
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	0.154			J	13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	85.9	26 - 123
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.133			J	13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	94.4	28 - 136
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	ND	0.0588			13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	95.5	29 - 147
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	ND	0.0905			13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	84.2	28 - 143
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	ND		0.147		13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	88.7	26 - 138
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	ND	0.0864			13C-OCDF	75.9	17 - 157
OCDF	ND	0.325			CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD	87.8	35 - 197
Totals				Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e			
Total TCDD	ND	0.109		TEQ (Mtn): 0.159			
Total PeCDD	ND	0.0826					
Total HxCDD	0.279						
Total HpCDD	1.02		1.71				
Total TCDF	2.53						
Total PeCDF	2.20		2.78				
Total HxCDF	0.689						
Total HpCDF	ND		0.147				

a. Sample specific estimated detection limit.
b. Estimated maximum possible concentration.
c. Method detection limit.
d. Lower control limit - upper control limit.
e. Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) based on International Toxic Equivalent Factors (ITEF).

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Martha M. Maier 02-Nov-2005 14:42



Sample ID: IOJ1122-03				EPA Method 1613			
Client Data		Sample Data		Laboratory Data			
Name:	Del Mar Analytical, Irvine	Matrix:	Soil	Lab Sample:	26821-003	Date Received:	19-Oct-05
Project:	IOJ1122	Sample Size:	10.16 g	QC Batch No.:	7352	Date Extracted:	26-Oct-05
Date Collected:	14-Oct-05	%Solids:	100	Date Analyzed DB-5:	30-Oct-05	Date Analyzed DB-215:	N/A
Time Collected:	1020						
Analyte	Conc. (pg/g)	DL ^a	EMPC ^b	Qualifiers	Labeled Standard	%R	LCL-UCL ^d Qualifiers
2,3,7,8-TCDD	ND	0.113		J	13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD	93.8	25 - 164
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	0.0958				13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	94.7	25 - 181
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	ND	0.309			13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	92.1	32 - 141
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	ND	0.316			13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	92.5	28 - 130
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	ND	0.314			13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	94.2	23 - 140
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	2.47				13C-OCDD	64.4	17 - 157
OCDD	19.0				13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF	90.8	24 - 169
2,3,7,8-TCDF	0.159			J	13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	92.6	24 - 185
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	ND		0.125		13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	90.3	21 - 178
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	0.249			J	13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	87.3	26 - 152
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	0.234			J	13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	87.7	26 - 123
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.177			J	13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	92.1	28 - 136
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.200			J	13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	98.6	29 - 147
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	0.216			J	13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	84.6	28 - 143
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	0.804			J	13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	96.6	26 - 138
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	ND	0.116			13C-OCDF	76.9	17 - 157
OCDF	ND	0.830			CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD	94.5	35 - 197
Totals				Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e			
Total TCDD	0.232		0.418	TEQ (Min): 0.322			
Total PeCDD	0.751		1.15				
Total HxCDD	1.35						
Total HpCDD	5.80						
Total TCDF	1.31						
Total PeCDF	2.57		2.78				
Total HxCDF	2.12		2.27				
Total HpCDF	1.47						

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Martha M. Maier 02-Nov-2005 14:42



Sample ID: IOJ1122-04				EPA Method 1613			
Client Data			Sample Data		Laboratory Data		
Name:	Del Mar Analytical, Irvine		Matrix:	Soil	Lab Sample:	26821-004	Date Received: 19-Oct-05
Project:	IOJ1122		Sample Size:	10.17 g	QC Batch No.:	7352	Date Extracted: 26-Oct-05
Date Collected:	14-Oct-05		%Solids:	98.7	Date Analyzed DB-5:	30-Oct-05	Date Analyzed DB-225: NA
Time Collected:	1215						
Analyte	Conc. (pg/g)	DL ^a	EMPC ^b	Qualifiers	Labeled Standard	%R	LCL-UCL ^d Qualifiers
2,3,7,8-TCDD	ND	0.106			IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD	92.2	25 - 164
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	ND		0.118		13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	95.4	25 - 181
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	ND	0.169			13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	94.8	32 - 141
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	0.275			J	13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	90.5	28 - 130
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	0.284			J	13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	93.8	23 - 140
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	2.53				13C-OCDD	76.0	17 - 157
OCDD	18.7				13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF	89.6	24 - 169
2,3,7,8-TCDF	ND	0.0831			13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	92.9	24 - 185
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	0.118			J	13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	91.7	21 - 178
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	0.226			J	13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	88.9	26 - 152
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	0.177			J	13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	86.0	26 - 123
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.144			J	13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	93.7	28 - 136
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.201			J	13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	95.7	29 - 147
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	0.175			J	13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	83.8	28 - 143
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	0.738			J	13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	91.8	26 - 138
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	ND	0.168			13C-OCDF	77.9	17 - 157
OCDF	1.16			J	CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD	85.7	35 - 197
Totals					Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^c		
Total TCDD	ND	0.106			TEQ (Min):	0.297	
Total PeCDD	0.604		1.24		^a Sample specific estimated detection limit.		
Total HxCDD	1.86		2.53		^b Estimated maximum possible concentration.		
Total HpCDD	6.04				^c Method detection limit.		
Total TCDF	1.18				^d Lower control limit - upper control limit.		
Total PeCDF	2.40		2.58		^e Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) based on International Toxic Equivalent Factors (ITEF).		
Total HxCDF	2.01		2.11				
Total HpCDF	1.35						

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Martha M. Maier 02-Nov-2005 14:42

Sample ID: IOJ1122-05				EPA Method 1613			
Client Data		Sample Data		Laboratory Data			
Name:	Del Mar Analytical, Irvine	Matrix:	Solid	Lab Sample:	26821-005	Date Received:	19-Oct-05
Project:	IOJ1122	Sample Size:	9.68 g	QC Batch No.:	7352	Date Extracted:	26-Oct-05
Date Collected:	14-Oct-05	%Solids:	99.5	Date Analyzed DB-5:	30-Oct-05	Date Analyzed DB-225:	NA
Time Collected:	1125						
Analyte	Conc. (pg/g)	DL ^a	EMPC ^b	Qualifiers	Labeled Standard	%R	LCL-UCL ^d Qualifiers
2,3,7,8-TCDD	0.230			J	IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD	87.2	25 - 164
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	0.424			J	13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	87.7	25 - 181
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	ND	0.233			13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	86.3	32 - 141
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	0.622			J	13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	85.7	28 - 130
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	0.519			J	13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	90.3	23 - 140
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	2.55			J	13C-OCDD	60.4	17 - 157
OCDD	10.2				13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF	80.2	24 - 169
2,3,7,8-TCDF	0.727				13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	86.3	24 - 185
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	1.07			J	13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	85.8	21 - 178
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	1.08			J	13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	81.0	26 - 152
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	1.40			J	13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	79.4	26 - 123
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.964			J	13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	85.9	28 - 136
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.835			J	13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	90.2	29 - 147
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	ND	0.377			13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	77.1	28 - 143
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	3.06				13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	86.3	26 - 138
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	ND	0.537			13C-OCDF	67.2	17 - 157
OCDF	1.67			J	CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD	85.7	35 - 197
Totals				Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e			
Total TCDD	47.6		47.9	TEQ (Min): 1.61			
Total PeCDD	12.7		13.0				
Total HxCDD	7.18						
Total HpCDD	5.60						
Total TCDF	18.6		19.0				
Total PeCDF	16.3						
Total HxCDF	10.0						
Total HpCDF	4.17						

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Martha M. Maier 02-Nov-2005 14:42